



THE ENGLISH NOVEL



THE  
ENGLISH NOVEL

BEING  
A SHORT SKETCH OF ITS HISTORY  
FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES  
TO THE APPEARANCE  
OF *WAVERLEY*

BY  
WALTER RALEIGH

PROFESSOR OF ENGLISH LITERATURE IN GLASGOW UNIVERSITY

POPULAR (FIFTH) EDITION

*NEW IMPRESSION*

LONDON  
JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET

1904





To  
MY PUPILS  
who have shown themselves willing  
to tolerate  
Parts of this Book,  
I inscribe  
the Whole  
with Gratitude and Esteem.



## PREFACE

---

THIS is a little book on a great subject. Its aim is critical and historical, to furnish studies of the work of the chief English novelists before Scott, connected by certain general lines of reasoning and speculation on the nature and development of the novel. Much material has been omitted, and many works silently passed over, in the effort to attain a fair perspective and a reasonable continuity of treatment within a narrow compass. I much regret that my limited opportunities of access to a great library forbid my attempting a bibliography of the English Novel. Such a work would be the best companion to the present history.

My warmest thanks are due to Mr John Sampson, librarian of University College Liverpool, for many valuable criticisms, and for the gift of an index.

W A R.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,  
LIVERPOOL,  
*June, 1894.*



# CONTENTS

---

## CHAPTER

## PAGE

### I. THE ROMANCE AND THE NOVEL.

- ✓ Late Greek and Latin romances early mediæval romance; the *Chanson de Roland* English metrical romances; Chaucer's attitude to them; his prose and verse; his narrative genius; the *novella* the influence of Boccaccio on English literature; the *Gesta Romanorum* its mediævalism; the Middle Ages and the Renaissance; prose romance, Sir Thomas Malory; Caxton's preface to the *Morte Darthur* Malory's style; popularity of his book; Caxton's versions of romances; Wynnkin de Worde; Lord Berners; the revival of learning and the romance; continued popularity of the romances; their diminished influence; the romance and the novel      ...      ...      ..      ...      ... 1-24

### II THE ELIZABETHAN AGE: EUPHUIS.

The Italian influence; Roger Ascham; contempt of scholars for the romances; English translations of Italian novels; Painter's *Pallace of Pleasure* John Lyly; his *Euphues*; its story; its style; structure of the sentences; classical allusions; natural history; Lyly's literary fanaticism; his Puritanism; his satire; sources of his style; place of his style in literary history; a compromise between verse and prose 25-48

### III. THE ELIZABETHAN AGE: SIDNEY AND NASH.

Imitators of Lyly; Elizabethan emphasis and vigour; Sir Philip Sidney; his life; the sincerity of his

sonnets; position of the novel in Elizabethan times, the <i>Arcadia</i> , its sources, its merits and influence, Sidney's style; court and town compared, Robert Greene, his life, writings, and death, his confessional prose and verse, his advances towards realism; Thomas Lodge; his romances and pamphlets; Thomas Nash, his life and controversies; his style; his power and versatility, <i>The Unfortunate Traveler</i> , description of a German University, of an Italian <i>vendetta</i> , Nash's enthusiasm for Italy and poetry, his metaphors; his realism, Nash and Defoe	... ..	49-86
---	--------	-------

#### ✓ IV. THE ROMANCES OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

Decline of the novel; rivalry of the drama, the Civil War, French heroic romances, vitality of romance; structure of the heroic romances, their influence, English imitations of them, <i>Parthiarissa</i> , <i>Arctina</i> , characteristics and style; <i>Pandion</i> , and <i>Amphigenia</i> , its debt to the <i>Arcadia</i> , Congreve's novel, <i>Incognita</i> , the drama and the novel, the extravagant romanticism of the "heroic" movement; the "heroic" doctrine; courtly cliques, Mrs Behn, <i>The Fair Jilt</i> , decline of the heroic romance	87-109
---	--------

#### ✓ V. THE BEGINNINGS OF THE MODERN NOVEL.

The work of the seventeenth century, preparation for the modern novel, character-writings, autobiographies, diaries, letters, French parodies on romance; Bunyan's realism, *Bentivoglio* and *Uraria*, the Duchess of Newcastle, the new prose; the *Tatler* and *Spectator*, idea of a club, the portraiture of individuals, Sir Roger de Coverley compared with Overbury's country gentleman, the temper of the *Spectator*, its detached sketches, its education of the public, Daniel Defoe, his *Shortest Way with the Dissenters*, turning-point of his career, *The Apparition of one Mrs Veal*, Defoe's realistic method, *Robinson Crusoe*, its practical bias, its

CHAPTER	PAGE
origin; Defoe's treatment of the supernatural; imaginary voyages; Swift's <i>Gulliver</i> its literalism; Mrs. Manley and Mrs. Haywood	110-139

#### ✓ VI. RICHARDSON AND FIELDING.

The modern French novel; decline of the drama, rise of the novel in its place; the origin of <i>Pamela</i> ; Samuel Richardson; his life and character; ways of telling a story; Richardson's choice of the epistolary way; his sentimental analysis; his leisurely narration; his morality; <i>Pamela</i> <i>Sir Charles Grandison</i> <i>Clarissa Harlowe</i> the atmosphere of Richardson's stories; the influence of his sentiment on later writers; Henry Fielding; his connection with the stage; <i>Joseph Andrews</i> ; Fielding's humour; <i>Jonathan Wild</i> <i>Tom Jones</i> Fielding's morality; compared with Richardson's; <i>Amos</i> the advances in the art of novel-writing made by Fielding	140-179
---	---------

#### VII. THE NOVELS OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Miss Sarah Fielding's <i>David Simple</i> ; multitude of novels; Tobias Smollett; his models; his definition of a novel; <i>Roderick Random</i> autobiographic method; <i>Perfidia</i> , <i>Count Fathom</i> <i>Humphrey Clinker</i> Smollett's zest in life his spirit of adventure; his imitators; satirical "adventure" novels; Moore's <i>Zeluco</i> Lawrence Sterne; his method; character sentiment, humour; his originals; Thackeray's criticism on Sterne; influence of Cervantes; Henry Mackenzie; sentiment and theory; Johnson's <i>Rasselas</i> its style and solemnity; Goldsmith's <i>Vicar of Wakefield</i> his inconsequence, drollery style; later writers; characteristics of the eighteenth-century novel; Mrs. Sheridan; Henry Brooke; the limitations of the school; its strength and variety	180-215
--	---------

#### VIII. THE REVIVAL OF ROMANCE.

The Romantic movement; The Renaissance of Wonder; School of Terror and School of Theory;



## CHAPTER

PAGE

supernaturalism before the revival, *Gilman*, *Peter Wilkins* Johnson's attitude towards the marvelous, Horace Walpole's *Castle of Otranto*, its use of the supernatural, the true use in Wordsworth and Coleridge, Miss Reeve's *Old English Baron* Mrs. Radcliffe, her timidity, her characters and machinery, her command of suppression, her scenery, "Monk" Lewis, his violence and vulgarity, *Tales of Wonder*, Maturing's *Library of Mortorio*, his *Heir of the Werderer*, subtlety of his effects, influence of Rousseau on English fiction, precursors of Rousseau, Mrs. Behn, Dr. Shebbeare, Thomas Holcroft, William Godwin, his doctrines, *Caleb Williams*, *St. Leon* and *Heir of the Werderer*, Mr. Inchbald, stories for children, Beckford's *Vathek*, Shelley's prose romances ... .. 216-252

IX THE NOVEL OF DOMESTIC SATIRE MISS BURNEY,  
MISS AUSTEN, MISS EDGEWORTH

Women and the novel, change of social tone during the eighteenth century, domestic life; domestic satire; Miss Burney, *Evelina*, *Cecilia*, Jane Austen, comparison of her with Shakespeare, the perfection of her art, her impersonality; her style, her satire, Miss Edgeworth, her tales of Ireland and of fashionable life, her didactic bias; Mrs. Brunton, worthless romances, parodies on romances, *Angelina*, *Northanger Abbey*, *Rosalie Readers and Romance Writers*, *The Hermit, or Adventures of Clorubina* ... .. 253-275

X SIR WALTER SCOTT

Union of romance and novel, victory of prose over verse, Scott's early ambitions and attempts in prose; the predecessors of Scott in the historical romance, *The Recess*, worthlessness of this and other historical romances, *Queenhoo Hall*, Scott's theory and practice in the use of archaic diction, his compromise, greatness of Sir Walter Scott ... .. 276-283

# THE ENGLISH NOVEL

---

## CHAPTER I.

### THE ROMANCE AND THE NOVEL.

TIME and again, in the world's history, where East meets West, the spirit of romance has been born. Herodotus on his travels, Heliodorus carrying Ethiopian traditions to his bishopric, Apuleius the Carthaginian sojourning at Rome, are all parents of prose romance and in mediæval legend, Alexander in correspondence with the Brahmins, Charlemagne in conflict with the Moors, furnish the same unfailing inspiration. But the late Greek and Latin writers of prose fiction have little enough to do with the beginnings of story-telling in English. There exists an Anglo-Saxon version of the story of Apollonius of Tyre, for the rest, it was the noble army of Elizabethan translators who first brought these early prose romances within the domain of English literature. The earlier English romances, like the word *Romance* itself, are mediæval and French in origin.

The Celtic races of Europe are almost singular in

their early preference for telling their traditional stories in prose. The Normans, like the Teutonic races, narrated in verse, and their stories reappeared in English verse, alliterative or rhymed, long before they were redacted, in the fifteenth century, into English prose. From the time of Layamon onwards, throughout the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries the work of translation and adaptation went on, and the establishment of the English language in its own country, about the middle of the fourteenth century, gave a fresh impetus to the process. In this way the four principal mediæval cycles of romance, dealing severally with the legends of Charlemagne, Arthur, Alexander, and Troy, had been made familiar to the English people in their own tongue by the close of the fourteenth century. Fashioned by French and Anglo-Norman poets and reciters from material supplied by popular or literary tradition, modified by each successive generation to suit prevailing tastes, these legends reached the English-speaking people of England for the most part in late and elaborately wrought forms. There is no English version of any of the Charlemagne legends that reproduces the grave and unadorned simplicity of the French *chansons de geste* of the eleventh century. Religious and severe in spirit, as monotonous in theme and phrase as in metre, the *Chanson de Roland* has nothing in it of the marvellous adventures or of the love-interest that came to be regarded later as constituting the essence of romance. The fair Aude, the sister of Oliver, betrothed to Roland, is the only woman who figures in this poem, and her name is never mentioned by Roland. Only when he is

dead, she comes to Charlemagne. " 'Where is the Lord Roland who swore that I should be his bride?' she asked the king. Full of grief and pain, weeping and tearing his white beard, Charles replied, 'My sister, my dear friend you ask for one who is dead, but in his place I will give you one who is more mighty, Louis, my son, who rules my marches, better man I know not.'

"Then answered Aude, 'Strange to me seems your speech. God and his angels and saints forbid that I should live now when Roland is dead. Her colour fled, she fell forthwith dead at the feet of Charles. May God have mercy on her soul. The French barons wept and lamented her.' "

The severity and restraint of this may be taken as typical of the earliest monuments of mediæval romantic literature. But the influence of the Crusades, and the development of early feudal manners into the richly decorative chivalry of the later Middle Ages, transformed and elaborated the romances before they became English. (When Sir Thomas Malory, Caxton, and Lord Berners gave to the Arthur and Charlemagne romances their first English prose dress, it was from late French versions that they worked.) The history of English prose fiction begins with those three names, at precisely the point where the researches of folk-lore reach their conclusion. The age of the nameless minstrel is over, that of the responsible prose author has begun.

The greater part of the story-telling of Chaucer's time was done by the minstrel, the descendant of the early

\* Translated in the *Dublin Review*, July, 1890.

*jongleur* But not only was the minstrel degenerate since the days of Taillefer, when he shared in heroic exploits, he was also in danger of eclipse from purely literary rivals. In the towns, growing wonderfully in number and importance, the annual performance of the dramatic cycles of "Mysteries" by the trade guilds formed the principal literary diversion of the people. At the court, the new poetry of Geoffrey Chaucer was putting to shame, by its high artistic finish, the ambling monotony of the chanted recitations concerning Sir Eglamour, Sir Perceval, and Sir Isebras. But in the baronial hall in the country, especially "when folk were feasted and fed," and willing to stifle conversation for a little, the minstrel was sure of a welcome and gifts. His usual method of performance, still common in Eastern countries, was to chant the stanzas of his long narrative poem to the droning accompaniment of the *vielle*, played with a short bow. In this way gentle and simple were made familiar with—

"What resounds  
In fable or romance of Uther's son,  
Begirt with British and Armoric knights,"

with the exploits of Roland and Oliver, or the adventures of those unattached knights whose names were, for the most part, ultimately connected with one or other of the great cycles.

The examples that have been preserved of this immense body of metrical literature are not without their characteristic merits. They are epical in spirit, although not in form, they frequently begin with the genealogy

of their hero, and carry him through the actions and adventures of his life, concluding with his epitaph and a general doxology. They display a marked preference for deeds done, and attempt no character drawing. Knights are brave and ladies are fair, and the actions of both are directed by honour and love, in the highly conventional sense put upon these motives in the later days of chivalry. If a mediæval minstrel had been requested to embody all the novels of Mr Henry James in his narrative, he would have put them into a single line,—

“When twenty years were come and gone”—

and hurried on to the next giant. The broad outlines of such a scheme work their own effect, and the deeds of the doughtiest of heroes are often saved from exaggeration by the largeness of the background behind. A sense of the instability of human life, very present to the minds of men familiar with battle and plague, is everywhere mirrored in these romances; some of them end, like a modern novel, with a marriage, but the chronicler rarely forgets to add the few additional lines of doggerel to the effect that—

“They lived and died with good intent,  
And sithen all to heaven they went,  
When that they dead were.  
Pray we now to heaven's King,  
He give us all His dear blessing  
Now and evermore!”

When the great story teller of his age came, in the maturity of his powers, to build up the fabric of the

*Canterbury Tales*, he put into his own mouth a parody of the current metrical romances :—

“ Al of a knight was fair and gent  
In batail and in tornament,  
His name was Sir Thopas.”

Chaucer the artist—perhaps the purest artist of all great names in English poetry—despised the otiose epithets, and the metre, so lacking in emphasis and distinction, of the verse romances; Chaucer the humourist, familiar with the witty and spirited tales of the South, found the languors of the minstrels' chronology intolerable. He commits the task of criticism to the host, who interrupts the tale with curses on its dulness, and orders its narrator to tell something in prose, containing matter either of mirth or doctrine. The host, that is to say, positively invites Chaucer to produce the first English novel. Here was the opportunity to naturalize in English prose the brief jocular *fabliau* of France, already perfected by Boccaccio in Italian prose under the name of the *novella*. For reasons best known to himself Chaucer lets slip this opportunity, and elects to narrate unto edification. In the *Tale of Melibeus*, with which he responds to the host's invitation, he chooses to treat of doctrine, and of doctrine in the dreariest mediæval manner of allegory. The stories in the Latin *Gesta Romanorum*, well known to Chaucer, can be stripped of their allegorical and moral tags, and thoroughly enjoyed by the profane reader; in the *Tale of Melibeus* the allegory permeates and curdles the story. It would seem as if Chaucer, who had emancipated his verse so completely from mediæval allegory and abstraction,

were unable in his prose to save his ear from obsession by the cadences of the pulpit. His treatise on the Astrolabe is learned matter reduced to English for the instruction of a child, the *Parson's Tale* and the translation of Boethius, his other prose works, are bald sermons with none of the glitter and melody of his poorest line of verse. English prose had really no standing in an age when there were few readers who could not read Latin.

(The original work done by Chaucer on the themes of the old romances was more deadly than his ridicule to the supremacy of the minstrel. In the *Knight's Tale* and *Troilus and Cressida* he showed what could be made of the legends of Thebes and Troy. In the handling of his material as well as in the new elevation of every syllable of his verse to value and dignity, he superseded for ever the artless garrulity and tumbling periods of the minstrel poets. (The Chaucerians of the fifteenth century, from Lydgate onwards, appropriated more and more legendary material, keeping generally in their longer poems to the two famous metres of their master, the seven lined "Troilus" stanza and the decasyllabic couplet. These are measures intended to be read rather than sung; their adoption marks the triumph of the written over the spoken word, and heralds the later conquests of prose.)

Although his prose writing merits no particular notice, it is difficult to pass over the name of Chaucer without marking the high pitch of perfection to which he brought the art of narration in verse. Not until centuries after his time could there be found in English prose the equivalent of his spirited incident, his delicate



characterization, his dramatic realism, his sly gentle humour. } It is not merely that he succeeded, alone among the writers of his age and nation, in ridding himself of the allegorical fetters that cramped the growth of English literature even in the fifteenth century. It is not only that he had an unexampled dramatic genius, which prompted him to substitute for the statical scheme of the *Decameron* a brilliant dynamical scheme of his own, instinct with life and grace. The greatness of Chaucer's dramatic power has left its impress on his story-telling in a hundred subtleties of inspired observation, to be equalled only by the sudden startling dramatic felicities of the great romantic playwrights. But first of all he was a great narrative artist, incomparably the greatest of an age that loved story-telling and knew nothing of the drama. He is a master of all those effects, beyond the scope of the dramatist proper, to be obtained from the apposite intrusion of himself as narrator, pointing a moral or interposing a reflection, laughing or criticizing, expressing incredulity or sympathy. Thus, in the *Prologue*, he hastens to dissent from the Sumpnour's cynical contempt for the archdeacon's curse, and adds, with humorous ambiguity, his own conviction—

“For curs wol slee right as assoillyng saveth ”

In the *Knight's Tale*, he refuses, on the ground that he is no “divinister,” to speculate on the fate of the soul of Arcite :—

“His spirit chaunged was, and wente ther  
As I cam never, I can nat tellen wher.”

In *Troilus and Cressida* he is constantly at the reader's

elbow, disclaiming skill in love, discussing the conduct of the heroine, defending her from the charge of immodesty in the ready bestowal of her affections, pleading for her even in her infidelity,—)

“ For she so sory was for his untrouthe,  
Y wis, I wolde excuse hir yet for routhe.”

And some of the most beautiful of his reflective passages are interpolated as his own criticisms on the narrative, thus, in the *Franklin's Tale*, he tells of the marriage of Arviragus and Dorigen, adding the thought that it suggests to him,—

For o thing, syres, sauffy dar I seye  
That frendes everich other motte obeye,  
If they wille longe holden companye.  
Love wol nought ben constreyned by maystric.  
When maystric cometh, the god of love soon  
Beteth on his winges, and fare wel, he is gon.”

Yet when he comes, in the *Clerk's Tale*, to tell of a love that was cruelly “constrained by mastery” and survived it, he is at no loss for a criticism, after the heart rending pathos of the story of Griselda, he turns lightly in the inimitable *Envoy*, on the “arch-wives” of his own day, satirically counselling them against taking Griselda for a model, and warning their husbands that the story is an insecure precedent.

The illuminative play of his own thought and humour around the incidents of the stories he tells so tersely and vividly gives to Chaucer much of his greatness as a narrator. But he wrote in verse, and prose was slow to learn from him. Here and there in his compilation Sir Thomas Malory took leave to indulge his own knightly

thoughts. In the chapter entitled "How True Love is likened to Summer" (book xviii. ch. 25) such a passage occurs, and the sentiments sound strangely reminiscent of Chaucer. But the earlier prose romances for the most part kept to the beaten path, and chronicled deeds, nothing like the consciousness and freedom of Chaucer's treatment, nothing of his vigilantly critical attitude towards his own art, is to be found in the dream-like formal cavalcade of early prose romance.

In the fifteenth century both of the ancestors of the modern novel—that is, the *novella* or short pithy story after the manner of the Italians, and the romance of chivalry—appear in an English prose dress. But it was not a translation of Boccaccio, or of any of the approved masters of the Italian type, that first found favour with the English people. Direct prose translations of the chief Italian novels were plentiful in the reign of Elizabeth, for the two preceding centuries the influence of Boccaccio was felt only by scholars and poets, and the reputation of his Latin works overshadowed the merits of the *Decameron*, which was more esteemed as a storehouse of tractable material than as a model for imitation. Thus two of the most famous of his novels, the stories of Tancred and Ghismonda, and of Titus and Gisippus, were rendered in English at the beginning of the sixteenth century by William Walter, servant to Sir Henry Marney, and printed by Wynkyn de Worde. Both stories are given in Chaucerian metres, and the translator works, not from the Italian original, but from the Latin versions of Leonardo Aretino and Bandello respectively. The direct influence of Boccaccio belongs to the later

sixteenth century. His secular zest and his satires on the clergy would hardly commend his works for translation by a mediæval clerk, or obtain him credit with readers accustomed chiefly to the grave prose of sermons or lives of the saints. It was the *Gesta Romanorum*, a Latin collection of stories, largely of Oriental origin, compiled probably about the beginning of the fourteenth century, that was translated into English prose in the reign of Henry VI., and printed by Wynkyn de Worde at the beginning of the next century.

In this work, allegory, which flourished all through the Middle Ages like some deadly carnivorous plant, entrapping all bright careless forms of life, and converting them to nutriment for its own vegetable substance, appropriated to itself the most volatile of the jests and anecdotes of mediæval society. The stories are drawn from very diverse sources, some of them are characteristically Oriental, some of them contain incidents of Roman history, refracted through the mediæval imagination, some record only a witty response or wise saying, others again bear the mark of an original homiletic intent. All alike are applied, in the lengthy and violent "moralizations" that are appended, to the uses of pastoral theology and the illustration of Christian mysteries. A single moralization may serve as a sample. In the original Latin *Gesta* is preserved the story, told by Cicero of the man whose friend begged for a sprig of the tree on which his three wives had hanged themselves. The tree, it is stated in the moral, is the cross of Christ. The three wives are pride, lust of the heart, and lust of the eye. He who begged for a sprig is any

good Christian. The implication that a man does well to hang his three wives is not perceived, or, if perceived, does not abash this fearless moralizer.

To trace the history of the stories of the *Gesta Romanorum*, to chronicle their re-appearances in European literature, and to discuss their origin, would be an endless task. Some of the individual tales had honourable destinies in store for them. In form the book was the precursor of the numerous jest-books of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, wherein there is no allegorical application and scant narrative interest, the "gests" of knights and emperors tending more and more to give way to the "jest" of the tavern-lounger, popular comedian, or court fool. (Rescued from the toils of allegory, the early short prose story had a tendency to degenerate into the mere anecdote.) It was saved from this fate by the elements which it assimilated in the course of centuries from the romance, the drama, and the epic.

In the mean time, before the Revival of Learning had made much progress in England, the interest of these brief stories was centred, it is important to observe, not in any light they threw on individual human character and destiny, but in the theology or the dialectic to which they were subordinated. Human beings in them are mere puppets, inhabiting the great fabric of mediæval thought and mediæval institution, playing many parts, standing for virtues, doctrines, or ideas, never for themselves. And it was the work of the Renaissance to recover the literal and obvious sense of human life, as it was the work of the closely allied Reformation to recover

the literal sense of the Bible, overlaid and obliterated by metaphysical subtleties. Even Wiclif elaborates at great length the meaning of the "two fishings that Peter fished" as betokening the two takings of men into Christ's religion, finding strange parallels for the net, the water, and the "void places between knots." But Colet and the earlier scholars of his time cast aside the "tropological, allegorical, and anagogical" senses of Scripture, and insisted that Scripture had "only one sense, and that the most true one."

In much the same way the Renaissance promoted interest in human life and human character, freed from the arbitrary domination of the universals to which they had been enthralled. The power to see the world in a detached light, to enjoy the infinite and inexplicable variety that life and character offer, was acquired only by degrees. Even Spenser restless with delight in the sensuous world, saddles himself with a double allegory. And before the full flood of the Italian influence—that is, in the fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries—the short prose story remained domesticated with the preacher or, dismissed from clerical service and unfrocked, went the round of the taverns so graphically described by Langland. ✓

It was otherwise with the romance. On that, too, the Church had laid its hand, spiritualizing and refining the early Celtic legends with marvellous effect. But the wild instincts of the romance were too strong to permit of its complete affiliation. The interest of the people in incident and adventure for their own sake kept it independent. And in the reign of Edward IV there arose,

in Sir Thomas Malory, an artist conscious of his art, who gave to the scattered Arthur legends a unity and a beauty of presentment that secured for them their supreme place in English prose literature.

The scholars who are unwilling to admit that the Arthur legends grew up on Breton soil have also claimed Sir Thomas Malory, on the authority of Bale, for a Welshman. It is quite certain, at least, that he was, as Bale calls him, "*heroici spiritus homo*," a man of a heroic temper, the facts of his life are lacking. His book, *Le Morte Darthur*, a compilation mainly from French sources, was finished, as he himself states, in the ninth year of the reign of King Edward IV., that is to say, either in 1469 or 1470. It was secured for posterity by Caxton, who printed it in 1485.

In the preface which he contributed to his edition of the work, Caxton discusses at some length the existence of an historical Arthur. He had delayed printing the noble history of King Arthur because, like Milton later, he was troubled with the doubt whether such a king had ever existed. Divers gentlemen of this realm of England had attempted to conquer his scepticism, alleging, among other things, that in the castle of Dover "ye may see Gawaine's skull." He concludes by remarking that, true or not, the book is exemplary and profitable. "And for to pass the time this book shall be pleasant to read in, but for to give faith and belief that all is true that is contained herein, ye be at your liberty, but all is written for our doctrine, and for to beware that we fall not to vice ne sin, but to exercise and follow virtue; by the which we may come and attain to good fame and renown

in this life, and after this short and transitory life to come unto everlasting bliss in heaven."

The words are memorable as marking the beginning of prose fiction, history and fable, so long inextricably entangled, are here drawing apart from one another, literature is proclaiming itself as an art, and declaring a purpose beyond the scope of the humble chronicle.

To attain to a finely ordered artistic structure was beyond Malory's power, the very wealth of legend with which he had to deal put it beyond him, and he is too much absorbed in the interest of the parts to give more than a passing consideration to the whole. His simple forthright narrative is admirably lucid and effective, and makes amends for an inevitably rambling structure, while his flashes of chivalrous feeling illuminate the plains through which his story wanders. He is a master in the telling use of the Saxon speech, although he translates from the French. When Queen Guinevere escaped from the insolent overtures of Sir Merdred, she took the Tower of London and suddenly "stuffed it," says Malory, "with all manner of victual, and well garnished it with men and so kept it." Sir Launcelot, after her death, "died and dwined away" and ever he was lying groveling on the tomb of King Arthur and Queen Guenevere. The Holy Grail descends amidst "cracking and crying of thender." Sir Bedivere, when he was sent to throw away Excalibur, "saw nothing but the waters wap and the waves wan." And this fascinating simplicity of diction is matched by the clearness of outline that distinguishes Malory's pictures, the figures he employs, few in number, are of the natural and



unsought kind dear to Saxon speech. A knight appears in the lists as "bright as an angel," two combatants rush together "like two rams," the children that King Arthur finds the giant roasting are broached on a spit, "like young birds" The allegorical habit has left traces here and there on Malory's work, but indeed it may be said for allegory that it fosters simplicity in prose narration. Where words are to bear a double meaning it is important that the first should be clearly defined, and perfectly distinguished from the second; the elaborated metaphorical style of a later and more sophisticated age mingles the fact and its figurative associations as early narrative prose never does. The Renaissance troubled the waters, and it was long ere prose ran clear again. There is no better prose style for the purposes of simple story-telling than that which many English writers have at command from Malory to Latimer.

The human emotions enshrined in this style have an irresistible appeal. Pity, anger, love, and pride, speak straight to the heart. The passionate and rebellious cry of Queen Guinevere, "I trust through God's grace after my death to have a sight of the blessed face of Christ, and at doomsday to sit at His right side, for as sinful as ever I was are saints in heaven," has parallels in modern literature. Burns expresses the same hope, but his surmise that after all he may—

"Snugly sit among the saunts  
At Davie's hip yet,"

has lost more in pathos than it can make good by its gain in humour.

The work of Sir Thomas Malory became for the

following age the embodiment of the ideas of chivalry and the well-head of romance. It was twice reprinted by Wynkyn de Worde, in 1498 and 1529 and again by William Copland in 1557. The demand continued, and there are later reprints, belonging to the reigns of Elizabeth and Charles I. respectively by Thomas East and William Stansby. But in the Elizabethan age, as in our own, it became the feeder of poetry rather than of prose, Spenser knew it well and Shakespeare read it; traces of its influence on the greater prose writers, even on Sir Philip Sidney, are scant enough.

When William Caxton not later than the year 1477 set up his press at Westminster, he retained the tastes that had made him a printer. He had been first a translator of romances, and he tells how his attention was directed to the new art of printing by the large demand for his translation of the mediæval tale of *Troy*, made in Bruges for the Duchess of Burgundy sister to Edward IV. In England he and his pupils devoted themselves largely to popularizing the old romances, and most of those he printed were translated from the French by himself. His attention was early turned to the Nine Worthies. Of these, three were Pagans, and three were Jews, but versions of the Lives of the three Christians, Arthur, Charlemagne, and Godfrey of Bouillon, were printed by Caxton, two of them being his own translations. In the prologue to the earliest, *Godfrey of Bologne* (1481), translated from William of Tyre, he gives as his reason for preferring the least of the Christian worthies that the acts and histories of the other two are well known, "in Latin, French, and

English, and other language" Nevertheless, he went on to these, and, while he was printing Malory's work, finished his own translation of the *Lyf of Charles the Gtce*, which appeared later in the same year (1485) *The Foure Sonnes of Aymon* followed about 1489, and the only other translations by Caxton himself that need be mentioned here are his versions of the unaffiliated romances of *Paris and Vienne* (1485), and *Blanchardyn and Eglantyne*, about 1489.

Caxton's humility forbade him to claim any literary skill. He almost apologizes for his admirable industry in printing and translating by the repeated plea that idleness must be avoided at all costs. And in the same spirit he beseeches the Duchess of Somerset, in the dedication of *Blanchardyn*, to pardon him for his "rude and common English," and continues, "I confess me not learned, ne knowing the art of rhetoric, ne of such gay terms as now be said in these days and used; but I hope it shall be understonden of the readers and hearers, and that shall suffice" To reach a wide audience rather than to please scholars was plainly Caxton's aim, and his style is well suited to his purpose. He has less freedom of movement than Malory, and a less poetical expression, his renderings are pedestrian and extremely literal, but they are always clear. His frequent quaintnesses of diction and logic, which endear him to the modern reader, were probably unperceived by his contemporaries. The great work he did was twofold. In the first place, by printing the best of the earlier writers, he secured to English literature continuity of development, and Spenser, when he appeared,

appeared as the pupil of Chaucer. The Renaissance brought a crowd of new models, that, but for Caxton's labours, would have ousted the old. In the second place, as translator and printer, he established the romances of chivalry so firmly in the favour of the reading public, that, in spite of the Renaissance, they were reprinted for centuries.

The immediate successors of Caxton followed on his lines in the choice of books to print. Wynkyn de Worde, besides reprinting several of the romances originally printed by Caxton, produced many more on his own account. Among others, he set forth the romances of *Penthus of Galyce* (1511), *Illyas Knight of the Savanne* (1512), *Ohyer of Castyll and the foyre Helayne* (1518), and *Sir Degore*. The finest of the contributions to romance literature attributed to his press is the work of Sir John Bourchier Lord Berners, the translator of Froissart, who diverted his *Teisure* in the later years of his life, from 1520 onwards, by manifold literary labours. His version of the story of *Huon of Bordeaux*, printed by Wynkyn de Worde about 1534 is the best English prose specimen of the Charlemagne cycle of romances, as Malory's work is the best of the Arthur cycle. The book is remarkable for having introduced Oberon the fairy king, for the first time to English readers. Oberon lives in a wood on the way to Babylon, and, in the words of Berners, "is of helght but of three foot, and crooked shouldered, but yet he hath an angelic visage so that there is no mortal man that seeth him but that taketh great pleasure to behold his face. And ye shall no sooner be entered into that wood, if ye go that way,

he will find the manner to speak with you, and if ye speak to him, ye are lost for ever. And ye shall ever find him before you, so that it shall be in manner impossible that ye can scape fro him without speaking to him, for his words be so pleasant to hear that there is no mortal man that can well scape without speaking to him. And if he see that ye will not speak a word to him, then he will be sore displeased with you, and ere ye can get out of the wood he will cause rain and wind, hail and snow, and wll make marvellous tempests with thunder and lightnings, so that it shall seem to you that all the world should perish. And he shall make to seem before you a great running river, black and deep. But ye may pass it at your ease, and it shall not wet the feet of your horse, for all is but fantasy and enchantments."

This description may serve to illustrate the excellence of the narrative prose of Lord Berners' time. In the leisurely unfolding of his theme and in his fearless repetitions Berners resembles Malory and Caxton, he must be ranked with Malory, rather than with Caxton, for his effective arrangement of sentences and his frequent felicities of phrase. All three writers exhibit a prose style as yet undeformed by a straining after the excellences proper to verse, a full stream of narrative, easy, deliberate, and vigorous. With them the mediæval romance attains its noblest expression in English prose.

Its supremacy in the literary world was of short duration. The press of Wynkyn de Worde, which put forth so many romances, was also engaged in supplying aid to the New Learning. The renewed interest of the age in the classics is witnessed by the enormous number

of editions of grammatical treatises that issued from this press, especially of the Latin accidences and vocabularies of Masters Stanbridge and Whittington. The New Learning, if not actually hostile to the medieval romance, was certainly contemptuous of it. And when the Protestant Reformation of the reign of Henry VIII. broke about the ears of the English people, the division between the new and the old schools of thought was deepened, and the romance was relegated to the old. Few romances issued from English presses during the later years of Henry VIII. and the reign of Edward VI., religious controversy and homiletic, on the other hand, occupied much of the energy of the printers. The Protestant form of religion was established it had to be supplied, at somewhat short notice, with a literature, and the task was heartily undertaken. It is perhaps something more than a coincidence that the romances printed by William Copland, who inherited the traditions of Caxton and Wynkyn de Worde, fall (such of them as are dated) within the reign of Mary. Treatises on the *Understanding of the Lord's Supper* and *Blasphemies of the Mass* with which he had been busied in the previous reign, give way, as soon as Mary comes to the throne, to folio reprints of the *Recuyll of the Hystories of Troy the Four Sons of Aymon*, and *Kyngs Arthur*.

When the history of literature shall be written by a competent bibliographer, the full extent of the popularity of the romances in the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries will be made apparent. Besides the romances enumerated above, William Copland put forth, during the comparatively short period of his activities as a

printer, versions of the stories of *Syr Isebras*, *The Knyght of the Swanne*, *Valentyne and Orser*, *Syr Degore*, *Syr Tryamore*, *Syr Beuys of Hamptor*, *Guy Earl of Warwick*, and *Syr Eglamour of Artoys*, all in quarto Reprints are extant of *Blanchardyn and Eglartye* and *Huon of Bordeaux*, belonging respectively to the years 1595 and 1601. Licenses for the reprinting of *The Four Sons of Aymon* were granted in 1582 and 1598 *Paris and Vienne*, a late Catalonian romance, which had found its way into France not very many years before Caxton rendered it from French into English, had a lasting vogue; it was reprinted in 1620 and four times later. Nor were all the later editions of the romances reprints. The *Amadis* and *Palmerin* cycles, which in their extant forms are late artificial Spanish imitations of the natural Arthurian growth, were first given to English readers in the hey-day of the Elizabethan age by the indefatigable Anthony Munday. And references in Fynes Moryson's *Itinerary* (1617) and Burton's *Anatomy of Melancholy* (1621) have often been cited to prove that the prose romances enjoyed an unflagging popularity.

But although their popularity persisted, it changed in character, and they never regained the position they held in Caxton's time as the highest imaginative training of the educated classes. Their gradual passage from the folio to the chap-book might exemplify Hamlet's moral, "how a king may go a progress through the guts of a beggar." At the beginning of the sixteenth century they had held high sway in the world of letters. Gavin Douglas, whose respect for Caxton was not excessive,

yet gives to Paris and Vienne a place among the lovers, classic and romantic, that follow Venus in *The Palace of Honour*. Sir David Lyndsay, in the epistle to the King's Grace prefixed to his *Dreme*, enumerates the antique stories that he was wont to recite for the delectation of the youth of James V. Besides some Scottish folk tales, these consist chiefly of mediæval redactions of classical stories, tales of the Nine Worthies,

"And siegis all of Tyr Thebes, and Troy"

Even half a century later, in the catalogue of the private library of Mary Queen of Scots, there figure the *Romanes of Perceforest, Amadis de Gaule, The Lyf of Charles the Grete, King Alisaunder, and Lancelot de Laik*. And then the new influences drove the romances from their head-quarters. Milton, in speaking of the "lofty fables and romances" whither his younger feet wandered, makes it clear that Ariosto, Tasso, and Spenser are the marks of his eulogy. When a new literature was created by the great artists of the Renaissance, the mediæval romance was driven either to seek low society, or to maintain a supremacy that based its claim on style and not on theme. The age of the despotism of the artist had come, and, in the eyes of the artist, King Arthur himself is only a subject.

The survival of romantic themes, therefore, is not the survival of mediæval romance. Roger Ascham may have been too hasty when he made a taste for romance a mark of 'Papistry' and obscurantism. But his instinct led him aright in identifying the romance with the old order of things. Like Catholicism, the romance



is essentially mediæval. The marvellous cycles of legend that were fashioned by forgotten workers around the names of mythical or historical heroes are as beautiful, as impressive, as unintelligible to modern fashions of thought, as the cathedral of the Middle Ages. And if the romances may be compared to masterpieces of the great mediæval art of architecture, the novel, with which the future lay, has its closest parallel in the art of painting, the outcome of the Renaissance. Even the *Fabliaux* of the thirteenth century, the remote ancestors of the novel, have come down to us with the names of their makers attached. They are the conscious expression of aspects of real life, as it is seen in the light of the temperament of an individual artist. But the great cycles of romance were built by members of an order, impersonal in their aims and methods, whose names have perished, while their work remains, a haunted ruin.

## CHAPTER II

### THE ELIZABETHAN AGE EUPHUES.

THE English Renaissance of the reign of Elizabeth, in so far as it can be traced to foreign sources, owed its being to Italy. Lanacre, Grocyn, Colet, Wyatt, Surrey, all brought from Italy what they were to contribute to English science and English literature. But the full tide of the Italian Renaissance was not felt on these shores until after the settlement under Elizabeth of the religious troubles which had disturbed the reigns of Henry, Edward, and Mary. And when at last it was felt, and England, thoroughly roused to intellectual activity, proved that she would not only set herself to learn all that Italy had to teach, but would go far to better the instruction, many of the older school of humanists, as well as of the newer school of Puritans, showed themselves intensely averse to the dominant foreign influence. Among these, Roger Ascham, living as he did in a period of real transition, found himself a belated supporter of what was once the new learning. Literature had cast off the sober livery of More and Colet, and was borrowing from Italy the works, not of Savonarola,

but of Boccaccio and the novelists. The novel was as distasteful to Ascham as the older romance which it supplanted, so he bravely engaged both enemies, and in the *Scholemaster* he attacks on the one hand *Morte Darthur* and the books which were read "when Papistry as a standing pool covered and overflowed all England," on the other the wanton and vain novels that were beginning to be imported from Italy. Ascham's position was a curious one, falling between two generations, he lost his influence with both, and while the older reactionaries, who still regretted the order that had passed away, looked upon him as a hot-headed reformer, the younger gallants, who carried rapiers and "dags" (i.e. pistols) instead of the old-fashioned sword and buckler, would no doubt remember that this decrifier of Italian love-stories carried his patriotic conservatism to the pitch of championing the antediluvian long-bow as the national weapon.

The history of prose fiction in the time of Elizabeth is the history of the triumph of the Italian novel, long before introduced into England in the verse of Chaucer, over its natural rival the romance. A singular unanimity of scorn for the older romances is displayed by the men of the later sixteenth century. Shakespeare, who drew most of his plots from the Italian, has curt and scanty references to them. Francis Meres, M.A., in his *Palladis Tamia* (1598), after bestowing on all contemporary writers alike a praise that bespeaks a catholic taste, turns in his closing section to the books that are to be avoided or censured. His list is almost entirely made up of romances of chivalry, the most

notable exception being the *Gargantua* of Rabelais. And similarly Montaigne, a genial critic enough, in speaking of his own education, tells how Ovid was his first love, while as for *Lancelot du Lac*, *Amadis*, *Huon of Bordeaux*, and "such-like trash," he knew nothing of them, not even their names.

These opinions are exactly what might be expected of scholars in an age when classical literature was first recovered, or at least first studied aright. The romances of chivalry were doomed from the very beginning of the new movement, and the greatness of Cervantes' achievement is not that he killed a dying man by ridicule. Rather he found the romances rapidly passing away, and, loving them, put forth his hand just in time to save as much of the perishable stuff of which they were composed as he could put to new and lasting uses. It was the literatures of Greece and Rome, rising from the grave, that pushed the romances from their seats.

But it was not only the literatures of Greece and Rome that profited by the deposition. Italian literature exercised a stronger and stronger attraction on the enfranchised mind of England. The first twenty years of Elizabeth's reign, though not distinguished by any very great creative work, are remarkable for the number of translations that they witnessed, chiefly from the Latin and Italian. And among these the numerous translations from the Italian novels of Boccaccio, Bandello, Cinthio, and others, as well as from French adapters or imitators, hold a conspicuous place. These translations need not be exhaustively enumerated: the chief of them are Painter's *Pallace of Pleasure* (1566-7),

Fenton's *Tragicall Discourses* (1567), Pettie's *Pettie his Pleasure* (1576), and, later, Whetstone's *Heptameron of Civill Discourses* (1582). But incomparably the most important, both as giving the lead to other translators, and as furnishing a rich storehouse for later story-tellers and playwrights, is the first, compiled in the intervals of his official employment by William Painter, clerk of the ordnance in the Tower. The Puritan assailant of plays, poetry, and the fine arts, Stephen Gosson, was right when he put Painter's compilation in the forefront of the sources that had been "ransacked to furnish the playhouses in London." Shakespeare and Marston borrowed much, Peele, Beaumont and Fletcher, Webster, Massinger, and many others, borrowed something, from this treasury. And indeed Painter's pages are crammed with the raw material of poetry, neither is it always wholly raw. The monotonous language of the romances, unfolding themselves leisurely and interminably, must have seemed pallid indeed beside the pathos and passion, the vividness and beauty of these transcripts of the very spirit of the South, wherein love lightens and death thunders and the air is clear and the sky blue again, within the magic compass of a few pages. Many critics have called attention to the success of Shakespeare in setting his characters in an Italian atmosphere in such plays as *Romeo and Juliet* or the *Merchant of Venice*, and some have even thought that he must have visited Italy. But the truth is Italy visited England in the days of his youth, and it was not necessary to go further than Cheapside to meet with men whose costumes, manners,

coconversation, and let it be added morals, were borrowed directly from Italy. The enormous proportion of Italian names among the *dramatis personæ* of the Elizabethan dramatists bears forcible witness to the overpowering vogue of the Italian fashion.

At the time that Painter's book was published the romantic drama in England was not born nothing but heavy imitations of Seneca and Plautus had been acted, and the *Pallace of Pleasure* had to wait twenty years for the first of the playwrights who rified it. In the mean time it certainly had a success among readers, and it ought not to be denied a place among the forces that helped the romantic drama, when it was struggling against the formidable and influential array of classicists. But before it helped to create the Elizabethan drama, Painter's book, along with the rest that followed it, had called the Elizabethan novel into being. It was the success of these compilations, no doubt, that prompted Lyly to write his *Euphues*. And as this was, strictly speaking, the first original prose novel written in English, the book and its author may well receive a somewhat exact consideration.

John Lyly was a Kentish man, born about 1553. He was educated at Magdalen College, Oxford, where, according to Wood, he "did in a manner neglect academical studies, yet not so much but that he took the degrees in arts, that of master being compleated 1575. At which time, as he was esteemed at the university a noted wit, so afterwards was in the court of Q. Elizabeth, where he was also reputed a rare poet, witty, comical, and facetious." Of Lord Burleigh Lyly says, "This

nobleman I found so ready, being but a stranger, to do me good, that neither I ought to forget him neither cease to pray for him," and perhaps he held some minor office about the court, where his plays, *Campaspe*, *Endymion*, and the rest, were acted during the ten years immediately preceding the appearance of Shakespeare as an original author. But the success of his plays does not seem to have led to any substantial preferment, and Lyly led the life of an indigent hanger-on of the court for many years, until he had long outlived his successes, and died in retirement and obscurity in 1606. He had hoped for the post of Master of the Revels, and when it was given to Edmund Tylney in 1579 still lived in hopes of its reversion. Two pathetic petitions, addressed by him to the Queen, remain to furnish another illustration of Spenser's lines—

“ So pitiful a thing is suitors' state ,  
Most miserable man, whom wicked Fate  
Hath brought to Court to sue for ' Had I wist,'  
That few have found, and many one hath missed ”

They are written in the style that would appear to have become a second nature with Lyly, and set forth how he has waited ten years with an unwearied patience, and “suffered shipwreck of my time, my wits, my hopes ” “I know not what crab took me for an oyster that in the midst of your sunshine of your most gracious aspect hath thrust a stone between the shells to eat me alive that only live on dead hopes ” He beseeches “some land, some good fines, or forfeitures that should fall by the just fall of these most false traitors; that seeing nothing will come by the Revels, I may prey upon the

Rebels." And his last request is marked by a witty freedom that calls to mind the boldness that moved Sir Philip Sidney to offer advice to the Queen concerning her marriage—"That if I be born to have nothing, I may have a protection to pay nothing which suit is like his that, having followed the court ten years, for recompense of his service committed a robbery and took it out in a pardon."

Lyly scored the great literary success of his life while he was still a "noted wit" of the university, by the publication of his *Euphues, the Anatomy of Wit*, in 1579. This was followed in 1580 by the second part, called *Euphues and his England* and of the two there were six editions in the space of two years. It was frequently reprinted until 1636 when it finally passed out of vogue.

But on its appearance it took the fashionable world of ladies and courtiers by storm, so that "our nation was in his debt for a new English which he taught them" Euphuism, as it was called, became the language of the court, until it was driven out in 1590 by a new affectation, and the Euphuized gentlewomen gave place to the Arcadian, who were complimented by their gallants in "pure Sir Philip Sidney."

The story of *Euphues* is its least part, and may be briefly told. (Euphues (a name borrowed by Lyly from Ascham) is a youth of quick parts and generous impulses, who comes from the academy of Athens, where he has been educated, to see the world in Naples. There he meets with an aged gentleman called Eubulus, who offers him much counsel and warning on the conduct of life, but Euphues rejects it with scorn. He finds a more



congenial companion in a young man called Philautus, and strikes up a romantic friendship with him of so close a kind that "they used not only one board but one bed, one book (if so be it they thought not one too many)." By Philautus he is introduced to Lucilla, the lady to whom Philautus is betrothed, and they all sup together. During supper Euphues attracts the admiration and affection of Lucilla by the ingenuity and wit which he displays in discoursing on the topics whether beauty or wit move men most to love, and whether man or woman be most constant in love. He falls in love with Lucilla, as she with him, but he conceals his passion from his friend Philautus by pretending that another lady is the cause of it. In the mean time he opens his heart to Lucilla, and becomes her accepted lover. A rupture naturally ensues between Euphues and Philautus, and between Lucilla and her father Don Ferardo, who had designed her for Philautus. A solution of the entanglement is found in the conduct of Lucilla, who crowns her inconstancy by forsaking Euphues for Curio, introduced for this sole purpose. Euphues regains the friendship of Philautus, "both abandoning Lucilla as most abominable," and goes back, a wiser man, to study philosophy in his scholarly retirement at Athens, where he writes "a cooling card for all fond lovers," a treatise on education, and a refutation of atheism—all duly appended to the first part of the novel.

In the sequel, produced a year later, Euphues and Philautus visit England, they discourse on love and state-craft with an old beekeeper in Kent, called Fidus, they visit the court; there Philautus, after being long

toossed on the waves of love, finds the haven of marriage, and Euphues, leaving him and England, retires, tormented in body and grieved in mind, to his cell at Silversedra.

*Euphues* since its brief triumph, has met with little but abuse at the hands of critics. (Berkenhout, writing in 1777, calls it "a contemptible piece of affectation and nonsense," and Sir Walter Scott's parody of a Euphuist in *The Monastery* even misses the most conspicuous features of the style. Yet the book deserves to be approached with respect, if only for this reason, that it exercised an enormous influence on greater men than Lyly—Greene and Shakespeare among them—and set the first fashion in novel-writing.

The main characteristics, then, of the style, which is vastly more important than the story, are three—

1. The structure of the sentences is based on antithesis and alliteration, or cross-alliteration, almost every sentence being balanced in two or more parisonic parts, chiming in sound, changing in sense. Thus Naples is a place "of more pleasure than profit, and yet of more profit than plety." Euphues is "a young gallant of more wit than wealth, yet of more wealth than wisdom," who, 'seeing himself inferior to none in pleasant conceits, thought himself superior to all in honest conditions.' All the characters alike speak or preach in this form of sentence, sometimes with oppressive monotony and prolixity, sometimes, again, with a certain approach to epigram. When Euphues is asked at supper to contribute to the company's entertainment by discoursing either of love or of learning, he begins the speech that won Lucilla's heart in this manner—"For me to intreat

of the one, being a novice, or to discourse of the other, being a truant, I may well make you wearier, but never the wiser, and give you occasion rather to laugh at my rashness than to like of my reasons, yet I care the less to excuse my boldness to you who were the cause of my blindness. And since I am at mine own choice either to talk of love or of learning, I had rather for this time be deemed an unthrift in rejecting profit, than a stoic in renouncing pleasure," and he elects to speak of love accordingly.

It is this artificial character of the style, as much as anything, that has led the critics into a unanimity of scorn, and made them declare (to euphuize the burden of their observations) that they would sooner be content to forego the author's wisdom than constrained to undergo his wit, that they esteem the style more wordy than worthy, and judge Lyly to be rather a profligate dissipator of sound among his companions, than a prudent dispenser of sense to his heirs.

Yet Lyly can use the device at times with a force that goes far to justify it. Addressing his friend Philautus in the *Cooling Card*, Euphues exclaims—

"How curious were we to please our lady, how careless to displease our Lord! How devout in serving our goddess, how desperate in forgetting our God! Ah, my Philautus, if the wasting of our money might not dehort us, yet the wounding of our minds should deter us, if reason might nothing persuade us to wisdom, yet shame should provoke us to wit."

And Shakespeare, before he parodied the style of *Euphues* in *Henry IV*, had felt the infection of Lyly's

cadences. In *Richard II.*, the Duke of Norfolk, after receiving his sentence of banishment, thus invokes the king—

"A dearer merit, not so deep a main  
As to be cast forth on the common air  
Have I deserved at your Highness hands."

This is a better example of the formal characteristics of Euphuism than Falstaff's speech—"For, Harry now I do not speak to thee in drink, but in tears, not in pleasure, but in passion, not in words only, but in woes also."

But, besides a love for stricter form than consists with the variety and flexibility of prose writing, there is to be found in Lyly a wasteful and pointless redundancy of ornament, a love of ornament for its own sake, which is accountable for two more features of his style.

2 In *Euphuus* there is an amount of classical allusion and reference to classical authority which passes the borders of the ludicrous. Thus Lyly cannot mention friendship without incontinently quoting the cases of Titus and Gisippus, Damon and Pythias, Pylades and Orestes, Theseus and Pirithous, Scipio and Laelius in his story lovers argue their own constancy by the examples of Troilus and Dido, or accuse each other of faithlessness by quoting the instances of Cressida, Demophoon, or Æneas. { Lucilla justifies her fickleness by citing, for her own reassurance, long extracts from the mythological dictionary, in the course of which it appears that Helen, the pearl of Greece, first took Menelaus, then Theseus, and last of all Paris, and that (if Cuno be not comely to look upon) Venus herself was content to desert the

handsome god of war for "a blacksmith with a polt foot." Moreover, an appeal to classical authority often encroaches on the domain of daily experience, and Lyly's work marks a step in the development of that style which was to find its culmination and masterpiece in Burton's *Anatomy of Melancholy*. "Is it not true which Seneca reporteth, that as too much bending breaketh the bow, so too much remission spoileth the mind?" And Euphues and Philautus, on approaching the coast of England in order to visit the court of Queen Elizabeth, gravely refer to Cæsar as the principal authority on the habits of the people of Britain. This tendency, common to many writers, is instanced by Sir Thomas Browne from the works of an author to whom, more than to any other, Lyly was indebted. "Antonius Guevara," says Sir Thomas, "that elegant Spaniard, in his book entituled *The Dial of Princes*, beginneth his epistle thus 'Apollonius Thyanæus, disputing with the scholars of Hiarchas, said, that among all the affections of nature, nothing was more natural than the desire all have to preserve life.' Which being a confessed truth, and a verity acknowledged by all, it was a superfluous affectation to derive its authority from Apollonius, or seek a confirmation thereof as far as India." The same disease of style, to which scholars will always be specially liable, is ridiculed by Shakespeare, not only in the passage in *Henry IV.* already referred to ("this pitch, as ancient writers do report, doth defile"), but also in *Love's Labour's Lost*, where Armado, addressing his page, says—

"Comfort me, boy, what great men have been in love?

"Moth. Hercules, master

'Arm. Most sweet Hercules! More authority, dear boy, name more, and, sweet my child, let them be men of good repute and carriage."

Moth mentions Samson, but Armado will hardly be comforted until he has "exampled his digression" by some mightier precedent.

3. Lastly, Lyly further cumbered the movement of his style, and weakened its force, by a pulpit employment of a real or fictitious natural history. Many of his curiosities in this sort are found in Pliny, but many others no doubt lay ready to his hand in the plentiful animal and plant lore that has always been preserved by tradition, or in the long line of bestiaries that preceded biological handbooks. So late as the middle of the seventeenth century an ostensibly scientific work would moralize all its instances, and impart a lesson in parental affection from the whale, in the use of pleasures from the dogs of Egypt who lap running, an emblem from the hedgehog concerning the safety of mean estates, or from the hare who can run faster up hill than down—besides including the basilisk, dragon, unicorn, salamander, lamia, scolopendra ("a fish of strange property, and how we ought to resemble this fish"), and many others unknown to modern research.\* Lyly could without difficulty find masses of this lore, and, caring nothing for it as truth, he cared greatly for it as illustration, and plastered it extravagantly on his discourse by way of adornment.

\* I have read that the hull being tied to the fig-tree

\* Swan's *Speculum Mundi*. Cambridge, 1635

loseth his strength, that the whole herd of deer stand at the gaze if they smell a sweet apple, that the dolphin by the sound of music is brought to the shore. And then no marvel it is that if the fierce bull be tamed with the fig-tree, that women, being as weak as sheep, be overcome with a fig, if the wild deer be caught with an apple, that the tame damosel is won with a blossom; if the fleet dolphin be allured with harmony, that women be entangled with the melody of men's speech, fair promises, and solemn protestations "

An interminable procession of figures of this kind runs throughout the book, and the crucial situations are sometimes determined by the comparative masses of opposed groups of similes. Thus Lucilla, arguing with herself on her purpose to forsake Philautus for Euphues, is afraid that her new lover may despise her, remembering "that the glass once crased will with the least clap be cracked, that the cloth which staineth with milk will soon lose his colour with vinegar, that she that hath been faithless to one will never be faithful to any " Yet she comforts herself by the same process, for will not Euphues also remember "that the broken bone once set together is stronger than ever it was, that the greatest blot is taken off with the pummice, that though the spider poison the fly she cannot infect the bee, that though I have been light to Philautus, I may be lovely to Euphues"? and then, by a dozen more bizarre similitudes, she satisfies herself that she is only following the course of Nature, and rests secure for the time on the precedent of the spaniel and the epicure.

To enumerate one-tenth part of the objects to the

paradoxical properties of which Lyly often reverts with loving assiduity would be tedious, to trace the sources to which he was indebted would in this connection be idle. Perfumes, we learn, refresh the dove and kill the beetle, the elephant liveth upon air, the salamander, the further he lieth from the fire the warmer he is. It was this feature of the Euphuistic style that most incurred contemporary ridicule. (Drayton and Sidney condemned it, Nash indignantly disclaimed imitation of it. Shakespeare ridiculed it in the instance of the camomile, which "the more it is trodden the faster it grows," and the authors of the *Pilgrimage to Parnassus* even more happily parodied the phrases so common in Lyly by the highly probable statement that "there is a beast in India called a polecat, and the further she is from you the less you smell her.")

When the crusade against the Albigenses was inaugurated, its extremest severities of persecution were justified by a text of Scripture, drawn from the parable of the wedding-feast—"Compel them to come in." (A not less plausible fanaticism it was that prompted Lyly to make his bold attempt. His instinct was literary, and in the service of the humanities he laid hands on all the scattered scientific statements he could find, hunting many a poor fact or error out of the obscurest nooks, racking them with the powerful engine of simile, affiliating them by force to man and his high interests. Of what possible interest is the unrelated fact, reported by Phny, that the root of Anchusa is insoluble in water, but dissolves in oil? Let it illustrate the heart of Euphues, which, "though it be hardened with the water of wiliness,



yet will it be mollified with the oil of wisdom" So cavalier a treatment is opposed, no doubt, to the spirit of modern science, which has learned to reverence every solitary fact for its possible uses and destinies. But in Lyly's time human knowledge was one, and his attempt may serve in passing to illustrate that oneness, which was to the Elizabethans both an inspiration and a snare. "To see this age!" says the clown in *Twelfth Night*, "a sentence is but a cheveril glove to a good wit, how quickly the wrong side may be turned outward!" So Lyly took the whole available store of knowledge for his wardrobe, and used it to deck his style. Too often, indeed, the wrong side is turned outward. Yet his writing is all witty, similes, it is true, are misapplied, facts are falsified to supply comparisons, classical instance is ranged after classical instance without purpose or method, like the crowd in a street, to impress by number rather than fitness, the display of mediæval physic and physiology becomes mere ostentation, but all this is the outcome of the same quick-wittedness and versatility which marked the Elizabethan gentleman, who made of the world a whetstone for his wit. All these far-fetched similes and laboured figures give light of a kind—not light focussed on the subject, but the flashing desultory light of a display of fireworks. The classic use of simile, which might be instanced by Milton's description of Satan's shield—

"The broad circumference  
Hung on his shoulders like the moon,"

is far enough removed from the irresponsibility of Lyly's manner, which gives no real help to the constructive

imagination, and generally tickles the fancy only to cheat the thought, explaining *ignotum per ignotum*. What Ben Jonson said of Shakespeare is a hundred times more applicable to Lyly—"He flowed with that facility that sometime it was necessary he should be stopped. His wit was in his own power, would the rule of it had been so too!" And the cause in Shakespeare's case as well as Lyly's is indicated by the confession inadvertently made in *Euphues* "I have ever thought so superstitiously of wit, that I fear I have committed idolatry against wisdom."

And yet Lyly was no mere player with words or grand inquisitioner appointed for the torture of sense. Beneath the courtier's slashed doublet, under his ornate brocade and frills, there stood a Puritan, and M. Jusscrand has found in *Euphues* the literal predecessor of Sir Charles Grandison and Daniel Deronda. The grave reflections and weighty morals that the author has to enforce overload the story so that the book has rightly been called a collection of essays on friendship, love, education, religion, philosophy and foreign travel "sowed" (as the author remarks in one of the few figures drawn from what he had seen) "here and there like strawberries, not in heaps like hops." To look, therefore, for any approach to the characterization that is to be found in Richardson or George Eliot would be a mistake, the book is almost devoid of it. Once or twice Lyly seems near the dramatic imagination, thus when *Euphues*, disappointed by Lucilla, resolves on a course of study, he exclaims—

"I will to Athens, there to toss my books, no more in

Naples to live with fair looks. I will so frame myself as all youth hereafter shall rather rejoice to see mine amendment than be animated to follow my former life. Philosophy, physic, divinity, shall be my study. Oh, the hidden secrets of nature, the express image of moral virtues, the equal balance of justice, the medicines to heal all diseases, how they begin to delight me! The Axiomaes of Aristotle, the Maxims of Justinian, the Aphorisms of Galen, have suddenly made such a breach into my mind, that I seem only to desire them that did only erst detest them."

Here the author might certainly fall under the suspicion of a dramatic and satirical intent, but in the next sentence he speaks so plainly in his own voice that the doubt is resolved—

"If wit be employed in the honest study of learning, what thing so precious as wit? If in the idle trade of love, what thing more pestilent than wit?"

A plainer instance of those rare occasions where the story-teller gets the better of the didactic moralist occurs in the passage describing the voyage to England, where Euphues tells his friend a long and tedious story, balancing and finishing every sentence, until Philautus, goaded to the point of abandoning the alliterative convention, remarks, "In faith, Euphues, thou hast told a long tale, the beginning I have forgotten, the middle I understand not, and the end hangeth not together; . . . in the mean time, it were best for me to take a nap, for I cannot brook these seas, which provoke my stomach sore."

But generally when the encumbering style is thrown off for a sentence or two, it is in the interest of didactic

rather than of dramatic effect, and then Lyly is to be found expressing himself in plain pithy English, and coining or adopting homely proverbs like these—

"It is the eye of the master that fat eth the horse, and the love of the woman that maketh the man."

"Thou must halt cunningly to beguile a cripple."

"It is a blind goose that cometh to the fox's sermon."

And when Philantus consults a sorcerer for a love charm, "C'était là," says M. Jussierand, "une excellente occasion de parler des serpents et des crapands, et le magicien n'y manque pas." But at the end of the display of lore there follows the pointed comment, "The best charm for an aching tooth is to pull it out, and the best remedy for love to wear it out."

The fashions and customs of the English on which Lyly spends his gravest invective are those that are also attacked by Ascham, Stobbes, and Howell. During the long period represented by these three names, an English man was a by word for the readiness with which he adopted foreign costumes, airs, manners, oaths, and habits. The "lipping, affecting fantasico," who proved that he had "swam in a gondola" by wearing strange suits and swearing strange oaths, was the stock subject for patriotic satire for fifty years after *Emphues*. It is in the extravagant fashions of women, however, that Lyly chiefly addresses himself. "Take from them their periwigs their paintings, their jewels, their rolls, their bolsterings, and thou shalt soon perceive that a woman is the least part of herself, an apothecary's shop of sweet confections, a pedlar's pack of new fangles." And he wittily excuses himself to the "grave matrons and

honest maidens of Italy," by saying, "You ought no more to be aggrieved with that which I have said than the mint-master to see the coin changed." One woman only is raised above all censure, and she, it is needless to say, is the Queen. Euphues and Philautus come to England chiefly to see her, and the praises bestowed on her would be held exceptionally extravagant if they could not so easily be paralleled from the dramatists.

"First, that most sacred dame,  
Whom none but saints and angels ought to name,"

who rules over the realm that, by another similar conceit, is called Elysium, is spoken of with bated breath by the court-follower, who excuses himself even for naming her, whom "neither art nor heart can set forth as she deserveth . . . But in this we imitate the old painters of Greece, who, drawing in their tables the portraiture of Jupiter, were every hour mending it, but durst never finish it, and being demanded why they began that which they could not end, they answered, in that we show him to be Jupiter, whom every one may begin to paint, but none can perfect. In the like manner mean we to draw in part the praises of her whom we cannot thoroughly portray, and in that we signify her to be Elizabeth."

There is no more signal instance of the imitative tendencies that Lyly attacks than his own style, which has been shown by careful study and research to be a motley compound made up from many sources. From Ovid, Plutarch, and Pliny he borrows whole phrases, passages, or even discourses, the balanced antithetical sentence is modelled, it is said, on Guevara or the

English translations of Guevara by Berners, North, and others which were popular before Lyly's time, and which lent him also the suggestion of the free employment of comparisons from natural history while a fanciful prose alliteration is to be found here and there in several earlier writers. And yet the style is Lyly's own, although the materials are borrowed, and those who would rob him of originality must rob Shakespeare too. The immediate popularity of *Euphuës* is in itself sufficient evidence that a taste was already formed the writer who has no predecessors will also have no readers. Lyly's work was to combine and carry to their extreme development the literary fashions that he found in vogue, and to raise them to the dignity of a convention hence he is justly called the inventor of a new English. To make of his style a mere theft is as impossible as to make of it a mere affectation. And the importance of that style is so great, both as typical of the time, and as marking a crucial point in the history of prose fiction, that a few words will be spent not amiss in the attempt to show its bearings.

The age of Elizabeth is pre-eminently an age of poetry of which prose may be regarded as merely the overflow. Poetry as an art attained a range and perfection that has never since been reached, and much material that now finds expression in prose forms was then drawn into the main current of verse. Philosophy autobiography, history morals, all found their natural expression in verse form. A later generation has found cause for wonder or incredulity in the fact that both Shakespeare and Sidney "coined their hearts and dropped their blood for

drachmas" in the form of the sonnet, and were proud to forego their privacy so they might gain the stamp of art. Yet no one has questioned the trenchant sincerity of Greene's autobiographical poems; Michael Drayton versified his historical gazetteer; and Sir John Davies shaped his philosophy in stanza form. "Seeing," says Nash, "that poetry is the very same with philosophy, the fables of poets must of necessity be fraught with wisdom and knowledge, as framed of those men which have spent all their time and studies in the one and in the other." And he even censures some of the inevitable consequences of this breadth, as well as height, of the scope of poetry, whereby, he complains, it has come to be thought that rhyming is poetry. "Hence come our babbling Ballets, and our new-found Songs and Sonnets, which every red-nose fiddler hath at his fingers' end, and every ignorant ale-knight will breathe forth over the pot, as soon as his brain waxeth hot"

Those instincts of poetry, which cause it to seek music and form, were no way blunted in consequence of the diversity of subjects admitted. And so it came about that the prose of the time (all of which, it may safely be said, bears the mark of the sovereignty of poetry) felt the double influence, and approximated to poetry either in the elaborated figurative method of its treatment, or in the rhythmical balance of its form, or in both. Matthew Arnold finds the prose of Chapman intolerable because of its riotous excess of figure, unrestrained by the coercive means supplied by the rules of verse. And certainly there is much of the prose of the time, besides Chapman's, that, lacking the wings of

verse, falls into fantastic chaos. Now Lyly's experiment is thus seen to be of the highest interest. The old verse romances, still chanted among the people, were condemned as worn-out absurdities by a generation that had found a new source of inspiration in the classics. Prose was largely taking the place of verse in the realm of narrative fiction, and for his didactic and discursive aims prose suited Lyly best. But he strove, as if by instinct, to fit prose for the bearing of the weight of figure and ornament that the age demanded, by lending it more of definite constructive form, justifying ornament by structure. And his alliteration which is a convention and not a trick, is the basis of this structure.\* Alliteration is commonly looked upon as an idle ornament of prose, and idle it is in the authors from whom Lyly is sometimes alleged to have borrowed it. But if he borrowed it he changed its use, and attempted to make of it something essential—the pillars instead of the crockets of the building. Alliteration is often condemned as a flaw in rhymed verse, and it may well be open to question whether Lyly did not give it its true position in attempting to invent a place for it in what is called prose. It is not in his alliteration, at any rate, that his chief error lies that alone would no more have hampered and marred his expression than it marred the most magnificent lines of William Langland or any other of the early alliterative poets.

Deth cam drivyng after and al to dust pushed  
Kynges and knyghtes, kaysters and popes."†

\* How tame the euphuistic balance of sentences becomes without alliteration may be well seen in F. Meres' *Palladis Tamia*

† *Piers Plowman*, Text B. xx. 99.



The success of the early alliterative poetry ought to modify the haste with which Lyly is condemned on this score. Rather he made success impossible by adding forced antithesis and simile to his chosen convention until to write Euphuistic prose became a task many times more difficult than to write simple verse, and Lyly himself breaks down oftener from constraint than from taste. His style became as unfit a vehicle for the prolonged expression of weighty matters as the form of nonsense rhymes is for the embodiment of an epic. It is easy to enforce, say, the duties of temperance and patriotism in rhymed verse, it is by no means easy to maintain on these themes an interesting discourse with all the sentences equipoised, all the emphatic words alliterative, and with every point driven home by allusion to the properties of a mineral or the habits of an animal. Dr Watts was too wise to burden himself thus in all his hymns. The instrument becomes too complicated, as well as too limited in its compass.

Thus *Euphuus* serves to mark the transition from verse to prose as the vehicle for narrative romantic fiction. Lyly in his "prose poem" (for so it might rightly be called) devised a kind of compromise, and paid the price of compromise in being quickly superseded. The transition itself took long to accomplish, and was involved with changes of the deepest import in the matter or purport of romance. It was not till Dryden's time that prose ceased to feel the glamour of its greater rival, not till Defoe's or Swift's that poetry at last bowed to prose. And long before either, Lyly's work had become no more than an historical landmark.

## CHAPTER III.

### THE ELIZABETHAN AGE SIDNEY AND NASH.

THE most notable of the Elizabethan writers of fiction were not imitators of Lyly. With the success of *Euphues* the day of the novel was fully come, and Brian Melbancke, John Dickenson, Barnabie Rich, and many others, told their tales, and followed their progenitor to the cell of oblivion whither he retired. Of Greene and Lodge some few more words are necessary, while Nash and Sir Philip Sidney claim places by the side of Lyly as innovators in the art of prose fiction, and foreshadowers of later schools of romancers.

Yet there is much grace, wit, and vigour buried, for lack of reprint, with these almost forgotten pamphleteers: the very cheapest of them has his share of the zest and spirit of the time. "All the distinguished writers of that period," says Thoreau—and the praise might truly be extended to many of the undistinguished—"possess a greater vigour and naturalness than the more modern, and when we read a quotation from one of them in the midst of a modern author, we seem to have come suddenly upon a greener ground, a greater depth and

strength of soil. . . . You have constantly the warrant of life and experience in what you read. The little that is said is eked out by implication of the much that was done." And speaking in particular of Sir Walter Raleigh, he suggests an explanation of the strength and grace of his writing in words that have a wider application "There is a natural emphasis in his style, like a man's tread, and a breathing-space between the sentences, which the best of modern writing does not furnish . . . Every sentence is the result of a long probation . . . The word which is best said came nearest to not being spoken at all, for it is cousin to a deed which the speaker could have better done. Nay, almost it must have taken the place of a deed by some urgent necessity, even by some misfortune, so that the truest writer will be some captive knight, after all." How true and happy a criticism this is of many writers of the time may be learnt from the annals of their lives. The monasteries were destroyed, literature as a secular profession had as yet few followers, and the adventurer was supreme there as elsewhere. Moreover, that great change in society which is called the Renaissance had convulsed the old feudal arrangements, and every man was free at last to take part in life in its fullest sense, and to store himself richly with experience. All the writers were, in one way or another, men of action, as Samuel Johnson and Thomas Carlyle never were. Lodge in the course of his life was a scholar of Oxford, a freebooting sailor, a soldier against Spain, a medical practitioner, playwright, novelist, and pamphleteer. Whetstone was courtier, soldier, farmer, and author, moreover, in the preface to

his most famous work, *Promos and Cassandra*, which Shakespeare used in his *Measure for Measure*, he sets forth how his voyage with Sir Humphrey Gilbert has interfered with the correction of the "errors" in his works. Stanhurst, the translator of the *Æneid*, is spoken of thus contemptuously by Barnabie Rich: "First he was a chronicler, then a poet, after that he professed Alchemy, and now he is become a massing priest." Nor is this by any means an exhaustive account of Stanhurst's versatility, which, again, is almost equalled by Rich's own.

The emphasis and sincerity that spring from a first hand knowledge of life are thus the great virtues of the best of Elizabethan writing. Of Sir Philip Sidney himself, whose *Arcadia* would seem but poorly to illustrate the general thesis, his friend and biographer, Lord Brooke says, "The truth is, his end was not writing, even while he wrote, but both his wit and understanding bent upon his heart to make himself and others, not in words or opinion, but in life and action, good and great." And if the Arcadian style of writing seem to have little relation to life and action, it yet bears witness in its own way to the tumultuous activity of the time. For literature has constantly the double tendency to negative the life around it, as it were, as well as to reproduce it: the lawlessness and unrest of mediæval society are echoed, with the direction reversed, in the monkish hymns of rest and visions of the endless sabbath, while Browning's strenuous *Epilogues* and Mr Stevenson's thrilling tales of adventure belong, it is no great cynicism to aver to an age of sedentary occupation. Literature, that is to say

is an escape from life, its monotony or its distractions, as well as a grappling with life and its problems. And although the *Arcadia* has more in it of the first than of the second, it is nevertheless something more than

"A shadowy isle of bliss  
Midmost the beating of the steely sea,"

and contains here and there some direct evidence of that restlessness of a high spirit which is so vividly portrayed in the *Sonnets to Stella*

It was by his life, and not by his writings, which were published posthumously, that Sidney wielded his chief influence on the age. Even in his lifetime he was something of a romantic hero to his contemporaries, and his death added a lustre from the dazzling effect of which it is difficult even now to escape in considering his writings. He was born at Penshurst in 1554, the son of Sir Henry Sidney, afterwards Lord Deputy of Ireland and Lady Mary Dudley, the daughter of Northumberland, and sister of Leicester. He was educated at Shrewsbury, where his name was entered under the same date as the name of Fulke Greville, Lord Brooke, his lifelong friend and biographer, who, on his own death, had it inscribed on his tomb that he was "friend to Sir Philip Sidney." In 1572, in preparation for courtly employ, he began a period of three years' travel, and was sheltered during the massacre of St. Bartholomew in the house of his future father-in-law, Sir Francis Walsingham, at Paris. Thence he passed to Frankfort, where he formed another of his enduring friendships with Hubert Languet, a ripe scholar and ardent reformer of fifty-four,

who did much to strengthen the lofty gravity that had always been a note of Sidney's character. Speaking of him in the *Arcadia*, Sidney says—

My skillless youth he drew  
To have a feeling taste of Him that sits  
Beyond the heaven, far more beyond our wits."

In the course of the next two years Sidney visited Vienna, Venice, Padua, and many other famous European cities, learning foreign languages, and everywhere winning the golden opinions of grave statesmen, until in 1575 he returned to the English court. Elizabeth called him "one of the jewels in her crown," and William the Silent, who was not prone to light eulogy spoke of him in 1577 as one of the ripest statesmen in Europe. But before 1580 he had fallen into disfavour with the queen, and his public employ was uncertain and intermitted. Twice he sat in Parliament. In 1583 he married Frances Walsingham and at last, having, as an outlet for his patriotic energy accompanied Leicester to the Netherlands in the capacity of governor of Flushing, he fell at the battle of Zutphen on September 22 1586. His body was conveyed to London, and his funeral celebrated at St. Pauls with rich ceremony. Never was poet's death so splendidly deplored, the elegies written on him are almost a literature. And the unanimity of praise is unbroken until the surly Ben Jonson, who never knew him, thought fit to censure his outward man in conversation with Drummond by the remark that "Sir P. Sidney was so pleasant man in countenance, his face being spotted with pimples, and of high blood, and long."

The letters of his friends convey a more pleasing impression.

Sidney's literary activity displays the versatility of the time. He wrote a masque for the court, a number of poetical versions of the Psalms, besides the *Arcadia* and those two other works without which his greatness could not adequately be measured, namely, the poems addressed to Stella, which constitute the first truly great sonnet sequence in the English tongue, and the *Apologie for Poetrie*, which remains to this day a piece of criticism showing enthusiasm and insight.

The *Sonnets* express the history of his passion for Penelope Devereux, sister to Essex, whom he first met at Kenilworth in 1575 when she was twelve years old. Some scheme of an alliance between the families was formed, but Sidney's loss of the queen's favour prevented it before his love was fully awake, and in 1580 Penelope, against her will, became Lady Rich.

There is a class of critics who, in view of the fact that the *Sonnets* (posthumously published) were addressed to Lady Rich after her marriage, hold the well-meaning opinion that Sidney never was in love with her, but employed her name as a peg on which to hang graceful fancies. Sidney himself, rising from the grave, could do nothing to convince the man who, having read the sonnets, clings to this belief. From first to last they are struck off at a white heat of glowing emotion, played over at times by the breath of conceit, but shaped with a vividness and minuteness that bespeak their intense sincerity. From the beginning to the end the reader is carried through the stormy vicissitudes of passion in all

its phases, until, by the time he reaches the noble lines, commonly printed at the close, in which the earthly love is renounced, he can almost feel the speed and impetuosity with which life was lived in that unexhausted time.

The very prologue to the *Sonnets* strikes their keynote—

“ Loving in truth, and false in verse my love to show  
That she, deaf She, might take some pleasure of my pain.

I sought fit words to paint the blackest face of woe ;  
Studying inventions fine, her wits to entertain  
Oft turning other's leaves, to see if thence would flow  
Some fresh and fruitful showers upon my sunburn'd brain.

Biting my traitant pen, beating myself for spite,  
Fool! said my Muse to me, ‘look in thy heart and write!’ ”

And before many sonnets have followed, the reader feels the truth of the poet's further declaration—

I now have learn'd love right, and learn'd even so  
As they that being poisoned poison know ”

The “dictionary's method” of rhyming Sidney ridicules, and expressly sets aside the poetry of ‘graceful fancy’ made to order, with no real goad in the occasion. There is a crowning sincerity and pathos in the two last sonnets—

Desire! Desire! I have too dearly bought,  
With price of mangled mind, thy worthless ware  
Too long, too long, asleep thou hast me brought,  
Who shouldst my mind to higher things prepare.

Leave me, O Love, which reachest but to dust  
And thou, my mind aspire to higher things ;  
Grow rich in that which never taketh rust ;  
Whatever fades, but fading pleasure brings.



"Then farewell, world; thy uttermost I see  
Eternal Love, maintain thy life in me."

The contention that the "worthless ware" that Sidney purchased had no individual existence save in his own mind is at the root of a criticism that dishonours art by robbing it of its strongest inspiration, and makes of literature a bauble. It must never be forgotten that Sidney's *Sonnets* were not written originally for publication; poetry was chosen in this as in many cases at that day for the expression of the deepest personal throes and feelings, because for those feelings it was felt to be the fittest exponent. Moreover, the vindication of Sidney's sincerity is important for this reason, that his novel lacks what his poems are thus seen to contain. There can be little doubt that had Sidney chosen to write novels in the autobiographical vein of Greene and Nash, he would have produced works equal at least to the best of either. He trod a very different path in his prose, and his *Sonnets* remain to furnish another instance of how hard it was for prose fiction to maintain itself at all in that age of surpassing poetry. With the still growing drama on the one side, hungry for material, daily devouring apace the fair themes that the novelists procured, with the lighter forms of verse on the other, ready to give their highest expression to the intensest personal experience, it was no wonder that the novel did not long succeed in maintaining itself, or that where it did succeed, it maintained itself on stilts, to be out of the reach of its adversaries. Plays had large audiences, but few persons could read, and a surprisingly large number of those who could preferred reading poetry. Thus the novel held, in Elizabeth's time,

very much the same place as was held by the drama at the Restoration, it was an essentially aristocratic entertainment. And the same pitfall waylaid both, the pitfall of artificiality. Dryden's audiences and the readers of the *Arcadia* both sought for better bread than is made of wheat, both were supplied with what satisfied them in an elaborate confection of husks.

*The Countess of Pembroke's Arcadia written by Sir Philip Sidney*, was published in 1590 but its composition belongs chiefly to the time of retirement that Sidney passed with his sister at Wilton in 1580. It was left unfinished, and Lady Mary had even instructions to burn it. As the first example in English of a pastoral romance, it commanded an influence on later writers, both in England and France, which no other Elizabethan romance attained, so that Sidney's borrowings and lendings have a real bearing in the development of the prose story. Setting aside, then, Virgil and Longus as prototypes in the pastoral kind at too great a remove, it may be said that Sidney probably borrowed his title from the *Arcadia* (1502) of Sannazaro, his treatment and incidents in part from Montemayor's *Diana* (published in 1560), and the *Amadis of Gaul*, which he had probably read in a French translation. The admixture of adventures modelled on the later school of the Romances of Chivalry unhappily takes from the pastoral its justification, which was well enunciated by Honoré d'Urfé in his *Astrée* a later and even more famous work than the *Arcadia*. In noticing the charge that the language of his shepherdesses was above their station, he says, "Réponds-leur, ma Bergère, que tu

n'es pas, ny celles aussi qui te suivent, de ces Bergères nécessiteuses qui pour gagner leur vie conduisent les troupeaux aux pasturages, mais que vous n'avez toutes pris cette condition que pour vivre plus doucement et sans contrainte." \*

There is the philosophy of the pastoral romance. To devise a set of artificial conditions that shall leave the author to work out the sentimental inter-relations of his characters undisturbed by the intrusion of probability or accident, is the problem, love *in vacuo* is the beginning and end of the pastoral romance proper. And Montemayor had approached this ideal in his device of the four lovers, two nymphs and two swains, who loved each other in cyclical order. Their passion is not very passionate it is true, but it is enough to set up a rotatory action under a receiver, and the absence of any thwarting reciprocity secures the perpetual motion of the machine—under the one condition that it does no work. But the simplicity of such a design was not often unimpaired. The pastoral convention was found to afford excellent cover for wilder game than love, and political or personal satire often took shelter there. Sidney wisely avoided these, but he fell a victim to the temptation of drama and episode. The long and complicated plot of the *Arcadia* is overburdened with incident and action, which swoon into mere dream in the scented atmosphere of the style. And the author made a worse mistake when he attempted to relieve the high-strung monotony of the story by the introduction of comic characters in the clown Dametas and his wife and daughter.

\* D'Urfé's *Astrée*, cit Dunlop, II 391.

The Vice of the old Moralities was never naturalized in the English drama until Shakespeare's day, and Sidney had no better models than "Grim, the Collier of Croydon, who plays an irrelevant and tedious part in the *Damon and Pythias* of Richard Edwards. Thus he committed the fault that himself in the *Apologie* condemned, and "thrust in the clown by head and shoulders to play a part in majestical matters, with neither decency nor discretion." The clown is a dull clown, for his creator jokes with difficulty

Sir Philip Sidney is one of the large body of Shakespeare's creditors. Gloucester and his sons in *Lear*, perhaps Valentine and the outlaws in the *Two Gentlemen*, were lent by him. And Day, Beaumont and Fletcher, and Shirley took from him what they could put to better use in adapting some of his incidents for the drama.

The debt of prose fiction to the *Arcadia* is not <sup>13</sup> quickly estimated. "Read the Countess of Pembroke's *Arcadia*," says Gabriel Harvey 'a gallant legendar full of pleasurable accidents and profitable discourses: for three things especially very notable—for amorous courting (he was young in years), for sage counselling (he was ripe in judgment) and for valourous fighting (his sovereign profession was arms), and delightful pastime: by way of pastoral exercises, may pass for the fourth." Of these divisions of praise some may be discounted at once. Harvey was willing to be "epitaphed" as the 'Inventor of the English Hexameter;' he was an enthusiastic supporter of the "Areopagus," or school of reformed versifying, and was delighted to find Sidney

unintelligibility—witness that “cartel of love” on the clearness and intricate argument of which Don Quixote doted, “The reason of the unreason which is done to my reason in such manner enfeebles my reason that with reason I lament your beauty.”

The other marks of the style are equally poetical. The famous description of the vale of Arcadia will instance them all. “There were hills which garnished their proud heights with stately trees; humble valleys, whose base estate seemed comforted with the refreshing of silver rivers, meadows, enamelled with all sorts of eye-pleasing flowers, thickets, which, being lined with most pleasant shade, were witnessed so too by the cheerful disposition of many well-tuned birds, . . . here a shepherd’s boy piping as though he should never be old, there a young shepherdess knitting, and withal singing, and it seemed that her voice comforted her hands to work, and her hands kept time to her voice-music.” It is difficult not to be reminded of a fine piece of tapestry by this artificial description, which is a good instance of Sidney’s love for, and frequent employment of, what Mr. Ruskin has called the “pathetic fallacy” The attributing of human emotions to all senseless things is no artistic fallacy when it is inspired by strong feeling, as in “Clorinda’s” beautiful lay on the death of Sidney himself—

“ Woods, hills, and rivers now are desolate,  
Sith he is gone the which them all did grace; ”

but when it is, as with Sidney, a constant recipe for a picturesque sentence, it is a cloying device. The remainder of the description epitomizes the author’s extravagances. “As for the houses of the country, they

were all scattered, no two being one by the other, and yet not so far as that it barred mutual succour; a show as it were, of an accompanable solitariness and a civil wildness." Romeo makes use of this figure, but it is to express his love for Rosaline, not for Juliet—

Why then, O brawling love! O loving hate!  
O anything of nothing first creates!

And again, "I pray you" (said Musidorus) "what countries be these we pass through, which are so divers in show, the one wanting no store, the other having no store but of want?" Here is the favourite jungle once more, in a form that recalls more than one line of Shakespeare. But Sidney wrote in prose, and as the poetical traits of his work passed for its chief glory in his own time so he has had to pay for them by the neglect of posterity. His influence reached down to the second birth of the novel in England. Pamela, her name shortened to Pamēla, came to life again, no longer a princess, but a servant-girl, she was introduced to the public by no knight, but by a Fleet Street bookseller, whose passion was not poetry but morality and she has lived on to this day. Richardson is the direct inheritor of the analytic and sentimental method in romance which Sidney had developed before him.\*

The rival tendencies of court and town which are so

\* Richardson perhaps read the *Arcadia* in Mrs. Stanley's "modernised" version (1725), where the above passage is thus rendered:—"The Shepherd Boys were playing on their Pipes, as they were ignorant they lost the Hours they soothed: a little Distance off sat a young Shepherdess a-splanning, beguiling of her task with rural Songs and Roundelays; the Houses were scattered with a kind of pleasing Irregularity but yet the Distance was not so great, to bar a mutual Succour or hinder the Pleasures of Society."

clearly marked in the Elizabethan drama, are no less conspicuous in the novel. Sidney and Lyly were both courtiers, and in their novels both cast in their lot with court tastes and tendencies, just as Sidney in his *Apologie* praises *Gorboduc*, which he says "climbeth to the height of Seneca his style," but has no word of praise for the new romantic drama. Indeed, it would not have gratified either author to hear his work spoken of as a "novel," for the word carried with it in those days something of evil imputation, of new-fangled Italianate proclivities, while "novellist" meant nothing but innovator, generally in religion. And the rest of the notable contributors to the prose fiction of Elizabeth's reign present a striking contrast to Sidney in the conditions of their work. On the one hand, the courtier, dreaming his valorous and amorous dreams in stately and leisured retirement, and committing them to paper for the private delight of his noble sister, on the other, a group of struggling adventurers, familiar with the scenes and shifts of penury, seeking for themselves money and notoriety by means of the stationers' shops in Paul's Churchyard, where their "novellets" and "love-pamphlets" would be ranged in heaps to tempt the young gallants who came for their daily lounge in the aisles of the church. And yet it was in the school of necessity that Greene and Nash, and, in a lesser degree, Lodge, learned to write in their happiest vein, and to shape out of their private experiences the beginnings of a true realistic romance.

Of Robert Greene, the facts that are known are chiefly drawn or inferred from his autobiographical pamphlets. He was born at Norwich about 1560, and entered St.

John's College, Cambridge, in 1575. There he "consumed the flower of his youth" with notorious companions, who induced him to travel with them into Italy and Spain, "in which places I saw and practised such villainy as is abominable to declare." Imbued with a spirit of restlessness and discontent, he returned to Cambridge, where he wrote his first novel, *Mamillia*, and took his M.A. degree in 1583. Coming up to London, he soon became known as an "author of plays and a penner of love pamphlets," and entered at once on the impetuous and dissolute career that was to close so early. In 1586 he married a "gentleman's daughter of good account." If Roberto's brief story in the *Great Works of Wit* may be taken as autobiographical, Greene won his wife by a trick, when she was betrothed to another. In any case he left her in a year, she returning to Lincolnshire, he to London to his old copesmates and companions.

The romantic novels, with plots adapted or imitated from the Italian, may now have had a less rapid sale than formerly or perhaps Greene himself turned to a style of writing that had become more congenial to him and was probably quite as profitable. However it may be, the last two years of his life saw the production of that curious series of pamphlets which describes so vividly the tricks and habits of the sharpers and criminals of London. These are written with knowledge, and were likely enough to be popular except with the "coney-catchers" or swindlers themselves, who bitterly resented the exposure. Finally, Greene, sinking lower and lower in want, pawned his own garments, as it were, for bread, in those marvellous confessions where he lays



his own life and heart as bare as he had laid the practices of his vagabond associates. The last of these was written in 1592, on his very deathbed in the house of a poor shoemaker called Isam, who had given him shelter when he was overtaken by his fatal surfeit of drinking. The last scene of all, familiar though it is, may be related in the words of Greene's bitter enemy, Gabriel Harvey, who visited his lodgings a day or two after his death, with the object, it is to be feared, of making controversial and didactic capital out of Greene's misery. Speaking of Greene, the shoemaker's wife told Harvey "how he was fain, poor soul, to borrow her husband's shirt while his own was a-washing, and how his doublet and hose and sword were sold for three shillings, and beside the charges of his winding-sheet, which was four shillings, and the charges of his burial yesterday, in the new churchyard near Bedlam, which was six shillings and fourpence; how deeply he was indebted to her poor husband, as appeared by his own bond of ten pounds, which the good woman kindly showed me; and beseeched me to read the writing beneath, which was a letter to his abandoned wife in the behalf of his gentle host, not so short as persuasible in the beginning, and pitiful in the ending.

*"Doll, I charge thee by the love of our youth, and by my soul's rest, that thou wilt see this man paid, for if he and his wife had not succoured me, I had died in the streets.*

"ROBERT GREENE."

It is easy to condemn the man, impossible not to love him important to understand him, for his is a typical

figure. He may be taken as the very epitome of many writers of the time, wild, profligate Bohemians in their lives, and in their writings earnest and often terrible moralists. Yet it surely is not necessary, with M. Jusserand, to assign to Greene "two separate selves" in order to understand this. Rather, the man who should preach repentance without having felt, as Greene did, all the anguish of self reproach and self-abasement, all the bitterness of the fruits of his misdoing, is in need of two selves, one for the pulpit, another for the complacent regulation of his private affairs. Greene had but one self, full of impulse, readily kindled to generosity or carried by sympathy or ridicule into vice, and above all filled with that artistic instinct which compelled him to give expression in poetic or literary form to what he felt and knew. The despairing verses in the *Greensword of Wit*, expressing the sorrow and penitence wherewith he breathed out his life, are as perfect in form as they are matchless in intensity. There is a heart-stirring pathos about his retrospect of the love-lyrics and love-romances that he had written:—

' Oft have I sung of love and of his fire;  
But now I find that poet was advised,  
Which made full feasts increasers of desire,  
And proves weak love was with the poor despised;  
For when the life with food is not sufficed,  
What thoughts of love, what motion of delight,  
What pleasure can proceed from such a wight?"

In the same year the Jesuit priest, Robert Southwell, was thrown into prison, where he was kept for three years and "ten several times was most cruelly racked," for no other crime than belonging to the Society of

Jesus, and he too solaced his extremity by setting his desire for death, as Greene set his for life, to some of those clear poetic melodies of which the secret is well-nigh lost :

“ By force I live, in will I wish to die ;  
In plaint I pass the length of lingering days ,  
Free would my soul from mortal body fly ,  
And tread the track of death's desired ways ;  
Life is but loss where death is deemed gain ,  
And loathed pleasures breed displeasing pain .”

With both men poetry was a passion rather than an accomplishment ; both poured out their sorrows in verse, as if verse were not only the most adequate, but also the easiest speech. And such verse baffles criticism, for it is more than verse ; it is the treasured spirit of a man.

It is no wonder, then, that Greene's latest novels (so to call them) are also his best. His growth in power during the nine brief years of his literary activity was immense. In *Mamilla* it is difficult to see much more than an imitation of *Euphues*, and a poor imitation, for Greene neglects the alliteration and out-Lylys Lyly, if that were possible, in his idle multiplication of instances from natural history. In *Pandosto* (1588), on which the *Winter's Tale* was founded, he shows a much matured power in the art of maintaining interest in a story, and this art reaches its height in *Menaphon* (1589), or perhaps in *Philomela* (1592). But before the last of these he had eclipsed them in the pamphlets already mentioned, where he drew from experience, and, casting Euphuism aside, wrought, save for the sparks that would fly, directly and simply. It was an invention on which

Nash modelled his best writings, and, so modelling it, surpassed his teacher. To substitute for a fancied Arcadia, with its quaint mixture of pagan deities, feudal institutions, and Christian ideas, the real London of the time, and to introduce into the story contemporary characters, speaking and acting as in life, was an immense advance, and although Greene and Nash had no disciples, and the novel followed a very different line of development for the next century, yet they deserve the credit of their attempt.

Thomas Lodge, who collaborated with Greene in play making, escaped the fate that befell his friend. The son of a Lord Mayor of London, he was educated at Oxford, where he seems to have come under Lyly's influence, thence he came to London and took up the study of law, diversified by excursions into literature. A bout that he had with Stephen Gosson shows that Lodge too had lived in Bohemia, if his antagonist may be believed. Gosson speaks of him as "hunted by the heavy hand of God, and become little better than a vagrant, looser than liberty, lighter than vanity itself." But Lodge never fell into that limbo of Bohemia where Greene spent the last years of his life, literature was never his mainstay and he took to cruising, accompanying Captain Clarke to the Canaries, and Master Thomas Cavendish to the Straits of Magellan and Patagonia. Returned home, he became a physician, and died as late as 1625, having seen the end, as he had seen the beginning, of the first period of English prose fiction.

His first novel, the *Delectable Historie of Forbenius and Frisaria*, published in 1584, is a pallid romance of the

school of Lyly The plot is slight. Two lovers are withheld from their bliss by nothing more considerable than the rancorous opposition of the lady's father, but Forbonius disguises himself as a shepherd, and the elder man, after detecting him, succumbs to that Arcadian device, and withdraws his hostility The style is a faded and decadent Euphuism; the balanced sentence is retained, to the extreme of monotony, but the air of epigram that alliteration lends, and the colour furnished by profuse simile, are almost entirely foregone, to the detriment of a languid theme.

Lodge's most famous novel, the *Rosalynde, Euphuus Golden Legacie*, was written on shipboard, and published in 1590. "Room," he says in the preface, "for a soldier and a sailor, that gives you the fruits of his labours that he wrought in the ocean, when every line was wet with a surge, and every humorous passion counterchecked with a storm." The romance is written in a style that often, to its own advantage, forgets *Euphuus*, and Lodge must have the credit of the delightful story of *As You Like It*. He must have the credit of it, that is, when Jaques, Touchstone, and Audrey, who are Shakespeare's own, have been deducted on the one hand, and when the part of the plot that is drawn from the older *Tale of Gamelyn* has been deducted on the other. This leaves Lodge with the lion's share of the plot-interest in the shape of the love-affairs of the Forest of Arden. Lodge did well in many diverse kinds of writing, but he never surpassed the idyllic beauty of this pastoral tale.

*An Alarum against Usurers*, Lodge's first essay in the more directly moral and realistic style of writing,

was published in 1584, earlier than Greene's "coney catching" pamphlets, and dedicated, along with his first novel, to Sir Philip Sidney. As the son of a well-to-do citizen, and the heir to some property, Lodge had doubtless received overtures from the brokers and usurers whose malpractices he describes. Whether he ran the whole course of the "young novice" of his story who, "thinking he had God Almighty by the beel, held the Devil by the toe, and by this means was brought to utter wrack and ruin," remains a matter of doubt. He passed away from Bobemia to wider scenes, and never followed up this or kindred subjects.

A year or two before Lodge took his farewell of the "ballet makers, pamphleteers, press-haunters, boon pot poets, and such like," who were Greene's companions in London, to sail for Brazil with Cavendish, there appeared on the same stage a writer ten years younger than he in age, ten times greater in force, in the person of "ingenuous, ingenious, fluent, facetious Thomas Nash." Nash was born at Lowestoft in 1567 the third son of "William Nash, Minister" (whatever that may mean), and entered St. John's College, Cambridge, as a sizar in 1582. He says he remained there for "seven year together, lacking a quarter," but Harvey alleges that, "fearing he would not attain to the next Degree," he "forsook Cambridge, being Bachelor of the third year." Except on the supposition of some such temporary interruption of his college course, it is difficult to find a place in Nash's brief life for the travels in Germany and Italy to which his works bear almost unimpeachable witness. By the year 1589 at any rate, he was in London with Greene, whose friendship

he had made during his first year at college, and to whom he loyally clung until death parted them. In that year he wrote an introductory discourse to Greene's *Menaphon*, and produced his own first original prose tract, the *Anatomic of Absurditie*, a kind of comic counterpart to Stubbes' austere *Anatomic of Abuses* (1583). Stubbes found the "licentious follies of the times" intensely wicked, Nash found them also very ludicrous. Both were agreed on what no one at that time denied, the degeneracy and depravity of the age.

The rest of Nash's known history is little more than a history of his writings and his controversies. Of these latter one was public, the famous Marprelate war, into which, with native impetuosity, Nash seems to have rushed soon after he reached London, as a freelance on the side of the bishops. The other was a private war with Gabriel Harvey, Greene's antagonist, and was waged over Greene's body. The astonishing vigour of Nash's abusive wit reached its zenith in the pamphlet entitled *Have with you to Saffron Walden, or Gabriel Harvey's Hunt is up. Containing a full Answer to the eldest son of the Halter-Maker* (1596). The war had begun about the question of Harvey's pedigree. He was the son of a rope-maker at Saffron Walden, and the various uses to which a rope may be put in the social economy did not fail to figure largely in the attacks on him. This wild war of wit, transcending in power even the ancient Scottish "flytings," makes it clear that Shakespeare, in Kent's abuse of Oswald or Petruchio's apostrophe to the tailor, was merely reproducing what he had seen and heard. Nash's part in it, moreover, proves

that Mercurio was no poet's fancy, but walked embodied in the streets of London.

He knew the hardships of his profession and time From the autobiographical fragments scattered at random through his works, it appears that he long indulged vain hopes from the court, and that he had found a patron who sustained him with more than promises in Sir George Carey, afterwards Lord Hunsdon, to whose wife and daughter respectively two of his tracts are dedicated. Perhaps Sir George Carey's connection with Suffolk, where he held the estate of Herstwood in Great Saxham, commended him to the notice of the young wit in search of a protector. It is certain that in the *Terrors of the Night* (1594) Nash introduces a long digression in praise of that "fortunate blessed island," the Isle of Wight, of which Carey was captain-general, and the "thrice noble and illustrious chieftain" who governed it. His words leave no doubt that he had received substantial benefits at the hands of the subject of his eulogy—

"Men that have never tasted that full spring of his liberality wherewith (in my most forsaken extremities) right graciously he hath deigned to revive and refresh me, may rashly (at first sight) implead me of flattery, and not esteem these my fervent terms as the necessary repayment of due debts."

But therein they are mistaken. It is not from a lively sense of favours to come that this gratitude proceeds—

'Whatsoever minute's Intermission I have of calmed content, or least respite to call my wits together, principal and immediate, proceedeth from him. Through him my tender wainscot study door is delivered from much assault



and battery ; through him I look into and am looked on in the world , from whence otherwise I were a wretched banished exile ”

It is a brief but vivid glimpse of the conditions of life in that land of stress and storm where Nash dwelt “Debt and deadly sin,” as he himself cheerfully remarked, “who is not subject to?” Greene had dedicated some of his works to great names, but there was no one to beat back that last onslaught of creditors from the doors of the house where he lay dying. And with Nash the struggle did not last for many years. In August, 1597, he was in the Fleet prison for his unlucky play the *Isle of Dogs*, which is now lost, in 1599 was published his *Lenten Stuffle*, written “because I had money lent me at Yarmouth, and I pay them again in praise of their town and the red herring ;” by 1601 he had died—how and where is not known.

It is as a writer of prose that Nash deserves to live. He prided himself on the fact that his style was of his own begetting, owing nothing to Tarlton, Lyly, Greene, or other fashionable models.

“*Euphues* I read when I was a little ape at Cambridge, and I then thought it was *ipse ille* : it may be excellent still for aught I know, but I looked not on it this ten year, but to imitate it I abhor”

This, no doubt, is an extravagant boast, *Euphues* was in the air, and in his earliest work its influence is apparent enough. For instance, to take one out of many passages in the *Anatome of Absurditie*, there is more than a spice of Euphuism in this criticism on Virgil and Ovid —

“I commend their wit, not their wantonness, their

learning not their lust, yet even as the bee out of the bitterest flowers and sharpest thistles gathers honey so out of the filthiest fables may profitable knowledge be sucked and selected."

So also with the other literary fashions of the time—they have left their mark, slight though it be, on his work. There is a reminiscence of one of Sidney's favourite devices in this lovely description of the anxiety felt for Leander by Hero, whose story is introduced parenthetically in the *Lenten Stuff*—

"Hero hoped, and therefore she dreamed (as all hope is but a dream), her hope was where her heart was, and her heart winding and turning with the wind, that might wind her heart of gold to her, or else turn him from her."

But the strongest and best of his writing is his own, or if a comparison must be found for it, it merits this high praise—it is likest of all others to Shakespeare's prose writing. The same irrepressible inexhaustible wit, the same overpowering and often careless wealth of vocabulary, the same delight in humorous aberrations of logic distinguish both writers. And Shakespeare alone of his sixteenth-century contemporaries can surpass Nash in the double command of the springs of terror and of humour. Like Shakespeare, like Sir Walter Scott, Nash was entirely free from all slavish credulity, astrology and the science of portents he makes a constant theme of his ridicule—with all the greater zest, perhaps, because Richard Harvey a brother of the pedantic Gabriel, was a professed astrologer;—yet he knows, as Shakespeare and Scott knew, the

reality of the mysterious, and can turn the supernatural to the best of artistic account. The *Terrors of the Night* shows him revelling in all the horrors that his imagination can draw from the circumstances of darkness and "that time most fatal and unhallowed." "As touching the terrors of the night," he begins, "they are as many as our sins," and he proceeds to "amplify" them under many heads, with the whole store of his weirdest imagery. If any ask why the devil is specially "conversant and busy in churchyards," it is because a rich man, Nash replies, "delights in nothing so much as to be incessantly raking in his treasury, to be turning over his rusty gold every hour the bones of the dead the devil counts his chief treasury, and therefore is he continually raking amongst them." But "what do we talk of one devil?" he breaks off; "there is not a room in any man's house but is pestered and close packed with a camp royal of devils." From the subject of devils he goes on to treat of dreams, until, tiring of this too, he proclaims, with characteristic volatility, that those who would read further on this matter must betake themselves to Artemidorus, Synesius, and Cardan, "with many others which only I have heard by their names, but I thank God had never the plodding patience to read, for if they be no better than some of them I have perused, every weatherwise old wife might write better."

In *Christ's Teares over Jerusalem* (1593), a pamphlet the latter part of which deals with the vices and excesses of Elizabethan London, his vivid and picturesque handling of terrible themes reaches its height in the description of the torments reserved for those men and women

who "put all their felicity in going pompously and garishly they care not how they impoverish their substance, to seem rich to the outward appearance. As many jags, blisters, and scars shall toads, cankers, and serpents make on your pure skins in the grave, as now you have cuts, jags, or raisings upon your garments.

For thy flaring flounced perwigs, low dangled down with love-locks, shalt thou have thy head side dangled down with more snakes than ever it had hairs. In the mould of thy brain shall they clasp their mouths, and, gnawing through every part of thy skull, ensnarl their teeth amongst thy brains as an eagle ensnarleth his hook amongst weeds. In the hollow cave of thy mouth,

basilisks shall keep house, and supply thy talk with hissing when thou strivest to speak. For thy carcanets of pearl, shalt thou have carcanets of spiders, or the green venomous flies cantharides."

And in *Pierce Pennilesse, his Supplication to the Diuell* (1592), a passage describing the ultimate fate of the usurer, the murderer, the drunkard and the wanton anticipates with very singular closeness the description of the pains of hell given by Ford in the most famous of his tragedies.

In his most natural vein, when he is writing in a loose, easy, bantering, conversational style, "*quicquid in buccam venerit* as fast as my hand can trot," Nash is perhaps at his best. No pretext is too slight to excuse a digression, or to introduce an imaginary conversation between the author and the reader. Fielding introduces himself to the reader, as it were, only between the acts, Nash delights in popping up his head in

and out of season, and appearing in full view as the worker of the marionettes "Methinks I hear one say," he remarks in *Pierce Penniless*, "what a fop is this, he entitles his book a Supplication to the Devil, and doth nothing but rail on idiots, and tells a story of the nature of spirits. Have patience, good sir, and we'll come to you by and bye. Is it my title you find fault with? Why, have you not seen a nobleman derive his barony from a little village where he hath least land? So fareth it by me in christening of my book." Then, after continuing the digression for some sentences, he turns on himself in the same abrupt manner "But what a vein am I fallen into! What, an Epistle to the Readers in the end of thy book? Out upon thee for an arrant block, where learnedst thou that wit? Oh sir, hold your peace; a felon never comes to his answer before the offence be committed. Wherefore, if I in the beginning of my book should have come off with a long apology to excuse myself, it were all one as if a thief, going to steal a horse, should devise by the way as he went what to speak when he came at the gallows. Here is a cross way, and I think it good here to part. Farewell, farewell, good Parenthesis, and commend me to Lady Vanity, thy mistress." And with this subtle turn of his satire against himself, the author is off with one parenthesis only to take up at once with another

Of Nash's numerous pamphlets only one is written strictly in the form of a novel. *The Unfortunate Traveller, or the Life of Jacke Wilton*, (1594) is remarkable in English literature as the earliest example of a picaresque romance—that is to say, a romance describing

realistically the shifts and adventures, perils and escapes, of a light hearted, witty spring-heeled knave, who goes through all worldly vicissitudes, thus lending himself to his creator's purpose of gaining the opportunity to describe or satirize all classes of society. The Spanish novel *Lazarillo de Tormes* which appeared some forty years before Nash's *Unfortunate Traveller*, is, if medieval allegories like *Reynard the Fox* be set aside, the earliest in this kind, which was especially prolific in England during the eighteenth century. The plot of this class of romance is always slight, often hardly a plot at all the character of the hero, and the reader's interest in his fortunes, giving to the work the only unity that it attains. Hence it is impossible to set down the *Unfortunate Traveller* as Nash's single contribution to novel literature, when almost all his pamphlets abound in detached scenes, incidents, and character sketches, precisely similar in kind to those that gave its chief merit to his more deliberate attempt at fiction-writing. The slender thread of narrative on which these incidental scenes for once are strung may fairly be regarded as unessential.

Yet this narrative itself gives Nash an additional claim on the notice of the genealogist of the novel. For "Jack Wilton," the hero of the book, is made page to the famous Earl of Surrey of the time of Henry VIII., and thus a colour of historical interest is lent to adventures, and a semblance of probability thrown over travels, which, in very fact, would seem to have been Nash's own. Certainly the tastes and sympathies of "Jack Wilton" agree very accurately with those that Nash

displays in his other prose works. "God so love me," the author of *Pierce Penniless* exclaims, "as I love the quick-witted Italians, and therefore love them the more, because they mortally detest this surly swinish generation" (viz. the Danes). The account given in the *Unfortunate Traveller* of certain academic festivities at Wittenberg reveals the same antipathy to the Teutonic races —

"We were spectators of a very solemn scholastical entertainment of the Duke of Saxony thither, whom because he was the chief patron of their University, and had took Luther's part in banishing the mass and all like Papal jurisdiction out of their town, they crouched unto extremely. The chief ceremonies of their entertainment were these first, the heads of their university (they were great heads of certainty) met him in their hooded hypocrisy and doctorly accoutrements, *secundum formam statuti*, where by the Orator of the University, whose pickerdevant was very plentifully besprinkled with rose water, a very learned or rather ruthless oration was delivered, (for it rained all the while), signifying thus much, that it was all by patch and by piece-meal stolen out of Tully, and he must pardon them, for they did it not in any ostentation of wit, (which they had not), but to show the extraordinary good will they bare the Duke, (to have him stand in the rain till he was thorough wet) a thousand *quemadmodums* and *quapropters* he came over him with, every sentence he concluded with *Esse posse videatur*; through all the nine worthies he ran with praising and comparing him, Nestor's years he assured him of under the broad seal of their

supplications, and with that crow trodden verse in Virgil, *Dum juga montis oper*, he packed up his pipes and cried *dixi*."

The sketch of the "ink horn orator" who gave a "broaching double-beer oration" on this occasion is in the same spirit of burlesque. He had a "sulphurous big swollen large face, like a Saracen, eyes like two Kentish oysters, a mouth that opened as wide every time he spake as one of those old knut trap doors, a beard as though it had been made of a birds nest plucked in pieces, which consisteth of straw, hair and dirt mixed together. He was appparelled in black leather new liquored, and a short gown without any gathering in the back, faced before and behind with a bolsterous bear skin, and a red night cap on his head."

On the evening after this, Wilton relates, the Duke was hidden to a dramatic entertainment, where *Acolastus*, the *Prodigal child*, was "handled by scholars." "The only thing they did well was the prodigal child's hunger, most of their scholars being hungerly kept," he observes, and their drama is treated by him with as great a wealth of humorous scorn as their learning or their drinking. "The next day they had solemn disputations, where Luther and Carolostadius scolded level coil. A mass of words I wot well they heaped up against the Mass and the Pope, but farther particulars of their disputations I remember not. Luther had the louder voice, Carolostadius went beyond him in beating and bouncing with his fists, *Quæ supra nos nihil ad nos*. They uttered nothing to make a man laugh, and so I will leave them." And with this reflection, in the true



spirit alike of the *pícaro* of romance and of facetious Thomas Nash, the traveller hurries on with his adventures to the point where his master and himself, having traversed France and Germany, arrive in due course at Venice.

The description of what the traveller did and saw in Italy amply vindicates and illustrates the well-known invectives of Ascham, Harrison, and other grave writers against the Circe of the nations. If the younger English gallant of that age was educated in drinking habits, as Nash and others would seem to believe, by the example of the Low Countries, from Italy he brought deadlier lessons. "The sink and drain of hell" is the best name that Harrison can find for Italy, and he instances one lesson in particular that was often brought thence, that "forgiveness is only to be showed when full revenge is made" The incident of the crimes of Esdras of Grenada, and the vengeance taken on him by Cutwolf, related in the latter part of the *Unfortunate Traveller*, is as lurid and fearful a tale of the *vendetta* as exists in literature. Esdras, when brought to bay by his enemy, whose brother he had killed, begs passionately for his life, offering to undertake any execrable enterprise, to slaughter his kindred, and to renounce his baptism as the price of it. Then Cutwolf bethinks himself of a "notable new Italianism," whereby he may spill the soul as well as the body of his victim. He promises life to Esdras on condition that he shall write an obligation of his soul to the devil, and the wretched man at once pours forth eagerly his blasphemous abjurations. Then the moment was come—"I shot him full into the

throat with my pistol, so did I shoot him that he might never speak after or repent him. No true Italian but will honour me for it" Then, as if the reader had not supped full of horrors in the description, prolonged through many pages, of this scene, Nash proceeds with unabated zest to as minute an account of the torture and execution of Cutwolf himself.

It was not for nothing that Nash boasted of his admiration for the Italians, he is perhaps the purest product of the Italian Renaissance to be found among English men of letters. Greene alludes to him as "young Juvenal," but there is no doubt that he owed more to Pietro Aretino, for whose every line he professes an unqualified admiration, than to the Roman satirist, whose English followers wrote in rhymed heroics. And in many points of character and style he recalls to memory that other Italian, who excelled in art and left morals, theory and practice, to the specialist,—Benvenuto Cellini. So Nash, born further north, loved poetry and hated the Puritans—with the hatred, not of a religious partisan, but of a scholar and a wit. He is always ready for a bout with these enemies of polite learning, and from his earliest work to his latest heaps contempt equally on them and on all "poor Latinless authors," and "lay chronigraphers, that write of nothing but of Mayors and Sheriffs, and the dear year, and the great frost." But of poetry he cannot speak without enthusiasm. "The honey of all flowers, the quintessence of all sciences, the marrow of wit, the very phrase of angels"—these are a few of the phrases he strings together, in his breathless fashion, when the word occurs in his pages.

His style owes much to this unfailing attraction that poetry has for him. In the neglect of any rigid distinction between the functions of prose and verse he only resembles his contemporaries, but the special form which the poetic influence takes in his case, distinguishes him from the rest. Lyly borrowed or adapted the form of verse, Sir Philip Sidney rifled the store of its fancies and conceits,—Nash is attracted chiefly by terse and telling metaphor of a really illuminative kind, permissible enough in prose no doubt, but lending to it a richly decorative and essentially poetic effect when scattered over the page with all a poet's freedom. The fact he has to relate often becomes little better than a model on which he displays one after another the brocaded suits available for its clothing. Yet there is hardly one of these costumes that does not fit the wearer. He will not say that many of the popes are poisoned; he puts it thus "In Rome the Papal chair is washed, every five year at the furthest, with this oil of aconitum,"—a grim figure which suggests as much as it says. His satirical intent is, no doubt, in part responsible for the frequent directness and simplicity of his metaphors, in part his desire for vividness dictates figures such as might be called "natural" figures—of the kind, that is, to be found among the illiterate classes of a people. The Italian executioner in the *Unfortunate Traveller* would, Nash says, "at the first chop with his wood-knife, fish for a man's heart, and fetch it out as easily as a plum from the bottom of a porridge-pot. He would crack necks as fast as a cook cracks eggs, a fiddler cannot turn his pin so soon, as he would turn a man off the ladder." The same love of

vividness leads him to anticipate, not only Defoe, but many of the modern writers of fiction who have sought to find in dialect an unexhausted unartificialized field whence to gather telling and direct expressions. Certainly there are few writers of adventures of a later date who might not justly covet Nash his description, in the *Letter Stuffe* of the hardships undergone by sea-faring adventurers. 'Some of these for their haughty climbing come home with wooden legs, and some with none, but leave body and all behind, those that escape to bring news tell of nothing but eating tallow and young blackamoors, of five and five to a rat in every mess and the ship-boy to the tail, of stopping their noses when they drunk stinking water that came out of the pump of the ship, and cutting a greasy buff jerkin in tipes and broiling it for their dinners."

To come to Defoe, with whom Nash has inevitably been compared the parallelisms in the works of the two men are many and striking. As Sir Philip Sidney was the precursor of Richardson, so Nash is the direct fore runner of Defoe. Yet, while it is certain that Richardson had read the *Arcadia*, there is no ground, unless a ground be made of internal evidence, for thinking that Defoe had so much as seen any work of Nash's. The passage in the *Unfortunate Traveller* that describes the desolate condition of Rome during the plague, and another in *Christ's Taxes* where a picture is drawn of a bright-arrayed angel with a naked sword who had stopped the plague in former times, might give rise to doubt. But the probability is that both men, in their realistic pictures, were plagiarists from a common source—the

general experience and knowledge of mankind. This much is certain, that as Nash was the first in the field with a realistic novel, so also he remained, for a good deal more than a century, the last • he had no disciples, no one improved on or even imitated this way of writing, he abandoned it himself, and fiction developed on other lines. Thus the *Unfortunate Traveller* stands alone among the productions of a many-sided, vigorous, and brilliant age, and among the novels of that age must certainly be counted the most vigorous and brilliant. It would be a still higher compliment to its author if Defoe, by merely going back to nature, should ever be made suspect of going back to Nash.

## CHAPTER IV

### THE ROMANCES OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

THE end of the reign of Elizabeth marks at once the zenith of the English drama and the end of the first period of the English novel. A few desultory novels or pamphlets, modelled on one or other of the three original masters, appeared during the reign of James I., but these are either feeble imitations or reversions to the earlier and unfailingly popular type of 'jests.' Thus Lady Mary Wroth's *Uranus* (1621) is written strictly after the style of Sidney, whose niece she was. Thomas Dekker's prose works contain more than one pamphlet which owes something to Naah, but, invaluable as the *Gull's Horne-books* and other of its author's works are for their descriptions of the life of the age, they cannot be said to have carried on the development of the structure of the novel. Rather Dekker fell back on satiric and descriptive pamphleteering, and although, in one or two of his pamphlets, notably in the *Raven's Almanack* (1609), he tells a series of stories in good set terms, for the most part his stories are mere anecdotes, sometimes illustrative of the matter in hand, sometimes utterly

irrelevant to it, and told for their proper wit. A more elaborate attempt than any of Dekker's is to be found in the vivid little tract called *Westward for Smelts* (1620), where a collection of stories is set in a realistic narrative framework,—whereas Dekker adheres uniformly to the rhetorical or didactic framework. But even here, while the enveloping story, which narrates how five fishwives beguile the voyage from Queenhithe to Kingston by telling each a tale, is of some antiquarian interest, and while the portraits of the fishwives themselves are strongly and minutely drawn after the Dutch manner, the tales told by the way are merely adapted versions of stories at least as old as Boccaccio.

It is no matter for wonder that the novel found it difficult permanently to rise above the artistic level of the *Hundred Merry Tales*. All constructive literary work was apt to seek expression in the drama, and Dekker himself when he had a more intricate story to tell, although he was by no means "our best plotter," told it on the stage, with greater profit and applause than a mere pamphleteer could expect. And so, in point of fact, the novel was swallowed up by the drama, and in due time, after the death of the two last dramatists of the Elizabethan school, Ben Jonson and Dekker, the drama itself, in its frivolous and shapeless old age, was swallowed up by the rising tide of political troubles. From the year 1642, when Parliament decreed the cessation of stage-playing, until a year or two before the Restoration, few plays were acted in England. Moreover the statute of 1648, enjoining the destruction of play-houses, the whipping of actors, and the infliction

of fines on play-goers, broke the continuity of dramatic tradition by scattering the companies, and made sure that the drama should not rise again in its old likeness. Other branches of literature suffered only less. The strongest and most active minds of the time were engaged on political problems, and the nation passed, with Milton, from poems to treatises.

Yet in this time of political turmoil and preoccupation when even love-songs were set to the notes of the trumpet rather than the flute, one species of fiction, as if to vindicate its absolute unconcern with life and its remoteness from living human interests, gained a footing and maintained an elegant existence in England. For it was during the Commonwealth, while Milton was calling Salmasius bad names in good Latin, that translation after translation of the French heroic romances, or *romans à longue haleine*, was offered to an eager public.

The vogue of the later artificial romances of chivalry had never wholly ceased in England. In spite of the blind hostility of the classicists to all mediæval themes, Amadis and Palmerin, whose mediævalism was half theatrical, had maintained their hold upon the public and their influence upon later romancers. In the pastoral school of Sidney and d'Urfé that influence shines in conspicuous fashion; it may also be detected in such works as the forgotten novels of Emanuel Ford, enormously popular in their own day. *Parismus, the Renowned Prince of Bohemia* (1598) and *Ornatus and Artesia*, by the same author, doubtless attained their many editions precisely because they were chary of



following the new realistic or witty fashions, but conducted their heroes through the approved exercises of chivalry with old-fashioned ceremony and wealth of episode. Nothing is harder to kill than a school of romance, driven from the high places of literature, it will maintain, in all kinds of inaccessible nooks and crevices of society, a life that demands neither the air of criticism nor the soil of thought. Early in the seventeenth century the English bookseller knew, if the English author did not, that the romance of chivalry was not dead. And there was certainly a public ready for the French heroic romances when they were imported into England from the Hôtel de Rambouillet, the hot-house where the finest of them had been raised.

These romances must be regarded as yet another step in the decadence of the romance of chivalry. They carry to a more extravagant excess the faults inherited or developed by the pastoral romance. The absolute predominance of love as a motive in war and politics, the immense complexity of intrigue, the long soliloquies and sentimental analyses on perfectly conventional lines, the superhuman valour of lovers, and the number of continents that witness their exploits, the excitement of the satire, suspected or intended, on contemporary kingdoms and courts,—all these, familiar already in the pastoral romance, are reintroduced in the heroic, and exaggerated to the point of distraction. Two other traits are added to these—first, the introduction of the historical interest, if it may be so called, by the interweaving of well-known classical or oriental characters, such as Mark Anthony, Cleopatra, Artaxerxes, Mithridates, in

the mesh of the intrigue, and, secondly, the peculiar structure of the main plot. For the story which begins on the first page is often simple enough in itself, were it not that every character introduced has also a story of his own to unfold, which story again may contain characters equally interesting and equally desirous of relating the whole of their romantic adventures. Thus the introduction of a new character is a thing the reader learns to fear, it will probably delay the main action for a book or two. And when he finds himself plunged deep into the adventures of one who has no existence even among the characters of the main story, but leads a parasitic life, the shadow of a shade, in the narration of one of these, his patience is apt to fail. The stories are arranged one within another, as if they had swallowed one another in succession, and it is not until they are successively disgorged that the reader can get back to the enveloping story, which after all may disappoint him by proving itself to be the merest covering, with no particular structure or object of its own.

The earliest of the "long winded romances" proper, *Polixandre*, by the Sieur de Gomberville, was published in 1632 in four volumes quarto, and became the precursor, and to some extent the model, of the later and even more voluminous romances of *La Calprenède* and *Mademoiselle de Scudéry*. It was translated into English by W. Browne in 1647, and from this date onward the French heroic romances all found translators and an English folio dress. The most popular of them, *Cassandre*, *Le Grand Cyrus* and *Cliffie*, enjoyed an immense vogue in England, and exercised a literary influence

that for a time carried all before it in the heroic play. Their popularity, unlike that of the heroic plays, outlasted the century, and so late as 1752 gave occasion to the clever, though somewhat fine-drawn, satire of *The Female Quixote*, a novel wherein Mrs. Charlotte Lennox sets forth the perils that environ a young lady who goes through life applying the ideas and standards of the heroic romances, and expecting from the Tom Joneses or Lovelaces of the eighteenth century the deportment and conversation of the grand Cyrus, or of Ibrahim, that illustrious Pasha. But it was the generation to which Mrs Samuel Pepys and Dorothy Osborne belonged that was trained to appreciate the heroic play, and to spend long days in the perusal of the heroic romance; the clear sense and critical humour of the age of the *Spectator* were not favourable to their continued popularity. When the novel arose once more with Richardson, they soon passed into entire oblivion, bequeathing their following of trained and patient readers to the long-winded heroines of *Clarissa Harlowe* or *Sir Charles Grandison*.

To sketch the story of one only of the French heroic romances would prove too long a task. Modern critics are agreed that they are unreadable, and their general characteristics may be studied with more profit by the English reader in the original works that were written by English imitators. For La Calprenède and Scudéry found imitators in England as well as translators. Roger Boyle, Earl of Orrery, John Crowne the dramatist, George Mackenzie a young advocate in Scotland, afterwards Sir George Mackenzie the king's counsel and "the persecutor

of the saints of God," all produced romances that serve at least to show how powerful a sway the heroics of the French school exercised over literary imagination and production in England. An examination of these works will give some insight into the tastes of polite society at the time when Bunyan was meditating his *Pilgrim's Progress* and Mr Thomas Rymer was criticizing Milton's *Paradise Lost*, which some," as he indignantly remarks, "are pleased to call a poem." By reading these original romances we learn what it was that the ladies and wits of the court of King Charles II. were pleased to call heroic.

The earliest and longest of the original works in this kind, *Parthenissa* (1654), by Roger Boyle, Earl of Orrery, might well intimidate the most omnivorous of antiquarians. Boyle, after spending some of his earlier years and forming his taste in France and Italy, returned to England to take an active part in the Civil Wars, fighting at first on the Royalist side, and later lending his assistance to Cromwell in the pacification of Ireland. The fruit of his leisure, *Parthenissa*, illustrates all the worst faults of the heroic style. It opens with a description of "a stranger richly armed, and proportionably blest with all the gifts of nature and education," arriving at the temple of Venus, at Hierapolis in Syria, to consult the Oracle. While his servant seeks for lodgings in the neighbourhood, the stranger attracts a large concourse of interested spectators by the melancholy of his demeanour and the languor of his gait. Among these, Callimachus, "the Prince of that holy Society" is at last emboldened to invite him to relate his life. This

unwarranted piece of folly on the part of Callimachus, is destined to delay the main narrative for several hundred pages, for the stranger at once begins. "My name is Artabanes, I was born in the reign of Orodes, the present King of Parthia, who is also called Arsaces, I had my education with Orodes' son, the Prince Pacorus. . . . My father, whose name is Moneses, was uncle to Tigranes, and only brother to Tiridates his father"—but it would require the exact memory, the leisure, and the taste for genealogy of a "person of honour" of the seventeenth century to go further with this or with any of the similar preambles that introduce the plentiful biographies of *Parthenissa*. It is Parthenissa herself, the daughter of Miraxtorses, a Parthian general, that Artabanes is in love with; the crosses that interrupted the smooth course of love are inflicted partly by fate, partly by the ponderous introductions given to themselves by new characters on their entrance into the story. The affairs of Artabanes indeed move smoothly enough at the outset, he meets and defeats at a tournament one Ambixules, an Arabian prince who travels the country attended by twenty-four negro pages, well-mounted, and each carrying, pendant at the tip of an ebony wand, a portrait of some excellent beauty. These are the portraits of the ladies whose champions Ambixules had overcome in the lists, fighting on behalf of the superior charms of Mizalınza, his own deceased beauty, whose death, and inability when living to tolerate her lover, had united to fan his flame to this heroic pitch. Artabanes, however, makes short work of his pretensions, by slaying him and presenting his

collection of pictures to Parthenissa. More formal wooing ensues. The lady cannot be induced to express herself with any clearness, she writes a letter to her lover which causes him to ejaculate, "Unfortunate Artabanès, here is not enough kindness to make thee live, nor cruelty to make thee die!" While he is in this dilemma, compelled to live an unchartered life or die an unchartered death, the lady herself visits him, and relaxes her indifference. Their nuptials would have been celebrated and Artabanès' relation ended, had not a war broken out between Arsaces and the King of Armenia. Artabanès offers his services, and after performing prodigies of valour, takes prisoner a general of the other side, one Artavasdes, who rewards him for sparing his life by relating the particulars of it, at a length exceeding thirty folio pages. If after this the pated reader should be able to drag on with Artabanès' resumed narration, he will find himself, at page six hundred and thirteen, back at Hierapolis in Syria, with Callimachus' story yet to begin. But never to finish, for the noble author tired of his story, and left it incomplete. Indeed, the world itself would hardly contain a romance completed on this scale, where eight hundred pages slip by and leave the two principal characters on the spot where they found them still engaged in the formal preliminaries of an introduction to each other. In the course of one or other of the incidental stories, Mithridates, Hannibal Spartacus, and others play their parts, and all for love. For it was the love affairs above all else that attracted readers to these huge romances. Here could be learnt the art of paying delicate compliments, of balancing the

obligations of contending passions, of writing letters, not very intelligible, perhaps, but bearing on them the stamp of high breeding and fine feeling "'Tis handsome language," writes Dorothy Osborne of *Parthenissa*, "you would know it to be writ by a person of good quality, though you were not told it." The interminable conversations and correspondence of so many pairs of noble lovers could not fail to serve as a guide to right feeling and polite expression Mlle. de Scudéry actually prepared a handbook of conversations upon several subjects, as "Of speaking too much or too little," "Of the Difference between Civil Complaisance and Flattery," "Of Raillery," and the like, which work was done into English in 1683, by Mr Ferrand Spence, the translator of Lucian. It is the least tiresome of her works, and undoubtedly the heroic romances are not without felicities of expression and subtleties of distinction that would serve a minor poet of the fantastic order.

Better conceived and better wrought than *Parthenissa* is Sir George Mackenzie's juvenile romance, *Arctina* (1661), prefaced by a poem to King Charles II on his happy return, and dedicated, from Edinburgh, "to all the Ladies of this Nation" Riding as it does on the very crest of the wave of French influence, *Arctina* shows the extravagancies of the heroic school at their height. Yet Mackenzie was conscious of where the strength of that school lay—not in the stirring recitation of events, but rather in the subtle dialectic treatment of problems of morality and emotion. In the apology for romances with which he introduces his story, he confesses, "Albeit Essays be the choicest pearls in the

Jewel house of Moral Philosophy, yet I ever thought that they were set off to the best advantage, and appeared with the greatest lustre, when they were laced upon a Romance." And many are the essays which are 'laced upon' *Aretina*. An elaborate parable dealing with the political relations of England and Scotland, under the names of Lacedæmon and Athens, occupies the whole of the third book, and was perhaps suggested by Harrington's similar treatment of contemporary affairs in the *Oceana*. The progress of the story is frequently arrested for the discussion of moral paradoxes like those which are maintained by the author of the *Fable of the Bees*, thus Philarites, the hero of the story and lover of Aretina, maintains at length that vanity is the parent of the virtues, that extravagance is profitable to a nation, and that gaudiness of dress argues modesty. For the rest, the story is comparatively simple, and brings the fortunes of two pairs of noble lovers within sight of a happy conclusion.

In his search for high-flown diction, Mackenzie borrows from all the models known to him. Defending the utility of romances, he echoes Lyly remarking that "these kernels are best where the shells are hardest, and these metals are noblest which are mudded over with most earth." The father of Aretina was one "who lived rather to study than studied how to live," and certain it is that this would have been his characteristic had he flourished in Sidney's pages. But the affectations that he borrowed are the smallest part of the author's stock he is full of original conceits. The heroine and her companion spend the night in a robbers' cave "lying on



the ground, a bed never made since the creation." Two friends are spoken of as "but one soul bilocated," in a storm "Heaven's bottles empty themselves, willing to cause the earth drink healths to the bon-voyage" of certain travellers. The art of courtly speech, the chief attraction, it may be presumed, of the heroic romances, may here be learnt. This is how a knight may compliment ladies who disclaim skill in the arts—"Accomplishments were very imprudent if they choosed not to lodge in such rare bodies as yours are, for I am confident they may travel the world over, before they find any such other receptacles" To a hermit apologizing for the poverty of his cell it is polite to reply, "There is nothing worthy of your choice which is not worthy of our observation." And although in the exclamation of the Chancellor of Egypt, when he witnesses the rescue of two fair ladies from the hands of brigands, the pedantry of the Scottish moral philosopher and lawyer shines through the language of the Court of Egypt—"To no purpose," he cries, "is the admorative faculty bestowed upon man if it be not exercised in such cases as this,"—yet the sententiousness, the ingenuity, and the wit of many passages in the book must have fascinated those readers who were seeking a pattern of exalted behaviour and indirect high-bred speech

Sir George Mackenzie was a friend of Dryden's, and it was to him that Dryden, as he confesses in the Essay on Satire, owed the suggestion that he should model his poetic style on the verses of Denham and Waller. Prose style was destined, within a very few years of the date of the publication of *Arethna*, to undergo a similar

remodelling, and the heroics of the French school, with their tortuous sentences and strained figures, were soon to pass out of fashion. So that *Artina* remains perhaps the best original example in English of the heroic romance, the most valorous, and on the whole the happiest, attempt to naturalize that romance in Britain.

It might be expected that the chief inherent fault of these romances, their hopeless looseness of construction, would be remedied or mitigated in the hands of a dramatist. The solitary romance of John Crowne the dramatist, *Pandion and Amphigenia* (1665), disappoints that expectation. It is no better, in some respects it is worse, than the work of the idlest of titled amateurs. The first hundred pages neither begin the main story nor prepare the way for it they offer sundry minor stories to the reader while he is waiting. To employ a figure consonant with the theme, these pages are the *hors d'œuvre* of a miserly entertainer, they kill the appetite. When the story begins it is carried on in a halting intermittent fashion, and it never finishes, that is to say, the characters of the tale are put through the usual drill, and are left ranged in order in precisely their original posture. This paralysis of the story is so common a disease of the heroic romance as almost to serve for definition. Perhaps Crowne's youth may be held to excuse him, for he conceived the story, he says, before he was twenty. In a preface to the reader he is careful to condemn all the faults in romance-writing which he subsequently exemplifies in his tale. A writer should not "bolster up a crooked invention with fungous words," nor make of fiction "an hospital of lame conceits." After this wholesome warning the reader of

*Pandion and Amphigenia* is at times almost tempted to believe that he is reading a parody, the fungous words and lame conceits throng so fast upon him. To the historical student of literature the book is interesting as another illustration of the powerful and abiding influence of Sidney on the English romance. Never for a moment, until realism began in the eighteenth century, did the writers of prose fiction in England shake off the fantasies of that opiate. The book, written on stray sheets of paper, collected by the author's sister and published against his expressed command, became for a century the training school of the English romancer. In the coarse and clumsy comedy of Anus and Daphnis, introduced as an episode in *Pandion*, Crowne follows the hapless example of the weakest part of the *Arcadia*. Ever and again he appropriates shamelessly in his descriptions, without improvement. "The streets so intermixed with shady trees seemed as if the woods had left their melancholy retiredness, and grown sociable, meant to inhabit the town, or as if the town had left its cheerful sociableness, and grown to a kind of civil wildness, meant to inhabit the woods"—are we in Thessaly sharing the pursuit of the "coy lady," or is not this pure *Arcadia*? And even Pamela's prayer, the employment of which by King Charles brought Sidney under Milton's censure, finds its counterpart here in the prayer of Glycera, who thus addresses the gods while she is drowning, "Since it is your will to drench and pickle up my soul in briny sorrows, to preserve it pure and untainted, and that the stormy gusts of adverse fortune must drive me through a sea of tears, ere I can arrive at the haven that shall put an end to the turmoiling

navigation of this life Oh then, let this also be your will—— And the selfpossessed lady pours out the aspirations of her pickled soul.

Sidney is not the only author that Crowne borrows from. In the poem that occurs incidentally in *Pandion and Amphigenia* the following stanza will show how the heroic school could improve on George Herbert —

‘ Sweet day so calm, so cool, so bright,  
Thou hast expelled the dusky night,  
And Sol begins to mount on high,  
And marry Tellus to the sky ”

This single verse sufficiently indicates what interest Crowne is like to pay on his heavy debts to Sidney

Towards the close of the century a greater dramatist than Crowne followed Crowne's example, by preluding his dramatic work with a novel. In 1692 William Congreve, a young man then unknown to fame, produced a brief novel called *Incognita*. A novel he called it, for he is careful to distinguish it from the current school of romances, which bear the same relation to novels, he maintains, that tragedy bears to comedy. "Romances," he holds, and the description contains some good criticism, "are generally composed of the constant loves and invincible courages of Heroes, Heroines, Kings and Queens, mortals of the first rank, and so forth where lofty language, miraculous contingencies, and impossible performances elevate and surprise the reader into a giddy delight, which leaves him flat upon the ground whenever he leaves off."

It is with no pretensions of this kind that Congreve, under the assumed name of "Cleophyll," lays his

first-born, a naked and shivering foundling, at the feet of Mrs Katherine Leveson, and implores her "that if it should want merit to challenge protection, yet, as an object of charity, it may move compassion." After explaining, with the fashionable indifference that moved the scorn of Voltaire, that this piece of literature is the product of "the idle hours of a fortnight's time," Congreve claims for his novel that it is the first that observes dramatic laws. The action is comprised in three days, the scene is laid at Florence, the main design is the marrying of "two couple so oddly engaged in an intricate amour."

To any one sated with the masterpieces of the Grand Cyrus school, this little pamphlet must have come as a refreshment indeed, for here at last is a dramatist, and, what is more, a humourist, at work upon prose fiction. In the description of how Fabritio had "vowed revenge upon Lorenzo if he survived, or, in case of his death, upon his next of kin, and so to descend lineally, like an English estate, to all the heirs males of his family," there is a foretaste of the quality of Fielding. The development of the story, which deals with the fortunes of two friends who attend a masked ball at Florence, each taking the name of the other, and there fall in love, is pure drama, rapid and spirited. The picture of the two lovelorn youths, returned to their lodging, and sighing in company, each imagining that the other sighs out of complaisance, is pure humour. And Congreve displays something of the wit that was to make his name, both in the conversation, or polite "raillery," that he reports as taking place at the ball, and in the occasional introduction

of himself to the reader,—the discussion, so dear to Fielding, of his own handling of the puppets of his story. Thus the author refuses to describe the dress of his heroine, lest he should err “in some material pin or other in the sticking of which maybe the whole grace of the drapery depended.” But at the description of her beauty he will “have a fling,” although he has “prefaced it with an impossibility,” by the too liberal use of laudatory epithets,—and he writes half a page of delicate mock heroic. There is great promise in this early work, and the history of Congreve’s later literary production is only one more instance of how hardly the novel can maintain itself in a period of dramatic activity. Successful drama has generally offered higher rewards to the author, and has taken the bread out of the mouth of the novelist, by stealing the material of his stories. The heroic romances found their public in England during the failure of the drama, and availed themselves skillfully of the opportunity to foster a new taste in the reading public, a taste that the drama could never satisfy save imperfectly, a delight, namely, born of the fashionable leisure of a self-conscious society, in minute introspection and the analysis and portraiture of emotional states. In this particular development of fiction, which has since reached so high a pitch of perfection in England and France, the heroic romances are thus an important link.

The “heroic” temper reached its most signal achievement in the heroic romance, but it pervades the greater part of seventeenth-century literature, and took many forms during the century. While English poetry was receiving the final classic stamp at the hands of Milton,

this extravagant ultra-romanticism flourished apace, and attempted to conquer also the domain of verse. Some of the longer narrative poems of the century, as, for instance, Davenant's *Gondibert* and Chamberlayne's *Pharonnida*, are simply heroic romances told in verse; and the heroic creed, so to call it, is held and expressed by literary critics as notable as Davenant and Dryden. This creed finds expression in that passage of Dryden's *Essay of Dramatic Poesy*, where he says that a serious play "is indeed the representation of nature, but 'tis nature wrought up to a higher pitch. The plot, the characters, the wit, the passions, the descriptions, are all exalted above the level of common converse, as high as the imagination of the poet can carry them, with proportion to verisimilitude" This utterance may, of course, be taken in a sense in which it is as sound as the soundest of Dryden's criticisms, but it is to be feared that what he had in his mind was nature "wrought up to a higher pitch" by a very palpable process of hoisting, the language and sentiments of Maximin in *Tyrannic Love*, or of Almanzor in the *Conquest of Granada*. For Dryden's practice shows clearly enough that his earlier critical creed was modelled on the teaching of his friend and predecessor, the inventor of the heroic play, the best exponent of heroic doctrine, Sir William Davenant. And Davenant has left on record a very much clearer statement of what his doctrine was in his apologetic preface to *Gondibert*, where he disclaims all intention to level heroic poesy to the reach of common men, and declares that in love and ambition, the two distempered passions to which great souls are especially liable, the

best theme of an exalted poetry is to be found. It is in courts and camps, the schools of lofty feeling, that these two passions burn brightest, every lover accordingly, it is found, must be a warrior and a courtier and Gondibert's warriors were all lovers, as one of them points out to his chief on the point of engaging a more numerous enemy —

"Victors through number never gained applause,  
If they exceed our count in arms and men  
It is not just to think that odds, because  
One lover equals any other ten."

Love and ambition as the mainsprings of life, the only things that the magnanimous man finds life worth living or death worth dying for, these are the very essentials of the heroic romance. It is Sir Philip Sidney's formula — 'For the love of honour and the honour of love,' but with a difference not unlike the difference between the court of King Arthur and the court of Louis XIV. The spirit of the romances of chivalry as well as their form has suffered a fatty degeneration. Love has become fantastic gallantry honour is passed into a pedantic courtly etiquette. And although in the heroic plays of Dryden and the heroic poem of Davenant even the extravagance of these sentiments at times receives the impress of genuine poetry, prose fiction could not be supported long on so unsubstantial a diet. The monotony inherent in the practice of making every hero, like every other hero, a model of courtly manners, was fatal to the heroic romance, character-drawing in any real sense was blankly impossible under such a convention.

Thus the heroic literature of the seventeenth century, so unreal, so tedious, so patiently wrought, was never



thoroughly naturalized in England ; it remained a literature of the polite coteries. With the death of Ben Jonson and the beginning of the civil troubles the great literary society that had met at the Mermaid and Devil Taverns was dissolved, and London saw no real literary society again until the time of Pope and Swift. The societies most in vogue were all sects and parties, uncongenial to the artist. A desire to escape from the unceasing political turmoil of the time led to the formation of little artificial groups, of literary or scientific tastes. The nucleus of the Royal Society was formed at Oxford, by men who could not agree upon politics, and so banished the subject from their meetings. At Great Tew, near Oxford, Lucius Cary, Lord Falkland, gathered about him for a few short years before his death the *convivium theologicum* that included many of the best philosophical thinkers of the day. In something the same way, in the shallows or backwaters of the political torrent, were gathered together sundry groups of literary exquisites who, besides reading and translating the heroic romances, carried out their doctrine by adopting assumed names and playing at heroic sentiment. Of this kind were the societies that gathered around Mrs Katherine Philips "the matchless Orinda," and Margaret, Duchess of Newcastle. The Hôtel de Rambouillet, which presided at the birth of the heroic romance, was thus exactly imitated in England by the societies that welcomed it from France. Neither of the literary ladies who dominated these circles has left anything written in this kind—Orinda remained faithful to poetry, and the Duchess of Newcastle was probably

incapable of so sustained an effort, for the nine folio volumes of her works are often brilliant but always desultory and her plays have no other plot, as she wittily and truly confesses, but that of passing away the time that hung heavy on the hands of their writer. But it was in courtly circles such as these that the romance of the day found its public, like the Elizabethan novel, its chief function was to supply an innocent and fanciful pastime for the very prolonged and unrelieved leisure of high-born ladies, who read the romances aloud, and drew from them laws and precedents for their own small courts of love.

There were other women, too, from the time of the later Stuarts onward, who wrote professionally, and not merely to add a grace to ennui. The first of these is one of those numerous writers whom Pope, with careless malevolence, has clamped firmly in the stocks of a single couplet, and left sitting until later students shall take the trouble to make their acquaintance and redeem them. Posterity is content to know that *Astræa* trod the stage loosely, and so she gets no credit for the merits of her novels. Yet these merits are real, for Mrs. Aphra Behn had passed her childhood in Surinam, where her father was governor, for some years after the Restoration she had lived at Antwerp as a Government agent; and it was on sundry experiences in these two places that she based her two best-known novels, published in 1698, after her death,—*Oroonoko* and *The Fair Jilt*. For making use of incidents of real life in the service of fiction at a time when the heroic romance was at the height of its vogue, she deserves all credit.

And yet it was no literary reform that she effected. Miranda, the heroine of *The Fair Jilt*, whose original, says Mrs. Behn's anonymous biographer, she had met at Antwerp, is a beautiful, accomplished, and very wicked woman, who in a brief career plans the murder of her sister, and gets three men severally condemned to death for crimes of which she has falsely accused them or to which she had instigated them. Yet through all this she retains the affection and admiration of some at least of her victims, and passes her later days in tranquil retirement, thanking Heaven for the afflictions that had "reclaimed her and brought her to as perfect a state of happiness as this troublesome world can afford." The character may well have been real, but the reality and interest fade out of it under the conventional literary treatment. For Miranda's language resembles that of the most high-souled of the heroic ladies, and the jargon about flames, darts, wounds, tortures, and cruel charmers obscures the sombre merits of the original theme. In this novel, as in *Oroonoko*, Mrs. Behn travels to new regions for her stories, but she takes with her the conventional diction and apparel. The story of *Oroonoko*, the love-lorn and magnanimous negro, of "very little religion" but "admirable morals," who meets a tragic death, belongs to a class of romance that flourished almost a century later, when Rousseau had given popularity to the philosophical ideas that underlie it. In this novel Mrs. Behn is one of the early precursors of the romantic revival, and finds her logical place in that movement. But her bold conduct of a simple story and her popularity with her contemporaries entitle

her also to claim a share in the attempt, faint and ineffective, that the later seventeenth century witnessed, to bring romance into closer relation with contemporary life. The attempt failed for the time, and when at last achievement came, and the rise of the great schools of English novelists with Richardson and Fielding at their head was rendered possible, it was not wrought by the professed writers of romance, but by the essayists and party writers of the reign of Anne, by Addison and Steele by Swift and Defoe, who formed their style under influences remote enough from the high-flown impossibilities of the heroic romance.

Thus, just as the sixteenth century saw the decline of the older romances of chivalry, so the seventeenth saw the rise, decline, and fall of this later and less robust romantic development, the heroic romance died and left no issue. And the influence that the century exercised on the growth of prose fiction, the foundations it laid for the coming novel, are to be sought, not in the writers of romance, but in the followers of other branches of literature, often remote enough from fiction, in satirists and allegorists, newspaper scribes and biographers, writers of travel and adventure, and fashionable comic playwrights. For the novel least of all forms of literature can boast a pure extraction it is of mixed and often disreputable ancestry, and the novelist derives his inspiration, as well as his material, not chiefly from the pages of his predecessors in the art, but from the life of his time and the literature that springs directly from that life, whether it be a broadside or a blue book.

## CHAPTER V.

### THE BEGINNINGS OF THE MODERN NOVEL.

THE story of Antæus, who gained fresh strength from each fall to the earth that he suffered, might well be taken to typify the history of prose fiction. Flat on the ground, after the soaring flights of the heroic romance, gaining fresh vigour from the intimate realistic study of daily life and ordinary character, the novel began its career anew, and with the fairest prospect of success. For the seventeenth century, so poor in original prose fiction, had done much to prepare the way for it when it should arise. Literary activity had displayed itself in many new forms, the newspaper and political and religious controversy had trained up a reading public numbering scores of thousands, above all, an instrument had been prepared for the novelist in the shape of a new prose, invented and first practised for purposes of criticism, homiletic, and science, but easily available for vivid narration or realistic description unencumbered by the metaphorical apparatus of earlier prose-writing. The conditions, material and formal, for the success of the novel, were there by the beginning of the eighteenth

century, and awaited only the artist who should perceive them and avail himself of them. The immense and immediate popularity of the novels of Defoe, Richardson, and Fielding, each of whom stumbled, as it were by accident, into the writing of prose fiction, serves to show how ready the public was to welcome and appreciate the new venture. The literary tendencies and developments of the previous century made it certain that that venture should be realistic, dealing with average contemporary life, and no new resuscitation of the thrice-worn themes of old romance—the eighteenth-century school of fiction, that is, was inevitably a school of novelists.

For after the great school of imaginative writers of the golden age of English literature had passed away, the literary tendencies of the seventeenth century were all in favour of the novel. One or two lonely men of genius built the lofty rhyme or wove the brilliant tangles of the old poetic prose, but the general character of a century is to be estimated most truly from its lesser writers, and not from the visions of a Milton, or the fantasies of a Browne. The works of the lesser writers of the seventeenth century show the rise of a new spirit, foreign to the times of Shakespeare,—a spirit of observation, of attention to detail, of stress laid upon matter of fact, of bold analysis of feelings and free argument upon institutions—the microscope of the men of the Restoration, as it were, laying bare the details of daily objects, and superseding the telescope of the Elizabethans that brought the heavens nearer earth. No one word will finally describe it—in its relation to knowledge it is the spirit

of science, to literature it is the spirit of criticism, and science and criticism in England are the creations of the seventeenth century. The positive temper, as opposed to the mystic, is everywhere in the ascendant, in spite of the imaginative aberrations of the more extravagant religious and political theorists. In literature illustrations are many, thus satire, which in the age of Elizabeth was the pastime of very young men, who "railed on Lady Fortune in good terms," became deadly earnest after the Civil Wars, in the hands of men like Cleveland, Oldham, Marvell, Butler, and Dryden, who left Nature and Fortune with their withers unwrung, and aimed at the joints in the harness of their enemies. Thus imaginative works, the good, as well as the bad, were exposed to a running fire of burlesque and parody, and reputations were made on travesties of Homer and Virgil. Thus, too, the drama turned away from the broad treatment of human nature and the search for new emotional situations carried so far by Webster and Ford, to the humbler task of social portraiture and the mimicking of individual foibles. A comparison of two great comedies, one written at the beginning, the other at the end of the century, will illustrate the change of which this is only one aspect. How far a cry is it from Ben Jonson's *Volpone* to Congreve's *Way of the World*,—from the heroic villainies proper to no age and clime, drawn by the great master of scorn and humour, to the delicate finesses of social fraud portrayed by the witty man of fashion! And, turning away for a moment from purely artistic literature, it is not hard to find marks of the change, of the new taste for fact and detail, in the historical

labours of Fuller, Dagdale, Rymer and Rushworth, or in the manifold productions of those lesser scribes who bore, as Cleveland scornfully remarks, the same relation to an historian that the maker of mouse-traps bears to an engineer, the diurnal makers and writers of *Mercurius*. The new generation of readers were all for fact, the wished chiefly to inform themselves and take a side even the court circles of the Restoration, which yielded for a time to the fascinations of Dryden's heroics, were at least as ready to applaud the *Rehearsal* and the champion of "prose and sense."

One class of literary production, almost proper to the seventeenth century, may rank as an ancestor of the novel in the direct line. The *Character* a brief descriptive essay on a contemporary type, whether an "Upstart Knight," an "Old College Butler" a "Tobacco-seller," a "Pot-Poet" or a "Pretender to Learning," enjoyed so great a popularity that Dr. Bliss stated that he had made a list of more than two hundred seventeenth-century collections of *Characters* in his copy of one of the most famous of these works, Earle's *Micro-cosmography* (1628). Among the better known of the writers of *Characters* are numbered Bishop Hall, the ill-fated Sir Thomas Overbury John Cleveland, and Samuel Butler, the satirist. Nor was public interest limited to these characters of types, drawn for the most part satirically, biography and autobiography also flourished, and men regaled the world with the account of a life that, but for that account, the world would never have heard of. Not only did Lord Herbert of Cherbury, William Lilly the astrologer, and Margaret Duchess of Newcastle write their own



lives, but highwaymen and keepers of ale-houses followed in their train. George Fox kept his memorable *Journal*, Pepys immortalized himself in his *Diary*, Evelyn made for himself a securer title to fame than all his scientific labours could have procured, Bunyan wrote what was virtually an autobiography in the account of his religious experiences, and James Howell popularized the habit of publishing familiar letters, valuable rather for their contents than for the rank or notability of their author or recipient. Material for the study of the life of the time—letters, diaries, and biography—begins to abound, and for the scanty Elizabethan documents, such as the few letters, “witty and familiar,” that passed between Spenser and Master Gabriel Harvey, preserved by the egotism of the latter worthy, the student finds a library of similar material belonging to the time of the Restoration, when man had begun, often in the most desolate and remote places, the serious, affectionate, and minute study of himself.

The realistic writing of Defoe and the realistic novel in England were the offspring of these ancestors, the children of a taste for fact. Realistic fiction in this country was first written by way of the direct imitation of truthful record, and not, as in France, by way of burlesque on the high-flown romance. The heroic romance in France found parodists in Scarron and Furetière, whose *Roman Comique* (1651) and *Roman Bourgeois* (1666) brought fiction back to scenes of average town and provincial life, and transformed the romance at a blow into the novel. The process in England was longer and slower, but its spirit was the same, and is exactly

described by Furetière in his address to the reader prefixed to the *Roman Bourgeois*. In this he claims a moral purpose for his novel, and excuses himself for passing over the crimes of wicked men to censure the petty faults to which all the world is liable. "To this end it is necessary that the nature of the stories and the characters of the persons should be so closely modelled on our own manners that we should seem to recognize in them the people that we meet every day." And for evidence of his success as a preceptor, Furetière relates how the perusal of the story of a certain Lucretia, contained in his novel, had actually cured a fair *bourgeoise* of her infatuated passion for a marquis.

But satire is perhaps more effective to destroy an old school of romance than to create a new. It is certain that the new was not created in England chiefly by way of satire, even Fielding, whose original intention no doubt was simply to satirize the high-toned *Pamela*, soon forgot Pamela and her creator in the zest of depicting Parson Adams. *Don Quixote*, very early translated into English, bore fruit in the seventeenth century in such works as Beaumont and Fletcher's *Knight of the Burning Pestle* (1611) or Butler's *Hudibras* (1663-1678). Scarron was translated and imitated, but the burlesque method, so admirably applied to the heroic play left the heroic romance unscathed, and no new fiction sprang out of the ashes of the old.

But in the general literature of the time there are to be found in plenty hints, premonitions, tentative approaches to what was afterwards to be the novel. The work of John Bunyan hardly finds its proper place in a history

of prose fiction, he regarded it as anything but fictitious. Moreover, in form and outline it bears something the same relation to the novel proper that the "Morality" bears to the drama proper. Yet how rich are his works, not only the *Pilgrim's Progress* (1678), but the *Holy War* (1682), and the *Life and Death of Mr. Badman* (1680), in literary, as well as practical and moral lessons, in demonstrations whereby the novelists might profit to learn character-painting, admirable narrative, and the attainment of the illusion of reality. Where was the professed writer of fiction in the seventeenth century who could enthral the reader's imagination by his two opening sentences, and hold him spellbound to the end? This is how the *Pilgrim's Progress* begins —

"As I walked through the wilderness of this world I lighted on a certain place where there was a den, and I laid me down in that place to sleep, and as I slept I dreamed a dream. I dreamed, and behold I saw a man, a man clothed in rags, standing with his face from his own home, with a book in his hand, and a great burden upon his back"

This is more than pathetic allegory, it is perfect narrative and vivid picture, that one descriptive phrase, of masterly restraint, "standing with his face from his own home," which contains Bunyan's thought upon two worlds, at once stirs the hearts of those who read beneath it, and secures the eager interest of children in the expectance of coming adventures.

It was well for literature that Bunyan learnt his style from the English Bible, and not from Mlle. de Scudéry. His abstractions are more living than the portraits of

other writers. The bathos that was reached by the heroic romance when it laboured under the additional weight of allegory may be well seen in the *Bentivolio and Urania* (1660) of Nathaniel Ingelo D D, wherein the heroic model was employed to set forth the pursuit by Bentivolio, or Good Will, of his mistress Urania or Heavenly Wisdom. In the fourth edition of this romance (1682) "the obscure words throughout the book are interpreted in the margin, which makes this much more delightful to read than the former editions." Some one, therefore, must have read it, let it pass for a book, it marks for the historian of literature the lowest depth to which English romance-writing sank. Its unillumined profundity swarms with low forms of life, polysyllabic abstractions crowd its pages, and deposit their explanatory spawn upon its margin, 'the very deep did rot.'

The abstractions of Bunyan, on the other hand, are hardly abstractions, they breathe and move in the atmosphere and light of his imagination. Giant Pope and Giant Pagan, Pliable and Mr. Worldly Wiseman Faithful and Christian himself are additions to the portrait gallery of English fiction. In the *Life and Death of Mr Badman* the author gives a singularly minute and realistic biography of a tradesman in a provincial English town, who leads a sordid and successful life, and dies "like a chrisom child, quietly and without fear." The grim and awful reality of the whole sketch is enhanced by numberless matter-of-fact touches, and by the writer's simplicity and freedom from all extravagance. Here is no double-dyed villainy but a perfectly consistent hard and ugly life, such as Bunyan

had more than once observed, perhaps in Bedford, only projected by him, by implication rather than by direct contrast, against the white background of eternity.

The readers of the *Pilgrim's Progress* doubtless had no literary affectations to unlearn, for them, Bunyan's style was the style to which they were already best accustomed. But Margaret, Duchess of Newcastle, wrote for the circles that left the *Pilgrim's Progress* severely alone, and in her works there are interesting contributions to the raw material of fiction. In her "*CCXI Sociable Letters*" (1664) she anticipates Richardson in the discovery that letters, to be interesting, need not be really exchanged by living correspondents, and aims at making of them "rather scenes than letters, for I have endeavoured under cover of letters to express the humours of mankind" In the close of her *Nature's Pictures drawn by Fancie's Pencil* (1656) she records her birth and character in statements that had only to be false to ring with the very tones of Defoe: "Neither did I intend this piece for to delight, but to divulge, not to please the fancy, but to tell the truth, lest after ages should mistake in not knowing I was the daughter to one Master *Lucas* of *St John's*, near *Colchester* in *Essex*, and second wife to the Lord Marquis of *Newcastle*, for my lord having had two wives, I might easily have been mistaken, especially if I should die and my lord marry again" This anxiety that the reader should be in no way misinformed, this protest and circumstance of veracity, forcibly recalls the style that Defoe adopted for his greatest fiction, after he had made the discovery that biography loses none of its interest when the life it

records has never been lived. With Defoe the art of fiction came to be the art of grave imperturbable lying, in which art the best instructor is the truth. And it was to no reputed masters of romance, but to recorders of fact, biographers, writers of voyages and travels, historians and annalists, that Defoe served his apprenticeship.

The change that came over English prose style about the time of the Restoration perfected the instrument of the new fiction. This change is commonly attributed to Dryden, but in this, as in other things, Dryden was the exponent of a movement beyond his control. To the sermons of Tillotson Dryden owed his obligations, and another writer famous in his own time, Thomas Sprat, afterwards Bishop of Rochester, gives in his *History of the Royal Society* (1667) an instructive account of one of the influences that made for the new prose. Sprat regards the "luxury and redundance of speech" as one of the chief and most inveterate of the evils which the Society has to combat. "Who can behold," he exclaims, "without indignation, how many mists and uncertainties these specious tropes and figures have brought on our knowledge?" The "beautiful deceit," from use and education, has come to be esteemed, and a drastic remedy is needed. The Royal Society, therefore, "have exacted from all their members" (Dryden was one) "a close, naked, natural way of speaking; positive expressions, clear senses, a native easiness, bringing all things as near the mathematical plainness as they can, and preferring the language of artisans, countrymen, and merchants before that of wits or scholars."

The remedy which is here prescribed for style was needed also by fiction in the time of Sprat, and, before the next century was many years old, fiction, too, had bowed under the yoke, had accepted the dictatorship of fact, and adopted the language of humble life.

It is no straining of language to speak of the *Tatler* (1709-1711) and *Spectator* (1711-1712) of Steele and Addison as brilliant examples of prose fiction. Here, for the first time, are the methods and subjects of the modern novel, all that is wanting is a greater unity and continuity of scheme to make of the "Coverley Papers" in the *Spectator* a serial novel of a very high order. Such continuity as there is in the grouping of incidents round the same characters is due to the idea of a Club, consisting of friends of the author, who assist him in editing the paper, and whose humours and adventures he records. This idea had been long popular before it attained its final development in the *Spectator*. Its original is perhaps to be found in the "Athenian Society" formed by the bookseller, John Dunton, for the production of the *Athenian Gazette* (1690-1696), the first non-political journal established in England. This society really existed, and included among its few members Samuel Wesley, father of the divine. When Defoe started his *Review*, in 1704, he adapted the notion of a society in the "Scandal Club," a fictitious association for the free discussion of those problems of morals, literature, and society which had been treated in the *Athenian Gazette* by way of direct question and oracular response. In a late number of the *Tatler* (No 132) the reader is introduced to the members of Mr Bickerstaff's

Club, and a series of character-sketches is given, but little use is made of them subsequently. Indeed the author himself alleges that the particular use he finds for this "set of heavy honest men, with whom I have passed many hours with much indolence, though not with great pleasure," is to lull the thinking faculties, and induce a gentle sleep. And he describes Sir Jeoffrey Notch, the decayed gentleman of ancient family "who calls every thriving man a pitiful upstart." Major Matchlock, who nightly recounts the battle of Naseby, "honest old Dick Reptile," and the young benchet who "has about ten distichs of *Hudibras* without book." It was doubtless at the hands of Addison that this society, "too little and too lately known" in the *Tatler* received promotion to a place in the forefront of the scheme of the *Spectator*. The six "gentlemen who are concerned with me in this work" are six types of contemporary society, Sir Roger de Coverley, the bachelor of the Inner Temple, Sir Andrew Freeport, Captain Sentry, Will Honeycomb, and the philosophic clergyman; all of them are introduced in the second number of the *Spectator*. Their later appearances, especially those of Sir Roger and Will Honeycomb, give occasion to fragments of prose fiction inferior to none in the eighteenth century. The dreary "Character" of the seventeenth century which would have rendered Sir Roger as "An Old Country Knight," and Will Honeycomb as "A Mere Town Gallant," has received its death-blow in these sketches, drawn by men who loved the individual better than the type, and delighted in precisely those touches of character, eccentricities and surprises, that give life to



a literary portrait. The keen indiscriminating satire of the generic description has given way to the gentle atmosphere of humour that envelopes and illumines the character of Sir Roger, disarming the dogmatist by showing him that this man's very faults were loveable, and that his virtues may be smiled at as well as praised. A century before the date of the *Spectator* Sir Thomas Overbury had set himself to describe the "character" of a country gentleman. "He is a thing," says Overbury, "out of whose corruption the generation of a justice of peace is produced. He speaks statutes and husbandry well enough to make his neighbours think him a wise man. . . . His travel is seldom farther than the next market town, and his inquisition is about the price of corn. when he travelleth, he will go ten mile out of the way to a cousin's house of his to save charges, and rewards the servants by taking them by the hand when he departs." After a scornful sketch of the absurdity of his behaviour when he comes to London, Overbury concludes, "But this is not his element, he must home again, being like a dor, that ends his flight in a dunghill."

Had the *Spectator* been content with the essay, moral or satirical, after this kind, the inimitable papers on the life and character of Sir Roger might have been condensed into a single valueless bundle of characteristics, epigrammatically expressed and opprobriously intended, somehow thus —

"An Old Country Squire is a thing that was a fine gentleman three reigns ago, and is now a mere Justice of the Peace. He is of opinion that none but men of

fine parts deserve to be hanged, yet he will pretend to wisdom in his own shire, where he can explain the game laws, and determine a knotty point in the law, after grave deliberation, with the opinion *that there is much might be said on both sides of the question.* At the Assize Courts, to keep up his credit in the county he will whisper in the judge's ear, *'That he is glad his lordship has met with so much good weather in his circuit.'* He is much given to sport, but loves his neighbour's game better than his own, he will go three miles to spare his own partridges, and when the farmers' sons open the gates for him a-hunting he requites them with a nod and an inquiry after their fathers and uncles. In church he is landlord to the whole congregation, and will suffer nobody to sleep in it besides himself. In town all his talk is of how he killed eight fat hogs at Christmas, and has sent a string of hogs' puddings with a pack of cards to every poor family in the parish. When he dies he leaves for mourning, to every man in the parish a great frieze coat, and to every woman a black riding-hood, because it was a cold day when he made his will."

These traits are selected from the *Spectator*, and, being so selected, cannot even thus be robbed of all their merit. They serve at least to show that the country gentleman was very much the same being in the days of Overbury and of Addison, that the same model sat to both artists. But the invention of the art of portrait painting had been made meanwhile, and, in place of the coarse and grotesque outlines of the early caricature, the Coverley Papers furnish a living likeness of the man, and endear him to their readers to such a point

that his death has at last to be announced (*Spectator*, No. 517) with all the circumstance of an overpowering affliction. "I question not," says Addison, "but my readers themselves will be troubled at the hearing of it." In that sentence he makes a claim for his own workmanship higher than any that could justly be advanced by all the prose novelists who had preceded him

It is impossible, therefore, to omit all mention of the *Tatler* and *Spectator* in an account of the rise of the modern English novel. The leaflets composing the *Tatler* and *Spectator* are written from the standpoint of a great novelist, and abound in material which might well have been wrought into a great novel. For the great novelist must be essentially a humourist, just as the great romancer must be essentially a poet. And Addison and Steele, party men though they were, are born observers rather than born fighters; even irony, the most formidable of a humourist's weapons, becomes in their hands a pruning-hook rather than a sword. The temper of the *Spectator* is well defined in that paper (*Spectator*, No. 10) where the author recommends his writings especially to two classes of persons, to women, and to those who "have no other business with the rest of mankind, but to look upon them, . . . in short, everyone that considers the world as a theatre, and desires to form a right judgment of those who are the actors on it." The detached scenes, merry and pathetic, that are chosen from this theatre to furnish entertainment for "the fraternity of spectators" are so numerous and so exquisitely wrought as almost to suggest some regret

that no novel dealing with the manners of the times of the later Stuarts and William of Orange has been or could be left by the creators of *Sir Roger de Coverley*. Many of the descriptions and reminiscences to be found in the *Spectator* serve to show what a wealth of material Addison could have brought to such a work, and what fine use he could have made of it. Some of his most delicate miniatures are drawn from reminiscences that he had doubtless heard in conversation. Of this kind is the account given in the *Spectator* (No. 57) of the call paid in former days by the author and Will Honeycomb on a lady who was an admirer of Dr. Titus Oates, and had the portrait of the Whig idol graven on her snuff box lid, stamped on her handkerchiefs, painted on the sticks of her fan, and plentifully hung about the room. Another instance is to be found in the description of the young gentleman who wished to stand for a scholarship at one of the colleges of Oxford or Cambridge—for Addison does not specify—during the protectorate of Cromwell, and went to interview the head of the college, "a famous independent minister" of those times,—not improbably Goodwin of Magdalen, Oxford. Nothing could be better painted than this brief scene, where the youth, after having been ushered in by a gloomy servant and kept waiting in a long gallery darkened at noonday with a single candle burning in it, is at length led into a chamber hung with black, and confronted by the head of the college, "with half a dozen night caps upon his head, and religious horror in his countenance." The poor boy, who had expected to be tested in Latin and Greek, was so alarmed by these proceedings and by his

subsequent examination, culminating in the dreadful interrogatory, "*Whether he were prepared for death?*" that, once safely emerged, he determined wholly to forego an academic education. No one can read this, or the multitude of scenes in the *Spectator* as vividly conceived and described, without perceiving what a novelist English literature would have had in Addison had the times been ripe for the novel. But the reading public had still to be educated, and the *Spectator*, with its circulation rising in comparatively few months from three thousand to thirty thousand copies, and with the host of imitators that it brought into being, not only trained the taste of its public in the direction of the novel, but increased the numbers of that public, until a man of letters could find money as well as fame in successful writing.

Such a man of letters was Daniel Defoe. His first great work of fiction, entitled *The Life and Strange Surprising Adventures of Robinson Crusoe, of York, Mariner* (1719), was written by him at the age of fifty-eight, and is stated, in the preface to the third part, to be an allegoric version of his own history—"of one whole scene of real life of eight and twenty years, spent in the most wandering, desolate, and afflicting circumstances that ever a man went through, and in which I have lived so long a life of wonders, in continual storms, fought with the worst kind of savages and man-eaters, by unaccountable surprising incidents; fed by miracles greater than that of ravens; suffered all manner of violences and oppressions, injuries, reproaches, contempt of men, attacks of devils, corrections from heaven, and oppositions on earth." No one reads *Robinson Crusoe* for

the allegory but a brief examination of the career of Defoe will not only lay bare the hidden meaning here claimed for the book, but also serve to demonstrate the way in which it came to be written. For Defoe was above all an occasional writer, by no means "long choosing and beginning late," but pouring from the press a profusion of satires, political pamphlets, verses, and moral treatises, to the number of more than two hundred, inspired by the moment and writing under a pressure that sometimes contorts his syntax.

Daniel Defoe, the son of James Foe citizen and butcher was born in London in the year 1660 or 1661. After giving up the idea of becoming a Nonconformist minister, for which profession he had been educated, he was apprenticed to a hose factor, and was very early drawn into the troublous politics of the time. He served under Monmouth, issued pamphlets on the principal questions that agitated the reign of James II., and welcomed William of Orange. During the reign of William he enjoyed some Government favour and, although he was constantly in monetary troubles, was able to set up brick-kiln works at Tilbury, where he employed over a hundred workmen. It was during the High Church reaction after the death of William that Defoe wrote the pamphlet called *The Shortest Way with the Dissenters* (1702), which proved the turning-point of his literary career. In this pamphlet, purporting to be written by a Churchman, Defoe recommends that Dissenting preachers be hanged and their congregations banished, and argues that this is, in the end, the least cruel method of dealing with them, for "the poison of

their nature makes it a charity to our neighbours to destroy those creatures . . . that are noxious."

It has become almost a habit with biographers of Defoe, while maintaining that the sentiments of the High Church party were not unjustly represented in this work, at the same time to declaim against the "obtuse-ness" of the men of both parties who took it for a genuine and serious composition, and missed the "exquisite irony" that it displays. But these positions are mutually destructive. Doubtless the High Churchmen had used strong language, and Sacheverell, in a sermon preached before the University of Oxford only a few months before, had said that every man that wished the welfare of the Church "ought to hang out the bloody flag and banner of defiance" against her enemies. But the real fact is, that Defoe's irony, if it can claim the title at all, is not the irony of a wit. Acting on his own expressed principle that "Lies are not worth a farthing, if they are not calculated for the effectual deceiving of the people they are designed to deceive," Defoe produced a very realistic imitation of the High Church argument, faintly touched, perhaps, with exaggeration at places. It has never been made quite clear that his purpose was ironical at all, he may well have meant to give an expression so clear and emphatic to the views of the extremists of the party as to alienate all moderate men from them, and so strengthen the cause of the Dissenters.

If this were so, he failed, the authorship of his work was discovered, and the rage of his dupes, of both parties, broke upon him. He was condemned to stand in the pillory three times, to pay a fine of two hundred marks,

and to be imprisoned during the Queen's pleasure. He was actually imprisoned for more than a year, during which time his business at Tilbury went to pieces, and his wife and six children were brought face to face with starvation.

From this time onward Defoe had to look mainly to his pen for support, and he played upon a public that had shown itself so ready to be deceived. The "obtuse ness" that mistook *The Shortest Way* for a sincere utterance had ruined his fortunes, but it taught him where his strength as a writer lay. Those who had been the dupes of simulated argument could be made the more remunerative dupes of fictitious narration. And in the *True Relation of the Apparition of one Mrs Veal, the next day after her death to one Mrs Bargrave at Canterbury, the 8th of September 1705, which Apparition recommends the perusal of Drelincourt's Book of Consolations against the Fear of Death* (1705), Defoe at last found his cue and inaugurated that series of realistic fictions which was to close only with his death. Tradition has it that this preface to Drelincourt's book was written for a publisher who found himself with many unsaleable copies of the translation of the book on hand, certainly the ponderous original quarto, which inspired Tallemant des Réaux with more terror than the prospect of death itself stood sadly in need of some such expert recommendation.

Sir Walter Scott, in his admirable analysis of the devices employed in this story to recommend it to the belief of readers, has pointed out the essentials of Defoe's plausible realism. The first of these is that the author himself, on whose veracity the whole structure depends,



should remain out of sight. Accordingly the account given is attributed to "a gentleman, a justice of the peace at Maidstone," and attested by "a very sober and understanding gentlewoman," who lives within a few doors of Mrs. Bargrave, and had the relation from her own lips. Defoe thus invents one character to attest the facts, and another to believe the first incapable of a lie. Further, he invents "a person with the reputation of a notorious liar" to say that Mrs. Bargrave's tale is all a cheat, but he is careful to add that Mrs. Bargrave had no possible interest in telling the story, for she has undergone on account of it much trouble and fatigue, without the gain of a single farthing. It would have required a reader with a hawk's eye and a detective's knowledge of human nature to be suspicious after this of the apparently innocent remark that "*Drelincourt's Book of Death* is, since this happened, brought up strangely." The ordinary reader becomes so interested in the opinion that Defoe's characters have of one another's veracity that he forgets to ask whether they exist.

The impartiality of the narrator is another characteristic of the piece. He gives all the circumstantial evidence corroborative of the story, but does not fail to point out flaws in its absolute cogency. The most important piece of evidence, however, is admittedly flawless. Mrs. Veal made her appearance only one day after her death, looking very well, and dressed in a silk gown, which, in the confidences of a two hours' interview, she admitted to be a scoured silk. When Mrs. Bargrave, in telling the story to one Mrs. Watson, came to this point, "You have seen her, indeed," cried Mrs. Watson,

"for none knew but Mrs. Veal and myself that the gown was scoured, for," said she, "I helped her to make it up."

Yet another characteristic of the story would have been regarded, in the present day, as strong evidence of its credibility. The ghost is an uninteresting ghost, a dull, trivial ghost, a lob of spirits, that would have flatly disappointed the expectation of the Duchess of Malfy —

' O that it were possible we might  
But hold some two days' conference with the dead ;  
From them I should learn somewhat, I am sure,  
I never shall know here."

Mrs. Veal when she has discharged her real mission by the remark that "Drelincourt had the clearest notions of death, and of the future state, of any who had handled that subject," and when she has added a few perfectly gratuitous advertisements of Mr. Norris's Poem on Friendship, Dr Sherlock's book, and one or two others, has completely exhausted her inspiration. She thinks "Elysium" a curious name for heaven, but makes no new suggestion. She almost forgets that she is disembodied and must not drink tea, and, for the rest, her conversation is of her own appearance, the trinkets she had left at Dover, and the ten-pound annuity she enjoyed—which things, as Defoe is careful to remark, were so trifling, "and nothing of justice aimed at in their disposal," that Mrs. Bargrave can hardly have invented them. Defoe is thus seen to have appreciated the argument for the reality of a ghost from the folly and triviality of its behaviour.

He certainly appreciated the value of artlessness in

conciliating belief His language is the language of an uneducated but honest witness in a court of justice who is asked to tell his story in his own way, and the very irrelevancies, repetitions, omissions made up later, and the like, subserve the main purpose—the engaging of belief. Had the thing been a product of art, the critic is apt to say, the writer would surely have spent a little trouble on obtaining some measure of artistic unity. But Defoe's narratives all aim at exhibiting the processes of memory, untouched by the shaping imagination. And unambitious though such an aim may be, it was perhaps a necessary exercise for the modern novel in its infancy.

In *Robinson Crusoe*, as Mr. Leslie Stephen remarks, artistic unity was imposed on Defoe by the very nature of his subject, while the greatest scope was given him for the effective introduction of realistic detail. In 1715 Defoe withdrew from political controversy, and *Robinson Crusoe* was the first of that series of tales that occupied his retired leisure at Stoke Newington. The extreme simplicity of the framework of this story was all in favour of Defoe's method. The problem of the story has sometimes been stated thus—Given a man and a remote and desolate island to make an enthralling romance. But this is really an under-statement of the limitations of the story. For besides the limitations imposed by the subject, there were others not less stringent imposed by the hard, shrewd, practical nature of the man. In *Robinson Crusoe* there are no æsthetic descriptions of scenery, no use is made of the splendours and terrors of the sky and sea as they might affect a man in that overwhelming solitude. to Crusoe the island is his prison and nothing

more, his business is to make it comfortable, he struggles with Nature, but spends no unnecessary time or compliment upon his antagonist. Strangely enough, upon that tropical isle, where Enoch Arden would have watched—

"The sunrise broken into scarlet shafts  
Among the palms and ferns and precipices,

the chief mental preoccupation of Crusoe is morality. The tools and the Bible that have been saved from the wreck represent the two sides of his life, the practical and the religious, and it is only in the Bible that he finds God. Play of the imagination, figurative language, such as tropical nature extorts from the veriest savages, there is none. Robinson Crusoe typifies the Anglo-Saxon race, and illustrates man as he has played in India and America. He in order stores the runlets of  
telling him is  
everything ev  
from us." , believed and  
held that " say O to  
view that of  
who " their  
admits that down to  
some of T  
But the in the  
the only gave  
scope for the  
blank canvas  
forces the reader  
minutest affairs It

the practical nature of childhood that the book is so widely regarded as the best boy's book in the world. When the story leaves the magic limits of the island, it must be said the interest flags, and at last, in the *Serious Reflections*, subjoined by an afterthought, it positively stagnates. But the main piece of original narrative is a masterpiece, and marks a new era in the writing of prose fiction.

It is a prevalent literary mania to seek for the "originals" of any great work in the quarry whence the stone was dug. Defoe's "original" is to be found in *A Voyage to the South Seas and round the World* (1712), by Captain Edward Cook. Captain Cook narrates the case of Alexander Selkirk, who was marooned on the island of Juan Fernandez from August 1704 to January 1709, and adds, "To hear of a man's living alone in a desert island seems to some very surprising, and they presently conclude he may afford a very agreeable narration of his life, when in reality it is the most barren subject that nature can afford. . . . We have a downright sailor whose only study was to support himself during his confinement, and all his conversation with goats. It would be no difficult matter to embellish a narrative with many romantic incidents to please the unthinking part of mankind, who swallow everything an artful writer thinks fit to impose upon their credulity, without any regard to truth or probability." Here a romance is suggested, but not the romance that Defoe wrote, for he makes it his rule to embellish nothing, and to avoid giving the reader anything at all to swallow. The actual life of Alexander Selkirk, as related in

Howell's *Life of Selkirk* (1829) has many romantic incidents that are not to be found in *Robinson Crusoe* for Selkirk taught cats to dance, and, after his return to his native parish of Largo, eloped with a lady. Defoe limits himself to the simplest facts, and makes the most barren parts of his subject interesting by the very sense of expectation that so unprecedented a monotony awakes.

Defoe's later works include samples of the picaresque romance, as *Moll Flanders* (1721), *Colonel Jack* (1722) and *Roxana* (1724) of sham history as the famous *Journal of the Plague Year* (1722), and of treatises on the supernatural, written with all his mathematical detail, as *The Political History of the Devil* (1726), and the *Essay on the History and Reality of Apparitions* (1727). Of the reality of apparitions he is fully convinced. "I must tell you, good people," he says, "he that is not able to see the Devil, in whatever shape he is pleased to appear in, is not really qualified to live in this world, no, not in the quality of a common inhabitant." His position is that of Glanvill, the great seventeenth-century opponent of "Sadducism" and "Hobbism," who makes the subtle remark that the enemy of mankind, "in order to the carrying on the dark and hidden designs he manageth against our happiness and our souls, cannot expect to advantage himself more than by insinuating a belief that there is no such thing as himself." Or, to put the idea in the statelier form it assumes in an earlier work, the *Pseudodoxia Epidemica* the devil "contriveth many ways to conceal and indubitate his existency. Wherein beside that he annihilates the blessed angels and spirits in the rank of his creation, he begets

a security of himself, and a careless eye unto the last remunerations." To the refutation of this scepticism Defoe again and again returns, but his dissertations upon the world of spirits are untouched by any real sense of mystery. The multitude of evil spirits who tempt mankind are little better than a ragged regiment of invisible spies and rogues, the good angels are only a superior kind of police. Defoe selects, in this as in so many other cases, a subject that Nash had treated before him, but the poetic imagination had fled the earth in the mean time, and the other world, described with statistical minuteness, is so like this one, that the reader finds himself wondering why there should be two.

The close simulation of the truth employed by Defoe to gain credence for the story of *Robinson Crusoe* was imitated by Swift to lend plausibility to the *Travels into Several remote Nations of the World by Lemuel Gulliver* (1726-1727). Imaginary voyages and travels cannot, for the most part, be regarded as pure romances, they have generally some ulterior purpose in view, political or satirical. Thus Sir Thomas More's *Utopia* (1516) pictures an ideal polity; Francis Godwin's *The Man in the Moon*, written before 1603, borrows its inspiration from Lucian, Bacon's fragment called *The New Atlantis* (1635) sets forth a scheme for the advancement of science, the Duchess of Newcastle's *Description of a New World, called the Blazing World* (1666), tells mechanical wonders of a fairy people living at the North Pole, the anonymous *Memoirs of Gaudenzio di Lucca* (1737), by Simon Berington, describe an imaginary State conducted on philanthropic principles under a patriarchal

government and Swift's great work, after storming the outposts of human policy and human learning breaks at last in a torrent of contempt and hatred on the last strong hold of humanity itself. The strength of Swift's work as a contribution to the art of fiction lies in the portentous gravity and absolute mathematical consistency where with he develops the consequences of his modest assumptions. In the quality of their realism the voyages to Lilliput and Brobdingnag are much superior to the two later and more violent satires. He was better fitted to ridicule the politics of his time than to attack the 'men of Gresham' of whose true aims and methods he knew little or nothing, and the imagination stumbles at many of the details of the last book. But the wealth of illustration whereby he maintains the interest of his original conception of pigmies and giants is eternally surprising and delightful. Defoe could have made of Captain Lemuel Gulliver a living man, he, too, could have recorded with the minutest circumstance of date and place the misadventures and actions of his hero. It may well be doubted whether he could have carried into an unreal world that literalism, accuracy of proportion, and imaginative vividness of detail wherewith Swift endows it. The cat in Brobdingnag makes a noise in purring like "a dozen Stocking weavers at work." Gulliver is clad in clothes of the thinnest silk, "not much thicker than an English blanket, very cumbersome, till I was accustomed to them," the sailing boat wherein he shows his skill in navigation is taken, when he has done, and hung upon a nail to dry. These are the sources of the pleasure that children take in the book;



the astonishing strokes of savage satire that are its chief attraction for their elders derive most of their force from the imperturbable innocence and quietude of manner that disarms suspicion. Like Iago, Gulliver is a fellow "of exceeding honesty," and he goes about his deadly work the better for his bluntness and scrupulous pretence of veracity. But the design of the book forbids its classification among works of pure fiction; it is enough to remark that in *Gulliver* realism achieved one of the greatest of its triumphs before its ultimate conquest of the novel.

The novels produced by lesser writers contemporary with Defoe and Swift are belated examples of Restoration literature, and have little infusion of the new spirit. Two women novelists, Mrs Manley and Mrs. Haywood, may be classed as imitators of Mrs Aphra Behn. Swift alludes to both, only to call Mrs. Haywood a "stupid, infamous, scribbling woman," and to record his impression that Mrs. Manley kept about two thousand epithets in a bag, and spilled them at random on her pages. The works by which chiefly they gained notoriety are petty chronicles of scandal, deriving their main interest from the thinly veiled identity of the characters introduced. *The New Atalantis* (1709) of Mrs Manley, and the *Memoirs of a certain Island adjacent to Utopia* (1725) of Mrs. Haywood, can hardly claim to be considered as examples of the art of fiction, for the readers they attracted sought in them a record of fact. Interest in individuals, that interest so much lacking in the "Character" writings of the seventeenth century, is here, no doubt, to be found in full measure, but unilluminated by

any semblance of eternity, the gift of art. Mrs. Manley wrote also *The Power of Love, in seven Novels* (1720) and Mrs. Haywood published a whole tribe of short stories, such as *The British Recluse* (1722) *Idalia, or the Unfortunate Mistress* (1723) *Philidore and Placentia* (1727). These short stories are akin to the comedies of the Restoration, but destitute of the glitter and life of the Restoration stage. Mrs. Haywood's best novels, *The History of Miss Betsy Thoughtless* (1751) and *The History of Jenny and Jenny Jansary* (1753) were written at a time when the art of the novelist had been new-created by Richardson, Fielding, and Smollett, so that they are separated by more than time from her earlier and briefer efforts. Some faint idea of the magnitude of the revolution that intervened might be gathered from a comparison of Miss Betsy with one of the heroines of the earlier tales, as, for instance, Placentia. Miss Betsy is all of the new world, she is own cousin to Roderick Random, and has been taken for an ancestor of Evelina. Placentia, a quarter of a century older is a kinswoman of Clelia and Parthenissa, whom she exactly resembles in life, in love, and in epistolary style,—only differing for the better in the brevity and dramatic symmetry of her history. Mrs. Haywood is a good specimen of that third-rate kind of author that multiplies the faint echoes of a literary success, and writes novels, as an oriental tailor makes garments, to a ready made pattern, with dexterity and despatch. Her pre-Richardsonian work deserves mention, but it could teach nothing at all to the new novel that was so soon to supplant it.

## CHAPTER VI.

### RICHARDSON AND FIELDING

( IN one or other of the various literary forms dealt with in the last chapter, almost all the characteristic features of the modern novel are to be found. Yet the novel was slow to arise. For many years after the appearance of the masterly sketches and tales of the *Tatler* and *Spectator*, writers were content to imitate these more or less exactly in the literary journals of the day, and to seek for no more ambitious development. It was not until years after Madame de la Fayette had created a new era in French fiction by her novel *La Princesse de Clèves* (1678), not until years after Marivaux by his *Vie de Marianne* (1731) had singularly anticipated Richardson in subject and treatment, although, so far as can be ascertained, without influencing him, that the English *Pamela* was born in 1740\*.

4 The reason of the delay is not hard to assign. New literary forms, although they are invented by the genius of authors, have a success strictly conditioned by the taste of the public. It is not likely that any professional

\* It seems likely that Richardson had read *The Life of Marianne, with continuation by Mad. Riccoboni*, which appeared in three volumes, 12mo, in 1736.

writer will trouble himself to strike out a new path while the old paths lead to fame and fortune. And the fact is that it was the decline of the theatre during the earlier part of the eighteenth century that made way for the novel. The drama no longer made any pretence of holding the mirror up to Nature, the audiences had no claim to be considered representative of the tastes of the wider literary public. (The fashionable ladies of the time, as Fielding says in one of his farces, would "take a stage-box, where they let the footman sit the first two acts, to show his livery then they come in to show themselves, spread their fans upon the spikes, make curtsies to their acquaintance, and then talk and laugh as loud as they are able." To the upper gallery the footmen and servants of the great had free access, and they imitated their masters in regarding a civil attention to the actors as the last resource of a jaded mind. In the pit were assembled the only serious critics of the play young templars and city merchants, but their tastes were little likely to redeem the drama from the triviality to which it had sunk.

Nevertheless authors had learnt to regard theatrical success as the crown of literary ambition, and they were slow to unlearn the lesson. Steele and Addison, at the very time when by their literary criticisms they were educating the public to distaste the plays that held the stage, while by their prose sketches of life and manners they were showing themselves true followers of Shakespeare, though at a distance, could not rest content without trying their fortunes also on the stage. Addison's tragedy of *Cato* (1713) was for factitious reasons a

success; Steele, who had an earlier play "damned for its piety," persevered in the drama until in the *Conscious Lovers* (1722) he produced a comedy that succeeded indeed, but left no issue. And Fielding himself, in spite of the competition of pantomime, spectacle, and opera, for almost ten years kept himself alive by dramatic authorship until the Licensing Act of 1737 curbed his satirical energies, and the unexampled success of *Pamela* in 1740 directed them into a new channel.

The particular tastes that the novel was to satisfy were now no longer catered for by the drama. A small part only of the new reading public were in the habit of going to the theatre, while, on the other hand, the standard plays of the older dramatists had never before had so many readers. The habit of reading plays is curiously illustrated in Richardson's *Sir Charles Grandison*, where Miss Byron, writing to Miss Selby, says, "I know, my dear, you love to read plays," and so excuses herself for writing her narrative in dramatic form with the speakers' names recorded in the margin. In his two later novels Richardson gives a list of the *dramatis personæ* in the beginning, under the heading, "Names of the Principal Persons." It is as if the novel were merely a play with its framework of stage directions expanded for the ease of the reader. And in this form the novel was bound to supplant the play with the reading public. To read a play with full intelligence is at all times difficult for an untrained reader, and the law of least possible effort can be as effectively illustrated from literature as from language. A new form of literature that had all the interest of the drama, but imposed only the slenderest

tax on the reader's attention and imagination, was predestined to success.

It was not a professional writer that made the discovery of such a new form, but a short, stout, prim, pedantic bookseller and printer aged fifty-one, whose excursions into literature had hitherto been of the slightest—a few prefaces and dedications, contributed at the request of others. In these, however, he had displayed some literary facility, and Messrs. Rivington and Osborne two booksellers who were his particular friends, pressed him to write a little book “of familiar letters on the useful concerns in common life.” It was in the course of preparing this that Samuel Richardson bethought him of a story, told him by a friend, of a young girl, the daughter of honest and pious parents, who had been taken into the service of a great family, had had snares laid for her honour by her employer's son “a young gentleman of free principles,” but had subdued him by her noble resistance, so that at last “he thought fit to make her his wife.” This incident running in Richardson's head suggested to him the inclusion of a few letters giving cautions “to young folks circumstanced as Pamela was,” and these few letters grew under his hand until they filled the book and became nothing other than the first modern novel.

The account Richardson gave to his friend Aaron Hill of the inception of the book shows that before it was completed he had become conscious that he was introducing “a new species of writing.” His hope was, he says, that it “might possibly turn young people into a course of reading different from the pomp and parade

of romance writing, and dismissing the improbable and marvellous, with which novels generally abound, might tend to promote the cause of religion and virtue." And so it came about that a book, the original design of which bore about as much relation to literature as the tunes of a piano-organ bear to music, became when completed the ancestor of a literary progeny like the sands of the sea for number.

Richardson's early life had been in some sort a training for the work on which he was to start so late. His father was a joiner, and a friend of Shaftesbury and Monmouth. Probably he was one of the "brisk boys" that ran behind Shaftesbury's coach in London, at any rate, on the failure of Monmouth's attempt on the throne he "was looked on with a jealous eye," and thought proper on the "decollation," as Richardson phrases it, of that unhappy nobleman, "to quit his London business and retire to Derbyshire, though to his great detriment."

In Derbyshire Samuel Richardson was born in 1689, and received a very slight education, learning no language save his own. His schoolfellows, who nicknamed him *Serious* and *Gravity*, used to press him to tell them stories "One of them particularly, I remember, was for putting me to write a history. . . . I now forget what it was, only that it was of a servant-man preferred by a fine young lady (for his goodness) to a lord, who was a libertine. (All my stories carried with them, I am bold to say, an useful moral")

The same highly moral tendency is seen in the fact that before he was eleven years old he assumed a censor's duties by writing a letter to a widow lady of fifty,

collecting from the Scripture "texts that made against her," and contrasting her pretensions to religion with her habits of slander and gossip.

His precocity of sentiment was hardly less. ("As a bashful and not forward boy," he says, "I was an early favourite with all the young women of taste and reading in the neighbourhood." They would sew while he read aloud to them, and "both mothers and daughters used to be pleased with the observations they put me upon making.") When he was only thirteen, three of these young women revealed to him their love secrets, and induced him to write model letters for them to alter as they pleased in copying) 'I have been directed to chide, and even repulse, when an offence was either taken or given, at the very time that the heart of the chider or repulser was open before me, overflowing with esteem and affection."

(This apprenticeship to the knowledge of the human heart stood Richardson the novelist in good stead.) He was intended by his father for the Church, but the necessary education was out of his reach, and in 1706 he was bound apprentice to Mr. John Wilde of Stationers Hall, whose daughter, after the manner of all good apprentices, he subsequently married. He set up business for himself, at first in Fleet Street, afterwards in Salisbury Court, thrived apace, got the printing of the Journals of the House of Commons, and became in 1754 Master of the Stationers Company. By this time he was a famous man, his novels all were written—for *Clarissa Harlowe* appeared in 1748 and *Sir Charles Grandison* in 1753—and his later years, though troubled by failing



health, were spent in the midst of the grateful incense that rose from the circle of admirers with which he surrounded himself. He died in 1761.

The character of Richardson deserves all the praise it has received from his biographer, Mrs Barbauld. His integrity and industry were unfailing, and in material affairs he was generous, but his extreme vanity made him repellent to all but professed devotees, and the pusillanimity with which Johnson charged him, "the perpetual study to ward off petty inconveniences and to procure petty pleasures," is to be seen in his works in that attention to the infinitely little which is their weakness and their strength. He was formal, passionless, and unsympathetic. When he was young his seniors confided in him, but in his later years his stiffness alienated his juniors, "my girls," he said, "are shy little fools." The famous council that criticized and applauded the drafts of his later novels consisted almost entirely of women, and included Miss Mulso, afterwards Mrs. Chapone, and the sisters of Henry Fielding. At North End, Hammersmith, he lived in "a kind of flower-garden of ladies," and so became a singular example of an author whose heroines speak better and more naturally than his heroes. It may be doubted whether he ever fathomed the secrets of the male heart.

Richardson has left a portrait of himself in the description he wrote for Lady Bradshaigh, who worshipped him from afar—

"Short, rather plump than emaciated, notwithstanding his complaints, about five foot five inches; fair wig, lightish cloth coat, all black besides, one hand generally

in his bosom, the other a cane in it, which he leans upon under the skirts of his coat usually, that it may imperceptibly serve him as a support when attacked by sudden tremors or startings, and dizziness which too frequently attack him, but, thank God, not so often as formerly, looking directly foreright, as passers-by would imagine, but observing all that stirs on either hand of him without moving his short neck, hardly ever turning back, of a light-brown complexion; teeth not yet failing him, smoothish-faced and ruddy-checked, at some times looking to be about sixty five, at other times much younger, a regular even pace, stealing away ground, rather than seeming to rid it, a grey eye, too often overclouded by mistinesses from the head by chance lively very lively it will be, if he have hope of seeing a lady whom he loves and honours, his eye always on the ladies, if they have very large hoops he looks down and supercilious and as if he would be thought wise, but perhaps the sillier for that, as he approaches a lady his eye is never fixed first upon her face, but upon her feet, and thence he raises it up, pretty quickly for a dull eye, and one would think (if we thought him at all worthy of observation) that from her air and (the last he held) her face, he sets her down in his mind as *so* or *so* and then passes on to the next object he meets."

It is necessary in passing from the man to his novels, to say something first about the method of telling a story by way of letters—a method that Richardson hit upon almost by accident, but which he continued in his later novels from choice. Of the three ways most in vogue for telling a story, it has been perhaps the least popular,

but it is not hard to see that it suited Richardson's matter and style the best.

The first and most usual way is that the author should tell the story directly. He is invisible and omniscient, a sort of *diable boiteux*, who is able to unroof all houses and unlock all hearts, and who can never be questioned as to how he came to a knowledge of the events he narrates. There are stories that can be told in no other way than this, the favourite way of Fielding, Scott, Dickens, and Thackeray. (At a slight sacrifice of dramatic force the events of the story are supplied with a chorus, and at any time that suits him the author can cast off his invisible cloak and show himself fingering the "helpless pieces of the game he plays".)

The second method, the chosen expedient of Marivaux, Goldsmith, and Prévost, is to put the whole story in the mouth of the principal character. The realism of Swift and Defoe adopted this method, which gives at once a dramatic centre and a certain unity to the events narrated, from their bearing on the fortunes of one person. For the intense presentment of the main character, as in Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* or *Villette*, this way remains perhaps the best. Yet it has its difficulties and its pitfalls, every incident of the story must be brought within the knowledge of the narrator, and although the single point of view is valuable to evoke sympathy, it takes from the novelist the privilege of killing his hero, who may be condemned to death without awakening in the reader the slightest anxiety as to his safety in the event. Moreover, if the story extend over a number of years, a detailed account of its earlier

parts can only be given by sacrificing the sense of vivid and present reality that attends the hearing of a personal story told by a living voice, the sense of perspective and contrast is lost, the near becomes far and the far near the narrator is forgotten in the actor. Coleridge, in his *Ancient Mariner*, gives perhaps the most consummate illustration of the artistic value of present circumstances as a frame for past events. Defoe, in the intricate maze of his story, is apt to forget the actual speaker.

In employing a third way, and telling his story by a series of letters, Richardson endeavours to combine the advantages of both these methods, to retain the vividness of personal narration by an eye-witness without sacrificing the freedom and omniscience of the impersonal author. For sentimental analysis, in which he excelled, his device served him well, the microscopic minuteness which he loved seems less unnatural in a letter written an hour after the events described than in a story told perhaps some forty years after. But he takes little advantage of the scope that is afforded by his method for variety of characters and styles, and he does not succeed in evading the difficulty caused by the fact that the whole of life does not naturally find its way into letters. In order to supply some one to whom the heroine of each of his novels shall communicate her most intimate feelings, he is obliged to revive an old stage device and Tilburina, in white satin, is attended by her "confidant" in white linen. The worthy Pamela, it is true, writes only to her parents, who take singularly little interest in her misfortunes, but Clarissa has the invaluable and lively

Miss Howe, and Harriet Byron exercises her absurdly exact memory on the long-suffering Miss Selby, writing, according to the computation of Mr. Leslie Stephen, in the space of three days as much as would fill one hundred and forty-four pages of octavo print. And dramatic propriety stands aghast at the confidences that pass between Mr Robert Lovelace and his friend Mr John Belford.

And yet these are hardly defects in Richardson, for they are the very foundation of his art. To spend hours in narrating her most trifling experiences, and recording her most casual conversations, may well be said to make a lady appear small-minded, but how shall those who have followed her story with unflagging interest be the first to make the accusation? Richardson has had not a few readers who smiled, perhaps contemptuously, but continued to read. His power of analysis lies chiefly in this, that no detail is beneath his attention. It is the exact function of the microscope, the commonplace becomes interesting, not by its setting, not by the glamour lent to it by the imagination of a poet, but merely because it is magnified and made novel by detail previously unperceived. Nor are there wanting subtle touches, rapid and minute, that lay bare the very hearts of his characters. Thus Pamela, when she has escaped from her master, receives a humble letter from him asking her to come back. For a moment she is inclined to consent, but remembering his repeated perfidies and cruelties, she ponders her impulse and argues against it. "Therefore will I not acquit thee yet, O credulous, fluttering, throbbing mischief! that art so ready to believe what thou wishest, and I charge thee to keep better

guard than thou hast lately done, and tempt me not to follow too implicitly thy flattering impulses! Thus foolishly dialogued I with my heart, and yet all the time, *that heart was Pamela.*"

By his power in sentimental analysis it was that Richardson earned the famous eulogy of Diderot, who gives him a place in his esteem beside Moses, Homer, and Euripides. And the main interest of Richardson's persons has never been better expressed than in Diderot's words, 'Ils sont communs, dites-vous (ces personnages), c'est ce qu'on voit tous les jours? Vous vous trompez, c'est ce qui se passe tous les jours sous vos yeux et que vous ne voyez jamais.' (And Johnson laid stress on the same quality when he said that there was more knowledge of the human heart in one letter of Richardson's than in all *Tom Jones*.)

More dissection of the human heart, a fuller display of its processes, there certainly is. But Fielding set before himself models of epic breadth, while Richardson shows the defects of his qualities in the extreme slowness of his dramatic development. The events recorded in the eight volumes of *Clarissa* occupy eleven months. In *Sir Charles Grandison* the story is arrested while the characters are displayed, contrasting their thoughts, plans, and sentiments. And there is an incessant doubling back on what has gone before, first a letter is written describing what "has passed," this letter is communicated by its recipient to a third character, who comments on it, while the story waits. This constant repercussion of a theme or event between one or more pairs of correspondents produces a structure of story very like *The*

*House that Jack Built* : Each writer is narrating not events alone, but his or her reflections on previous narrations of the same events. And so, on the next-to-nothing that happened there is superimposed the young lady that wrote to her friend describing it, the friend that approved her for the decorum of the manner in which she described it, the admirable baronet that chanced to find the letter approving the decorum of the young lady, the punctilio of honour that prevented the admirable baronet from reading the letter he found, and so on. 'It is very lifelike, but life can become at times a slow affair, and one of the privileges of the novel-writer is to quicken it. This privilege Richardson foregoes. Any one reading *Sir Charles Grandison* at a leisurely pace (it cannot be read fast) must be particularly happy in having no history if he has not lived through more events than he has read through by the time he comes to the end of it. As Johnson again said, "If you were to read Richardson for the story, your impatience would be so much fretted that you would hang yourself. But you must read him for the sentiment, and consider the story only as giving occasion to the sentiment."

There remains to be considered the feature of Richardson's work on which he most valued himself, and which attracted the enthusiastic applause of his contemporaries—its morality. He looked on himself as a moral reformer, and in the preface to *Pamela* he sets forth a portentous list of the "desirable ends" that are "attained within these sheets." By Johnson once more his especial praise is thus summarized: "He has enlarged the knowledge of human nature, and taught the passions to move at the command of virtue."

How his microscope enlarged the knowledge of human nature has already been shown, but what shall be said of his success in this loftier exploit? He did not himself think it difficult. In speaking of the lady Clementina, in *Sir Charles Grandison*, a lady who had conceived a passion for that monster of perfection, whom her religion forbade her to marry Richardson excuses himself for implying, towards the close of the novel, that she marries some one else by saying to one of his correspondents, 'I want to have young people think that there is no such mighty business as they are apt to suppose in conquering a first love.' The passions are not really very formidable, it seems virtue has only to pipe to them, and they dance the most decorous of concerted jigs. And yet the reader who had expected to see the lion tamer go into the den and subdue the raging animals with a glance, is somehow disappointed when it is shown to be so easy and begins to entertain suspicions that the beasts are stuffed. And the virtue that subdues them is of no heroic mould. At its worst and crudest Richardson's conception of virtue is merely "tickling commodity" an injunction to buy in the cheapest and sell in the dearest market, at its best, in *Clarissa*, it is far too negative in quality, a sort of show man leading his perfectly tamed passions from place to place. Of a virtue that should inflame the higher, rather than allay the lower passions, he had little or no idea.)

(To *Pamela or Virtue Rewarded* the prudential doctrine appears in its earliest and most disgusting form.) The main plot has already been indicated, Pamela's virtue is rewarded by the success of her scheme to marry the



man who has heaped on her every indignity that sub-humanity could suggest. But he is wealthy and has position, and the original story, in two volumes, closes with a scene of benediction, Pamela's pious parents thanking Heaven that their daughter has laid to heart their early precepts. Two more volumes were afterwards added by Richardson to assuage the fears of those who were not quite easy about the fate of the heroine. In these Pamela "reforms" her husband, and shows herself a model matron in high life.

In *Sir Charles Grandison* there is described Richardson's beau-ideal of manly virtue. He was moved to write the book by the complaints of those who urged on him that "Mr B," Pamela's persecutor, and Lovelace, the chief male characters of the two earlier novels, were both villains, and that it was his duty to give to the world the picture of a true hero, for its admiration and imitation. The vanity of Richardson fell into the trap, and in *Sir Charles Grandison* he designed a man of large fortune, high birth, and perfect breeding, who unites in himself all possible accomplishments, and all the virtues hitherto invented. Sir Charles Grandison's ready benevolence undertakes the most diverse tasks,—setting up a poor family in life, rescuing a distressed lady from a man of title who is carrying her off by force, making considerate alterations in the structure of his own paternal mansion, and finding a wife for his gouty old uncle. In his youth he is sent abroad to travel on the Continent, and meantime his father dies. He hurries home to console his sisters, and appears,—“a graceful youth of seventeen with fine curling auburn locks waving

on his shoulders, delicate in complexion, intelligence sparkling in his fine free eyes, and good-humour sweetening his lively features." This is the sort of language he holds,— "What I think to do, cousin," said Sir Charles, "is to inter the venerable remains (I must always speak in this dialect, sir), with those of my mother. This I know was his desire. I will have an elegant, but not sumptuous monument erected to the memory of both, with a modest inscription that shall rather be a matter of instruction to the living than a panegyric on the departed. The difference in the expense shall be privately applied to relieve or assist distressed house keepers, or some of my father's poor tenants who have large families, and have not been wanting in their honest endeavours to maintain them. My sisters, I hope, will not think themselves neglected if I spare them the pain of conferring with them on a subject that must afflict them."

He keeps his word, throughout the book he speaks in this dialect and maintains this insufferable bearing. He is never subjected to the trials of Job, or of the Vicar of Wakefield. Wealthy accomplished, universally beloved, with the smoke of devotion and flattery ascending to him from scores of grateful and adoring hearts, he passes through life, bestowing pleasure with a smile, causing pain and remorse with a sigh, improving the occasion at all times, until the reader is seized with a blind desire to enact the part of the adversary, to tear him from place and power and set him to earn his living.

He is more than once challenged to a duel. He

disapproves of duelling, but so skilled is he with the rapier that he can disarm any adversary by a turn of the wrist and let him depart unharmed.

The tribe of women who surround him with adulation attribute his hesitation to marry to his delicate consideration for the hearts that would thus be desolated and deprived of their hope. "He called me *his* Emily," says his ward, Miss Jervois, "but all the world is his Emily, I think." "He is in all instances," says Miss Harriet Byron, who is also at his feet, "an imitator of the Almighty, an humbler of the impenitent and encourager of those who repent." In recounting his good deeds Miss Byron says, "Here I laid down my pen and wept for joy, I think it was for joy that there is such a young man in the world, for what else could it be? And now, with a watery eye, twinkle, twinkle, do I resume it"

Sir Charles's matrimonial affairs form the main interest of the story. It is hardly necessary to say that he does no wooing, but he debates with himself for long to which of two ladies he is the better justified, on moral considerations, in throwing the handkerchief. At last he is safely married to Miss Harriet Byron, who has long been receiving letters of condolence from her connection on the hopeless passion for him that, to her own great horror, she both cherishes and divulges, and there follow two more books of dresses and fêtes.

In the effort to construct an ideally perfect character no man can build better than he knows, his own conceptions of honour and virtue are inevitably laid bare. Sir Charles meets with no very terrible temptations, but

his conduct is open more than once to the severest criticisms. When he is interviewing the Signora Clementina on the matter of the religious difficulties that attend their suggested marriage, her father and mother, the Marquis and Marchioness della Porretta, conceal themselves in a cupboard hard by and overhear the conversation. This would hardly have commended itself as an honourable course of action to the workmen in Richardson's back-shop,—but since in this book Richardson classifies his characters as "men women, and Italians," let it be regarded as an eccentricity of Italians, and excused. The interview ended, the marchioness congratulates Sir Charles on the perfectly honourable way in which he has acquitted himself. He feels no indignation, and when he is again to see Clementina, himself suggests to the marchioness that she had better get back into the cupboard. This she does, and the interview takes place, Sir Charles being aware that all that they say is overheard, while the lady is unaware. It would be edifying to have the opinion of Tom Jones on this particular line of conduct. That sturdy youth would not be likely to share the sentiments of Cowper's Muse, who professes that—

"She cannot reach and would not wrong  
That subject for an angel's song,  
The hero and the saint!"

Nevertheless, as a book, *Sir Charles Grandison* is full of delight. It is more elaborately constructed than either of the other novels, and shows a keen dramatic sense of situation at times. The first appearance of Sir Charles as the deliverer of Miss Byron from the evil Sir

Hargrave Pollexfen, is admirably led up to and contrived. The comedy, which is mainly in the capable hands of Miss Charlotte Grandison, is livelier than might have been expected. And Miss Byron at her best is a charming companion.

Richardson's three novels form a trilogy of a kind, and deal respectively with humble life, with middle-class life, and with high life. In the second of these, *Clarissa Harlowe*, he achieved his masterpiece. There are here none of the unrelated digressions, episodes, and by-plots that are to be found in *Sir Charles*, the story is developed with a leisurely but unrelenting progress from beginning to end. The plot is well known, how Lovelace, having paid his addresses to Clarissa and having been rejected by her family, induces her to escape from the persecution to which she is subjected at home by casting herself into his hands and trusting to his honourable protection. From this point, early in the story, Richardson is at ease in his theme, and deploys his minute method with really marvellous effect. Clarissa's troubles thicken and darken around her, and through them all, her fortitude and virtue are made to shine brighter and brighter until the end, Clarissa dies, overwhelmed by her sorrows, and Lovelace falls in a duel with Colonel Morden, the avenger of her death. Nothing in fiction is more impressive than the deliberation with which Richardson carries his story forward to its inevitable end. That end is long foreseen by the reader, but he is not permitted to quicken his step to meet the impending doom. With all the circumspect attention to detail that Clarissa shows in the preparations for her own funeral,

without any hurry or any discomposure, the author leads on the story and in this way chiefly makes his strength and his restraint felt. His restraint is well seen in the description of the death of Lovelace, where inappreciative critics have asked for more horrors, and in his neglect of all appeals to relieve Clarissa and reform Lovelace. ("Virtue Rewarded" he had already treated in *Pamela*—the theme of *Clarissa* might rather be called "Virtue Triumphant," and in the treatment of this he knew that a happy ending is also a futile ending.

The character of Clarissa, her strength, patience, and gentleness in suffering, is perhaps rather heightened by her weaknesses, her irresolution and want of decision in action. In the earlier part of the story she walls greatly about the "multitude of punctilios and decorums which a young creature must dispense with" in a situation like hers, the inadequacy of her wardrobe oppresses her, and the dread of the indecorous in whatever form prevents her from taking any firm resolve even when she is appealed to by Lovelace,—she weeps and is silent, accepts his protection and calls him a wretch, and so is driven by circumstance from first to last. Her efforts to escape are feeble and seem almost half-hearted. Yet those critics are ill advised who call for the police, asserting that an appeal to the nearest magistrate would have put an end to the heroine's difficulties. Richardson's story and characters would be alike spoilt by the intrusion of probability and realism. He placed himself, says Colendge, "as it were in a day-dream," (his atmosphere is that of "a sick room heated by stoves," while Fielding carries

his reader "into an open lawn on a breezy day in May " Let each be judged after his kind : to break the glass of Richardson's hothouse and let in the common air would only be to kill the tropical plants that he has grown under those fostering limitations , his characters live in a sick-room, but they would die in the open air. Any one who has once learnt to breathe in those confines must feel the beauty and charm of the sentimental growths that there luxuriate , a detached scene from *Clarissa* may jar on the critical sense, but read through, the book carries the reader clear of daily life, creates its own canons, and compels intent admiration. Lady Mary Wortley Montagu was one of the severest of Richardson's critics,—she said rightly that he had no idea of the manners of high life. She disliked the voluminous candour of his heroines, and could not forgive him his disrespect for old china,—“which is below nobody's taste” Yet she fell under the spell of his sentiment, “I heartily despise him,” she says, “and eagerly read him, nay, sob over his works, in a most scandalous manner”

It was by his sentiment that Richardson gained an immediate and enduring popularity, and became the founder of a school of novelists. Not in England alone, where “Sentiment” soon became the badge of a tribe of writers, but on the Continent, especially in France, *Pamela* and her sisters exercised a profound influence, the end of which is not very easily assigned. The novels were translated into French (one translation is by the author of *Manon Lescaut*), German, and Dutch, Goldoni in Italy wrote two comedies called *Pamela*

*Nubile* and *Pamela Maritata* Wieland's tragedy, *Clementine von Poretz*, and Hermes' novel, *Miss Fanny Wilkes*, are after the same model, parodied by Musæus in *Grandison der Zweite* and the independent works of Rousseau (*La Nouvelle Héloïse*) Diderot (*La Religieuse*), Marmontel, and Bernardin de St. Pierre show unmistakable marks either in form or in substance of the sentimental sway exercised by Richardson, which continued in France down to the time when Alfred de Musset called *Clarissa* "le premier roman du monde." Thus Richardson is to be regarded not only as the founder of the modern novel in England, but also as in some sense the forerunner of all those writers who cultivated "sensibility" well defined by Mrs. Radcliffe as "a dangerous quality which is continually extracting the excess of misery or delight from every surrounding object,"—the inaugurator of a century and a half of hyperæsthesia.

A perfect chorus of applause greeted *Pamela* on her first appearance. "I can send you no news," wrote Horace Walpole in a juvenile letter, "the late singular novel is the universal, and only theme—*Pamela* is like snow, she covers everything with her whiteness." Yet there were not lacking a few dissentient voices. The pretentious morality of the book, lauded by Dr. Shetlock from his pulpit, and by Pope from his easy-chair, was of a kind to invite burlesque. As early as 1741, a burlesque appeared, entitled *An Apology for the Life of Mrs. Shamela Andrews*, by an anonymous writer. And by February 1742, "a lewd and ungenerous engraftment," as Richardson calls it, on the story of the



virtuous servant-maid was published under the title *The History of the Adventures of Joseph Andrews and his Friend Mr Abraham Adams*.

This novel, which became the starting-point of a new school of fiction, was written by Henry Fielding, barrister, journalist, and playwright, whose early education and experience of life were little likely to leave him susceptible to serious impression by the vulgar morality of Richardson. Born in 1707 at Sharpham Park, near Glastonbury, the seat of his maternal grandfather, descended through his father, Edmund Fielding, from a younger branch of the Earls of Denbigh, Henry Fielding had seen much of men and manners, and was familiar with all classes of society before he reached the age of thirty-four. He was prepared for Eton by one Mr. Oliver, who, if he was indeed the original of Parson Trulliber, "could have acted the part of Falstaff without stuffing," had a loud and hoarse voice, and "a stateliness in his gait when he walked, not unlike that of a goose, only he stalked slower." About the time of his leaving Eton, while Richardson was accumulating the stock of morality that was to be compounded in that specific against elopements, *Clarissa*, Fielding was meditating an elopement on his own account with a Miss Sarah Andrew, whose guardian complained that he went in fear of his life "owing to the behaviour of Henry Fielding and his attendant or man." Foiled in this project, Fielding passed over to Leyden to study law with "the learned Vitriarius," but his remittances from home failing, he returned to London in 1728, to maintain himself in that city by his wits. His father, who had married a second

time, did not trouble himself to pay the allowance of two hundred a year, and Fielding, it would appear, troubled himself even less. He had already at Leyden laid the plan of a comedy called *Don Quixote in England*, and he now turned to the stage for his livelihood.

Fielding's dramatic labours have been almost eclipsed by his greater novels, yet he was an industrious and successful dramatic author from the production of his first comedy, *Love in Several Masques*, in 1728, down to his abandonment of the stage and admission to the Middle Temple after the appearance of his satirical play, *The Historical Register* for 1736, and the passing of the Licensing Act.

In Fielding the stage had found a great humourist and comic wit, but it failed to keep him, and the reasons are not far to seek. The dramatic conventions and fashions of the time gave him too little scope. Vanbrugh and Congreve, as he remarks in *Tom Jones* copied Nature the comedy writers of his own time only copied them, and so produced imitations of manners and satires on foibles that no longer reigned in the fashionable world. In one of his most successful plays, *The Tragedy of Tragedies or the Life and Death of Tom Thumb the Great* (1731) Fielding had parodied the extravagances of Lee, Rowe, Thomson, and Young. But the theme was soon exhausted, and he sought more scope for the representation of contemporary life by plunging into political satire in *Pisquiss* (1736), and *The Historical Register* (1737), in which candidates for Parliament are introduced bribing away "with right und left," and Sir

Robert Walpole himself is represented under the guise of Quidam, a fiddler, superintending a ballet of Patriots. In the preface to the latter of these two plays, Fielding promised to continue exerting his talents "in ridiculing vice and imposture . . . while the liberty of the press and stage subsists, that is to say, while we have any liberty left among us."

It was not to be long. The same year a bill limiting the number of theatres, and submitting all plays to the approval of the Lord Chamberlain, became law, and Fielding, who was married by this time, turned to the Bar and journalism for his support. He was called to the Bar in 1740, some four or five months only before the appearance of *Pamela* gave him the opportunity for a wider and deeper comedy than could maintain itself on the stage of the time.

In the history of Joseph Andrews, the virtuous serving-man, Fielding probably originally designed no more than a burlesque upon *Pamela*. He gave the illustrious Pamela a brother Joseph, whom he placed in the service of Lady Booby, an aunt by marriage of Richardson's Mr B., and there subjected him to a series of attacks upon his virtue which Joseph, remembering his sister's lofty conduct, successfully repelled. But either the original butt of Fielding's satire proved too narrow a mark, or, as is perhaps more likely, the subsidiary characters, Parson Adams and Mrs Shpslop, who are introduced in the third chapter, coming to life in his hands assumed some control of the story, in any case, the novel soon forgot its original, and from Chapter XI., which deals with "several new matters not expected,"

it becomes a novel of adventure of a type new to English literature. So that when Fielding came to write his preface he found that he too had to defend and explain a kind of writing hitherto unattempted. (The preface, although it cannot compare with the longer critical dissertations in *Tom Jones*, yet sets forth very clearly the aim and character of Fielding's novels. *Joseph Andrews*, he says, is a comic epic poem in prose, admitting light and ridiculous incidents in the action, and persons of inferior rank and manners among the characters, both of which are excluded by the serious epic, the tragedy, or the serious romance. But burlesque, as he is careful to observe, although it is admitted in the diction for the especial delight of the classical reader, is rigidly excluded from the sentiments and characters, which are strictly copied from Nature. It is unnecessary to seek for the monstrous and unnatural when 'life everywhere furnishes an accurate observer with the ridiculous.' And the preface goes further yet in pointing out that the only source of the true ridiculous is affectation, whether it springs from vanity or from hypocrisy.)

(The war against shams, against strong hypocrisy or its feeble descendant insincerity that Fielding carried on throughout his life,) is here declared. And yet as an account of his own comedy and his own humour his analysis is inadequate. (For his broadest, most delicious laughter is not a weapon of offence, he seldom shows his teeth, and for once that he laughs fiercely at human vanity he will laugh ten times sympathetically, gently irresistibly, at human weaknesses and oddities, at the

incongruity of things Parson Adams, that compound of oddities, is laughed at most by those who love him best, including his creator. All his traits, his absent-mindedness, his impulsiveness, the wisdom that makes him the best of teachers, the childlike simplicity that made him the plaything of knaves, are developed by touches repeated and fearless, which show how little Fielding cared, in painting this inimitable comic figure, to cater for the mirth of those whose laughter is begotten only by some fancied superiority in themselves. There is something almost paradoxical in the succession of ludicrous plights devised for this most loveable and admirable of men, as if Fielding were insisting that the most ridiculous of his characters should also be the least obnoxious to any breath of scorn. When Adams, having failed to induce Towwouse to accept his manuscript sermons in payment of Joseph's bill, leans over the rail of the upper story of the inn, smoking his pipe and pondering some new expedient, with his night-cap drawn over his wig and a short great-coat half covering his cassock, he presented, says Fielding, "a figure likely to attract the eyes of those who were not over given to observation." By the very device of discarding all the stage properties of the virtuous priest, the novelist makes his main character stand forth more conspicuously among the Trullibers, Towwouses, and Slipslops, and gives to the reader profound lessons in wisdom, in understanding, and, it must be added, in humour. "His wit," says Thackeray, "is wonderfully wise and detective, it flashes upon a rogue and lightens up a rascal like a policeman's lantern." But his work as a novelist is not so entirely

subsidary as some would have it to his work as a magistrate, his treatment even of so very a rogue as Mr Peter Pounce is not ungentle or unintelligent, and his illuminating humour is not focussed on vice, but shines like the sun on the evil and on the good. ✓

From the date of *Joseph Andrews* to the time of his death in 1754, some twelve years later, Fielding's life was spent in his professional duties and in the unremitting and arduous pursuit of literary excellence. His two later novels, *The History of Tom Jones, a Foundling* (1749) and *Amelia* (1751) appeared after he had, by the influence of his friend Lyttleton, been appointed Justice of the Peace for Middlesex and Westminster, and the later of the two bears marks of the intrusion of his official duties on his leisured thought. The most important of his other writings is to be found in the third volume of the *Miscellanies* that he collected and published in 1743 and is entitled the *History of the Life of the late Mr Jonathan Wild the Great*. The "fundamental brain-work" necessary for a great work of fiction is not only present but apparent in all Fielding's novels, they can be stripped, as Shakespeare's plays cannot, of their picturesque expression, and something at least of their purport stated in purely intellectual terms. But in *Jonathan Wild* above all Fielding indulges to the full his taste for clearness and unity of intellectual structure. The life of the original of his hero, who died at Tyburn in 1725, is to be found in Johnson's *Lives of Highway-men* (1734) where it is recorded of Wild that, under sentence of death, he contemplated suicide, being "more especially swayed to such Notions, he pretended, from

the Examples of the famous Heroes of Antiquity, who, to avoid dishonourable Treatment, had given themselves a speedy Death" The hint was enough to suggest that Wild's career might be celebrated in epic vein—an idea that would have pleased Swift, who, long before the *Beggars' Opera*, had suggested a "Newgate pastoral" Fielding conducts his narrative under the dominant influence of one prevailing purpose, in the service of which he employs all his irony, never suffering the reader for one moment to forget the main thesis, which is stated at the beginning of the story, restated at the close and illustrated with matchless skill throughout This thesis is in effect that the elements of "greatness," in the common acceptation of the term, when divorced from that plain goodness of heart which is little likely to foster ambition, are the same in the thief and in men eminent in more reputable professions, as those can testify "who have lived long in cities, courts, gaols, or such places" In sketching the history of Wild, and showing how his career of selfish villainy might have been marred at innumerable points by the slightest liability to humane feeling, Fielding's polished irony achieves a triumph, and presents a picture of almost "perfect diabolism" The humour of the author is at its grimmest in this work, not so much in depicting Wild, the horror of whose character is almost forgotten in its artistic unity, as in sundry subordinate details, such as the conduct of Heartfree's debtors when he is in prosperity, and of his creditors when he is in prison, or that quiet piece of knavery and inhumanity on the part of the keeper of the prison, who keeps Heartfree's reprieve a secret until he

has extracted twenty pounds from Friendly as the price of a respite for an hour. The writer whose eyes are thus open to the possible profundities of human wickedness has earned a right to speak of human virtue, and by means of the little group of amiable figures—Heartfree, Mrs. Heartfree, the good magistrate, and Friendly—Fielding relieves the blackness of his picture. But he is careful not to confuse his method or depart from the lines he has marked out for himself, 'the low and pitiful behaviour of Heartfree' in lavishing affection on his family and reposing trust in his friends, is duly stigmatized as creating an opinion among those about him in the prison that he was one of the silliest fellows in the universe." And not only is the interest of the various adventures well sustained but the points where the narrative is retarded or arrested to allow the author time for the leisurely play of his humour round a single incident are numerous and striking. The two chapters, for instance, which are devoted to Wild's adventures in the open boat, and describe how, having determined on suicide, he leaps into the water and thereupon is miraculously saved by climbing into the boat again, are fine examples of Fielding's characteristic vein. In this book, too, as in *Joseph Andrews*, is seen that vivifying power which brings to life the veriest walking gentlemen and stage mutes. The Ordinary in Newgate is a character merely incidental, and yet with his opinion that any man who believes in the possible salvation of "a sincere Turk" is himself incapable of grace, with his taste for punch and his justification of that taste by default of Scripture, above all with his sermon, a prophylactic



against the errors of Greek systems of thought, administered to a condemned criminal, he stands distinctly embodied before the reader to whom he has barely been introduced.

In his two later novels Fielding completed that gallery of portraits which transcends for reality and variety the work of all former English narrators, save perhaps Chaucer alone. *Tom Jones*, the work of "some thousands of hours," distributed probably over not a few years, is the Epic of Youth, by a master of comedy. In the prime of his manhood, speaking from a full and ripe experience, but with the zest of youthfulness still easily within the reach of memory and sympathy, Fielding gives in this book his sonorous verdict on human life and human conduct. Whether regarded for its art or for its thought, whether treated as detached scenes of the human comedy, as an example of plot-architecture, or as an attempt at the solution of certain wide problems of life, no truer, saner book has ever been written. Indeed, to borrow the words of the American poet, "this is no book; who touches this touches a man" Through all the motley scenes of life with which its pages are crowded, the reader finds that keener than his delight in the wealth of scenery and character that is displayed before him is his delight in the strength and excellence of the companionship that guides and befriends him. The very qualities that have been foremost in finding Fielding enemies (if those who waste their time in apologizing for him, allowing him the benefit of the age in which he lived, and pitying him, may be so called) have also found him the warmest friends. His splendid candour, his

magnanimity, his tolerance, spring from no ignorance or indifference, he is keenly sensitive to minute traits of character, and merciless to meanness. Under what precise set of conditions, and exactly by what persons, he is to be read, is a question that need trouble no one long. Books are written to be read by those who can understand them, their possible effect on those who cannot is a matter of medical rather than of literary interest. Some literary critics, it is true, with a taste for subdued tones in art, have found some of Fielding's loudest notes too strident for enfeebled ears, but not to the great musician can the whole range of the orchestra, not to the great painter can the strongest contrast of colours, profitably be denied.

Almost all the great English writers of the eighteenth century, whether theologians, novelists, or poets, are, in their essence, moralists, and Fielding is no exception. To compare his morality with Richardson's is only to do what his early readers must have done, for the comparison is courted by himself in *Joseph Andrews* and even *Tom Jones* owes some of its features to that rivalry between these two great novelists of which both were keenly conscious. Moreover, Fielding's morality has before now attracted censure. Richardson, never behind-hand in condemning his rival, says that "the virtues of his heroes are the vices of a truly good man." Hawkins, the biographer of Johnson, condemns him as 'the inventor of that cant phrase, goodness of heart, which is every day used as a substitute for probity and means little more than the virtue of a horse or a dog,' and thinks that "he has done more towards corrupting the rising generation

than any writer we know of." And Mr. Edwards of Turrick, Bucks, the author of *The Canons of Criticism*, whose monument in the parish church of Ellesborough records that he departed this life with "decent unconcern," displays neither decency nor nonchalance in the eagerness with which he assures Richardson by letter that he has read the *Journal of a Voyage to Lisbon* "with much indignation," and is convinced by the brave humour of that work that "the fellow had no heart." These are early voices, but they have found later echoes.

If terms borrowed from literary criticism could be applied to morals, it might truly be said that Richardson is a classic, and Fielding a romantic moralist. Richardson lays most stress on code, conformity to the social standard, and judges by the deed done, Fielding lays most on native impulse, goodness of heart, the individual's conformity to his better self, and uses a novelist's privilege in judging his creatures by their motives. The corruption of the classical school in letters springs from a pedantic attention to form and a neglect of inspiration, so in morals corruption appears as pharisaism, the formal righteousness of a man whose good deeds too often spring from bad motives. The virtues of Sir Charles Grandison might all be comprised under the single head of a rigid attention to good form, with much consequent confusion between trivial and important duties.

Against the pedantry of the formal moralist Fielding delights to hurl his satire. He can clear away in a moment all the "splendid rubbish" that covers up a character, and expose its inherent rottenness or meanness. He never tires of showing how a base-minded man may

cover himself with formal righteousness, and how a scapegrace may be good at heart. > A good instance of his method occurs early in *Joseph Andrews*, in that scene where Joseph, robbed of his money and stripped of his clothes, is overtaken, groaning in a ditch, by a coach full of passengers. The arguments against taking him into the coach advanced by the lady who "had rather stay in that place to all eternity than ride with a naked man," and by the old gentleman, who fears lest they may be robbed too, are overcome by the contentions of the young lawyer, who advises "to save the poor creature's life for their own sakes," lest they should incur the charge of being accessory to murder. But Joseph will not enter until he is decently clad, and the difficulty would have been insurmountable, for neither the passengers nor the coachman would lend a single garment, "unless the postillion (a lad who hath been since transported for robbing a hen-roost) had voluntarily stripped off a great coat, his only garment, at the same time swearing a great oath (for which he was rebuked by all the passengers) 'that he would rather ride in his shirt all his life than suffer a fellow-creature to lie in so miserable a condition.'"

(By the insertion of the clauses in brackets Fielding here emphasizes his favourite paradox, which became later the main theme of *Tom Jones*. For with all their variety his novels throughout exhibit in the strongest light the antithesis between the generous or heedless errors of warm hearted humanity, and the calculating prudence and rigid propriety of persons formally righteous, but without the least tincture of generous feeling. >)

A desire to make the contrast as striking as possible is no doubt responsible for some of the incidents in *Tom Jones* that have found least favour with the critics. Thackeray protested against Mr Jones's claim to heroic rank, and an earlier critic, Mrs. Honour, maid to Miss Sophia Western, gave voice to a prevalent opinion when, on being begged to whisper, "for that there was a lady dying in the next room," she exclaimed, "A lady! Ay, I suppose one of your ladies—O, Mr. Jones, there are too many of them in the world."

There are certainly none too few, for Fielding is more careful to keep his hero human than to keep him heroic. But perhaps their number would have been overlooked had not the novelist thought proper, after piloting his hero through twelve books of adventure without any indelible stain on his reputation, to rob him of his honour and exhibit him "spunging for a guinea" by the introduction of the Lady Bellaston episode in the thirteenth book. This much-debated incident, however, only lays a strong emphasis on the main theme of the book, and makes its intellectual framework all the clearer. With something of the same paradoxical intent Walt Whitman proclaims—

"I pick out some low person for my dearest friend,  
He shall be vulgar, rude, illiterate, he shall be one condemned by  
others for deeds done."

And just as Fielding was not satisfied with making the warm-hearted postillion swear, but thought it necessary to transport him for theft, so he is not content to win the sympathy of the reader for Mr. Jones without

giving that sympathy the severest obstacles to surmount in the shape of "deeds done."

He would have no countenance extended to Tom Jones on the ground that in spite of all peccadillos he is at least fairly reputable. And so poor Mr. Jones is left with little but his warm heart to plead for him. But if that excused him to Sophia, who else dare refuse him absolution?

The crew of hypocrites that disport themselves in *Tom Jones* Blifil, Thwackum, Square, and others, are admirably studied and described. Like Squire Western, they are of a hardier breed than their modern representatives, and do not trouble to deceive themselves so long as they can deceive others. The speech made by young Master Blifil when he has let loose Miss Sophia's bird, wherein he claims humanity as the motive of an action really prompted by spite, is a model of deliberate and cunning hypocrisy. All Fielding's evil characters, it may be remarked, are accomplished hypocrites, on pure vanity or silliness he spends very few of his shafts. If he had ever drawn up a graduated list of moral offences, it would doubtless have corresponded very closely with Dante's, as set forth in the circles of the *Inferno*. (Sins involving both cruelty and deceit would have been ranked as heaviest, the sins of the senses as lightest. Only, the English magistrate, with less austerity than the Italian seer, would perhaps have been content to suffer the inhabitants of the higher circles of the *Inferno* to remain on earth, or to be saved from the doom of their actions, while to hypocrites of the darker sort he is as pitiless as Dante himself. ("It is much

easier," he says in the dedication of *Tom Jones*, "to make good men wise than to make bad men good." And therefore he has laboured, not to reform his villains, but to show that indiscretion is responsible for leading virtue and innocence into the snares laid for them. The whole history of Mr Jones up to the last chapter is a commentary on the evil effects of such indiscretion, the punishment follows close on the heels of the offence, and only those stern censors who would prefer to see the hero hanged can complain when he is happily married.]

By the time that he wrote *Amelia*, questions of practical rather than poetic justice had the first place in Fielding's thoughts. The abuses of his time, in connection especially with the administration of the law, the venality of justices and prison officials, the indiscriminate brutality of punishments and their inefficiency in preventing crime, all exercised his mind and energies, and find reflection in the sketches and scenes in *Amelia*. The novel is written in a graver manner, and the introductory chapters, inspired in *Tom Jones* by Fielding's delight in his craft, have no counterpart here. Captain Booth, like the earlier hero, is a man "of consummate good nature," with perhaps a truer and deeper repentance for his faults than the buoyant Mr Jones ever succeeded in experiencing, and is saved, like his prototype, by the warmth of his feelings and the virtues of his wife. Amelia, like Sophia, is drawn from the life, she is an embodiment of the virtues of Charlotte Fielding, the novelist's first wife, who, after eight years of struggle and privation with him, had died in 1743. The errors of Mr. Booth serve only to heighten the effect of

her gentleness and goodness. Tom Jones, "bad as he is," has to serve as hero of the former history Captain Booth is deposed from that position and reigns only in right of his wife, he exists to be forgiven. But he is forgiven with such grace and delicacy for his faults that it is hard to refuse him forgiveness for his existence. And it may be doubted whether a figure so beautiful and at the same time so perfectly life-like as Amelia has ever been drawn in the whole range of English prose fiction.

(To catalogue or appraise Fielding's gallery of portraits would ask for much space. Some of the best of them are still unique in their kind, and have had no copyists. It is more important here, therefore, to set down briefly the advances in the art of novel writing that he taught to his generation. There were mainly two, the artistic conduct of a complicated plot, and combined with this, a realism in the characters and events that should be convincing without hampering the freedom of the artist.

Before Fielding's day only short stories had been told well in English prose. Those prose writers who had chosen to deal with a variety of characters and events in a single narrative, had either jumbled their incidents together in meaningless confusion, or had adopted the simple and monotonous device of Defoe, stringing them on a single thread of consecutive experience without the emphasis that can be obtained from skilful grouping. Congreve, in his single novel, had attempted to introduce dramatic conventions, nobody marked him, Fielding was happier in finding a large public for works that borrowed their structure, although without any slavish imitation, from the classical epic. The influence



of the epic on his work is apparent in his invocations, where his diction becomes poetic, and in mock-heroic scenes, like the battle in the churchyard. But the structure of the story is itself epic, and the relation of the by-plots to the principal theme is everywhere established. Even the digression due to the Man of the Hill is part of the theme of *Tom Jones*, if it is no part of the story. Richardson's handling of his own method is excellent, but he could never become a teacher of method, only his patience and skill could manœuvre those legions of letters and feed them on chopped straw. On the other hand, there could be no better school for a novelist than is afforded by the study of Fielding's plots.

His realism is no less an advance. It is not laborious and minute, but it is sufficient. He does not, like Defoe, "protest too much," for his object is to create an illusion of reality and not a belief in fact. Sophia comes sailing into his story wafted by a poetic invocation, and has not to undergo an inventory of her apparel. Yet for the purposes of a poet she lives and breathes as Moll Flanders never did. Moreover Fielding's acquaintance with life is fully as wide as Defoe's, while his insight is keener and deeper. His last and most heart-felt invocation in the beginning of the thirteenth book of *Tom Jones* is addressed to Experience, conversant "with every kind of character, from the minister at his levee to the bailiff in his spunging-house, from the duchess at her drum to the landlady behind her bar." It was this catholicity that Richardson censured when he made it a reproach to Fielding that "his brawls, his jars, his

~  
sao's, his sponging her tea, are all drawn from what he has seen and known." Others before him had seen and known these things, but in Fielding's pages for the first time they are introduced, with no loss of reality to subserve the ends of fiction; common life is the material of the story but it is handled here for the first time with the freedom and imagination of a great artist.

## CHAPTER VII.

### THE NOVELS OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

WITH the greater works of Richardson and Fielding the career of the modern novel may be said to have begun. The early followers of *Pamela* and *Joseph Andrews* were few in number, from 1740 to 1748 the only imitator of any note was Miss Sarah Fielding, whose first novel, entitled *The Adventures of David Simple, containing an Account of his Travels through the Cities of London and Westminster in the Search of a real Friend*, was published in 1744. In spite of the assertion on the title-page that the book was "by a Lady," its authorship was attributed to Fielding, and denied by him for the credit of the "real and sole author" in the preface that he contributed to the second edition. In a preface to a later work of his sister's he returns to the subject, and reports the saying of a lady of high rank and understanding "‘So far,’ said she, ‘from doubting *David Simple* to be the performance of a woman, I am well convinced it could not have been written by a man’" Richardson, whose praises of others were generally emissaries of his vanity, compliments Miss Fielding in 1756 on her

knowledge of the human heart. "Well might a critical judge of writing say, as he did to me, that your late brother's knowledge of it was not (fine writer as he was) comparable to yours. His was but as the knowledge of the outside of a clockwork machine, while yours was that of all the finer springs and movements of the inside." The words recall—they were probably meant to recall—an exactly similar comparison made by Johnson between Richardson and Fielding, to the advantage of the former.

The main interest of Miss Fielding's story lies in the sentiment and characters, it is therefore unfortunate that she chose the picaresque romance of incident as the model for the structure of her story. David Simple, an elegant, colourless young man, with a taste for sentimental casuistry, after losing the friendship of his only brother, who attempts to cheat him out of his share of their father's inheritance, goes forth into the world to try to make good the loss. He begins his search on the flags of the exchange, where he is horrified to find the words "good" and "rich" used as perfect synonyms. His subsequent adventures and betrayals furnish occasion for the introduction of many minor characters, some of them not ill-drawn. A certain relief from the languid incident is found in occasional touches of satire that are pure Fielding. Thus, after his first betrayal, the authoress leaves David to his sufferings, "lest it should be thought I am so ignorant of the world as not to know the proper time of forsaking people."

Miss Fielding's slight knowledge of the world disabled her from giving fresh life to the picaresque romance.

The new model in this kind was furnished by Tobias Smollett, whose *Roderick Random* (1748), appearing in the same year as *Clarissa*, and almost exactly a year before *Tom Jones*, became, like them, the founder of a tribe.

Then the floodgates were opened, and the novel rapidly became what Robert Bage, towards the end of the century, calls it, "pretty generally considered as the lowest of all human productions" In his play, called *Polly Honeycombe, a Dramatic Novel*, first acted in 1760, George Colman satirizes the prevalent taste for novels in the person of the daughter of a city merchant, Miss Honeycombe, whose head has been turned, not by the romances that eight years earlier had produced the vagaries of Arabella, the heroine of *The Female Quixote*, but by the small duodecimos of the circulating library The prologue notes the change.—

"Cassandra's folios now no longer read,  
See two neat pocket-volumes in their stead "

Further, as warrant for his satire, Colman prefixes a sort of bibliography containing the titles of more than a hundred and eighty novels which, with very few exceptions, had appeared during the decade before the production of his play. In those ten years the novel had once more vanquished the romance.

It is no longer possible, therefore, in the eighteenth century, to deal with the work of the novelists under the authors' names. This legion of novels, which issued from the principal London presses in duodecimo form, at three shillings a volume, can only be treated on some

broad principle of classification. Most of them were published anonymously, many of them are destitute of original merit, a few are purely trading ventures attempting to capture success by a dishonest title.) Thus the year after the publication of *Tom Jones* there appeared *The History of Tom Jones the Foundling in his Marriaga State* the year after the startling success of *Tristram Shandy* an anonymous writer brought out *The Life and Opinions of Miss Sundry Shandy of Bow Street, Gentlewoman* (1760). The classification of this multitude of forgotten novels is, however, neither difficult nor uninteresting. They assimilate themselves to certain well known types, and serve to show what were the limits of the imaginative empire wielded by the eighteenth-century novelist up to the time of the revival of romance.

The greater names cannot be so summarily treated. Smollett and Sterne were creators of types, Goldsmith's only novel stands by itself, and Johnson's moral apologue called *Rasselas* is an admirably wrought narrative by a master of style. Here and there, too, are to be remarked novels that distinguish themselves by some freshness of scheme or some special merit in execution from the common work of the hack-writers. *Peter Wilkins*, *The Life of John Bunde*, *The Fool of Quality*, and some few others, if they cannot be called masterpieces, must at least be distinguished from the simple article of commerce. The rest may very fairly be treated in gross.

The life of Tobias George Smollett serves to point a moral already illustrated by the life of Fielding, for before he turned novelist he had courted success as a playwright. Born in the year 1721 in the parish of

Cardross, Vale of Leven, Smollett was educated to the profession of medicine at the University of Glasgow. The younger son of a younger son, he had little to hope for from his family, and in 1739 came to London to seek his fortune, with *The Regicide*, a drama dealing with the death of James I. of Scotland, in his pocket. His literary ambitions were disappointed, and he found employment as surgeon's mate on a King's ship, at the beginning of the war with Spain.

It was during his five years' service in this capacity that Smollett was enabled to accumulate the stock of experience so necessary for the picaresque romance. He was present at the siege of Carthage in 1741, he cruised for some time in the West Indies, and by 1744 was back in London, where he set up as a surgeon in Downing Street, West. Like Fielding, he married a lady with a small fortune, and, her property failing to meet either his expectations or his expenses, found himself at no great distance from penury. But his literary capital was now greater than when he had first crossed the Border. The town had refused his tragedy, and had shown very little appreciation of his satires, *Advice* and *The Reproof*, published in the year immediately following the suppression of the rebellion of 1745. But Richardson and Fielding had shown new ways to success in literature, and he had his experience of life, gathered in the most impressionable time of youth, to sell to the public in novel form. This he worked up into two volumes, which were offered to the public, and by them readily accepted, under the title, *The Adventures of Roderick Random*.

Smollett avows frankly in his preface that his book is written in imitation of the *Gil Blas* of Lesage. In the first chapter of a later work, *Ferdinand, Count Fathom* he gives a fuller list of his originals, enumerating *Gurman d'Alfarache*, *Don Quixote*, Scarron's *Roman Comique*, and *Gil Blas* as works which delight those very readers who profess disgust at scenes of low life described in the English tongue. The existence of these works certainly gave Smollett some advantage over Nash, who had written his *Jacke Wilton* when only *Lazarillo de Tormes* was available as a model in this kind. Even Defoe had died before the completion of *Gil Blas* in 1735, but Defoe had shown small inclination to borrow from any of them. He knew as Smollett also knew, that for the realistic novel of humour and adventure, which in the country of its invention has been called picaresque, the purely literary inspiration is hopelessly inadequate. And Smollett fails where he most trusts to it. His later direct imitation of *Don Quixote*, *The Adventures of Sir Launcelot Greaves* (1762) is poor indeed compared with the full-blooded vitality and jollity of his earliest novel.

"A novel," says Smollett in one of his dedications, "is a large diffused picture, comprehending the characters of life, disposed in different groups and exhibited in various attitudes, for the purposes of an uniform plan." And he gives what is at least a characteristic of his own novels when he adds that "this plan cannot be executed with propriety, probability, or success, without a principal personage to attract the attention, unite the incident, unwind the clue of the labyrinth, and at last close the scene, by virtue of his own importance." Such



unity of design as his novels may claim is entirely due to this device of the "principal personage" But his constructive power is small, and the merits of *Roderick Random* are more apparent in the parts than in the whole. It is a very lively panorama, the incidents and characters of which he borrowed directly from his life and experience, leaving it to his invention to give them a certain comic distortion. The known facts of Smollett's life correspond exactly with what he relates of his hero. The same birth, the same education, the same naval adventures lead up to the close of the book in a marriage very like that which, in 1747, had closed the first chapter of Smollett's life. So close is the correspondence that his biographers have felt warranted in eking out the facts of his life with inferences drawn from this novel.

The success of *Roderick Random* turned Smollett finally to literature as a profession, and for the next twenty years the irascible strenuous Scot was a well-known character in London. Hardly a well-known figure, for his hot temper and ostentatious independence made him a difficult friend, "far more disposed to cultivate the acquaintance of those he could serve, than of those who could serve him" He came only into casual contact with Johnson's circle, and was very far indeed from relishing Johnson's favourite joke. But he was never a misanthrope like his friend and fellow-countryman Dr. John Armstrong, of whom Beattie said that he seemed "to have conceived a rooted aversion against the whole human race, except a few friends, which it seems are dead"

Some light is thrown on his methods as a novelist, by

the fact that he travelled to Paris in the company of his friend and disciple, Dr John Moore, with no ostensible purpose save the acquisition of new literary capital. This was duly employed in the (*Adventures of Peregrine Pickle* (1751), a longer and more disjointed work than his first.) The autobiographic method did not prove pleasing to Akenside and others, whose characters were burlesqued in this novel, and Smollett could hardly employ the excuse of Dr John Shebbeare, who says that novelists are like army-tailors, they make suits for all mankind, to be taken and fitted on to their persons by Tom, Dick, and Harry. For Smollett fitted his descriptions to the individual, and took care that they should suit no one else. (His method is minute and his satire savage and personal.)

(One more novel, this time on the model of *Jonathan Wild*, was produced by Smollett; before journalism, translation, and history absorbed his time and turned his attention from fiction. *The Adventures of Ferdinand Count Fathom* (1753) is as inferior to its prototype in conception and execution as Smollett is inferior in mind and art to Fielding. Count Fathom is a feeble knave compared with the great Jonathan, and the ironic conception that is the basis of Fielding's book is here missing.) Smollett boasts in his preface that he has attempted "to subject folly to ridicule and vice to indignation," and has at least "adorned virtue with honour and applause." These are really the weaknesses of the book. Indignation is out of place, and the applause bestowed on virtue only helps to confuse and disaffect the reader, who is kept throughout in the

company of vice. It is a coarse morality at best that is taught by repulsion from vice, and the plea is often hypocritical. All the more, therefore, is the absence felt of some such intellectual standpoint as Fielding's, to give unity and meaning to the book. Wild, led by his author in triumph to "a death as glorious as his life," and exhibited under the gallows picking the officiating parson's pocket of a "bottle-screw," is a more instructive and a more impressive figure than Fathom, who slinks out of the story to be reformed elsewhere.

Of *Sir Launcelot Greaves*, originally contributed as a serial to *The British Review*, the scheme, as one of the characters remarks, "is somewhat too stale and extravagant." The plot is the merest excuse for variety of scene, and the characters do not live. What he borrowed from Cervantes is as little put to its proper use by Smollett as what he borrowed from Fielding. His work loses its chief merit when he attempts to exchange his own method of reminiscence for a wider imaginative scheme.

His visit to Scotland in the summer of 1766 furnished him with material for his last and best novel, *The Expedition of Humphrey Clinker*, which was completed at Leghorn and published in 1771, the year of his death. The opportunity of treating Scottish scenes and characters, the pleasure of escaping from politics, and perhaps also the influence of a new writer, Sterne, combined to make of this the gentlest and most humorous of his novels.

Smollett was not a great man, he has none of Johnson's massive dignity, or Goldsmith's charm, or Fielding's

generous strength.) His combative intensity made it impossible for him to take the detached view of life that is characteristic of a great humourist. His expedients for raising a laugh are seldom intellectual. [His stories, as has been observed by a writer in the *Quarterly Review* (No. 205) 'display ' such a bustle of coarse life, such swearing and rioting and squalor, and, above all, such incessant thumping and fighting and breaking each others' heads and kicking each others shins as could never have taken place in any conceivable community, or under any system of police, unless the human skeleton had been of much harder construction than it is at present." Only those whose bodily vigour is at its height can derive unfailing pleasure from seeing one buffoon knock another down. Smollett's own pleasure in the adventures he relates is obviously akin to the physical, (the accidents that crowd his pages have none of that intellectual appeal which glorifies the muddiest misadventures of Parson Adams in Parson Trulliber's pigsty. But his zest in life is real and infectious, and his purely external treatment gives a certain refreshing quality to his pages, over his books at least it is possible 'to unbend the mind.']

Of his chief opportunity for the display of character he does not make full use. The nominal heroes of his novels are not the most interesting of his characters. (His seafaring men, Tom Bowling, Commodore Trunnion, Lieutenant Hatchway, and the rest, have generally been considered his chief contribution to character in fiction.) They are happy and early creations of that burlesque humour which applies to the whole of life the technical

terms drawn from a single art, profession, or trade. And the death of the Commodore in *Peregrine Pickle* deserves the praise that has been given it. But even the extraordinary wealth of Smollett's nautical vocabulary does not prevent the infliction of a certain fatigue by these gentlemen, who will wrap up the Lord's Prayer or a request for grog with equal ease in a superfluity of far-fetched and monotonous metaphor.

Yet Smollett cannot be robbed of what, after all, is his chief praise; he knew the very spirit of adventure, and gave a long lease of life in England to his revival of the picaresque romance. For his method, less original than Fielding's or Richardson's, was more easily imitated, and the public was long regaled with every conceivable variety of adventures. *The Adventures of Joe Thompson* (1750) were rapidly followed by those of *Dick Hazard* (1754), *Jerry Buck* (1754), *Frank Hammond* (1754), and *Jack Smart* (1756). These are each in one volume; but *The History of Jack Connor* (1752), *The History of Betty Barnes* (1752), *The History of Jasper Banks, commonly called the Handsome Man* (1754), *The History of Will Ramble, a Libertine* (1754), and *The History of Tom Fool* (1760), are each in two volumes. Miss Polly Honeycombe, in Colman's play, modelled her behaviour only on first-rate heroines, Clarissa Harlowe or Sophia Western, but even in her time there was a wilderness of precedent available. Those whose taste for veracious narrative was not cloyed by *The History of Cornelia* (1750), *The History of Charlotte Summers, the fortunate Parish Girl* (1750), and *The History of Pudica, a Lady of Norfolk* (1754), might proceed to *The History of*

*Polly Willis an Orphan* (1755), *The History of Miss Sally Sable* (1757), *The History of Amanda* (1758), *The History of Miss Clarinda Cathcart and Miss Fanny Renton* (1765), *The History of Lady Julia Mandeville* (1775), and a hundred others. Fielding, Richardson and Smollett were the godfathers of all these works. (It is worth observing that by far the greater part of the novels of this age could be classified under the three divisions—Histories, Adventures, or Memoirs. A debauch of realism began, and originality was sought in untried subjects rather than in untried methods.)

One curious class of novels deserves a passing mention. It has already been remarked that a certain limitation is imposed on the worker in the picaresque form by the necessity of bringing all the events recorded within the cognizance of the hero, who is also, in most cases, the narrator. This particular form, however, was valued by many authors for the opportunity it gave of stringing together detached scenes of scandal and satire without any of the unity imposed by art. But to bring all these scenes under the observation of one hero, even if that hero were a libertine, sometimes proved difficult or impossible. In good time, therefore, a new and looser form was invented, or adapted from *Le Sage*. A guinea or a hymn-book can with perfect propriety be present at scenes to which it would be difficult to introduce a dispassionate human observer. Let the guinea or the hymn-book be endowed with the power of speech, and the last restraint is taken off social and personal satire. An idea of this kind is, of course, as old as fiction and before the rise of the novel it is

employed in that paper of the *Spectator* (No. 343) where Will Honeycomb, in the character of a monkey, writes an account of his transmigrations. The novelty of its employment by later writers of fiction consists rather in the scandal and scurrility that they made it excuse. One of the earliest novels in this kind is *The History of Pompey the Little, or the Life and Adventures of a Lap-dog* (1751), wherein clever satirical portraits of certain well-known personages are strung on a slender thread of incident. A fiercer and stronger character was given to the device in Charles Johnstone's satire, *Chrysal, or the Adventures of a Guinea* (1760). The occasions upon which the guinea changes hands are seldom honourable, the gold of which it is composed is first dug up in Peru, then given to a Jesuit by a Peruvian, who was forced to compound in money for beating the priest's dog, it comes to London on a man-of-war, and begins its adventures in fashionable life. One master lost it "on a bet that he could repeat the Lord's Prayer, which he laid on purpose to lose, in order that he might show how entirely he had got rid of the prejudices of education." The contemporary interest of this, as of so many other novels of the time, lay in the fact that real persons were throughout introduced under a penetrable disguise. Later instances of the same form in fiction are *The Adventures of a Black Coat* (1760), *The Adventures of a Bank-note* (1770), *The Life and Adventures of a Cat* (1781), *The Adventures of a Rupee* (1782), *Memoirs of a Flea* (1785), and there are many others, for the form remained popular, and has received new developments in modern times.

(Thus personal satire and scandal ran their course, and did much to degrade the fine literary forms of Fielding and Smollett. The personal intention, when it is allowed to predominate, is the death of art in fiction. To compare the method of some of these minor writers to the phntographic art would be to compliment it unduly for the camera is used by them in the service, not of art, but of the police. The imaginative structure is the most careless and insignificant part of their work. It is no palace of Romance, no guildhall of Comedy, that they seek to erect, but a hasty low earthwork, behind which they may lie on their bellies and shoot at their enemies.)

(To the indulgence of personal animosities under the guise of fiction Smollett had given the countenance of his name and practice.) In this respect, however, he was not followed by his biograpber and admirer, Dr John Moore, whose *Zeluco* (1786) owing to the praise bestowed on it by Mrs. Barbauld, has been far too generally accepted as one of the most notable of eighteenth-century novels. *Zeluco*, the Byronic villain, and Laura, his amiable and suffering wife, are highly conventional types of evil and of good. The two Scots, Buchanan and Targe, the one Lowlander and Whig, the other Highlander and Jacobite, are introduced that they may fight a duel over the reputation of the deceased queen, Mary of Scotland. But long before the date of Moore's novel the Scotsman, like the Welshman and Irishman, had become, partly by Smollett's example, a stock comic character of the novel.)

(The subtle intellectual humour that Smollett so



completely lacks is the all-pervading atmosphere of the works of Laurence Sterne. Yet, if tradition is to be trusted, the ever-memorable *Tristram Shandy* was begun by its author, when he was not far short of fifty years of age, in order that he might defend his own character and attack a certain physician called Burton, now and for ever known as Dr. Slop. But if this were his original intent, it was soon overgrown and transformed by the marvellous products of his fancy, and *Tristram Shandy* became one of the monuments of English humour.

Born at Clonmel in 1713, the son of a poor lieutenant, Sterne was some years older than Smollett. The travels and vicissitudes of his early youth, spent in York, Dublin, Liverpool, and Plymouth, among other places, and the vivid memory he retained of his kindly and easy-going father, were not without their share in enabling him later to describe the character and fortunes of "my Uncle Toby." After graduating at Cambridge, Sterne took priest's orders, and, by the patronage of his uncle, Dr Jaques Sterne, obtained the country rectory of Sutton, Yorkshire. Here he spent his time for some twenty years, mainly in reading, fiddling, painting, and shooting, enjoying the company of his old college friend, John Hall Stevenson, and distinguishing himself as a member of the club of "Demoniacs." This course of life was broken in upon by the electric success of the first two books of *The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy, Gent* (1759), Sterne visited London, and became the idol of fashionable society.<sup>1</sup> The new-sprung generation of novel-readers, already suffering from a certain monotony of diet, welcomed a fresh departure in fiction with the gusto of

literary epicures. But the excitement of London and of fame overtaxed Sterne's feeble health, and his few remaining years of decline were spent largely in travel. He completed nine books of *Tristram Shandy* by 1766, as well as *A Sentimental Journey through France and Italy* (1765), and died on a visit to London, in lodgings at "the silk-bag shop in Old Bond Street, in 1768.

(There is a singular blend of two qualities in Sterne's writing, as in his character. Humour and pathos are never in their nature far apart. In Sterne they are almost inextricably combined. His laughter and his tears are both so facile, and their springs lie so near together, that the one almost infallibly provokes the other, he will laugh at sorrow and find matter of sentiment in a comical mishap. It is his keenest pleasure to juggle with these two effects: a solemn occasion is to him an irresistible provocative to burlesque, and his pathetic sensibility responds to a touch so light that to a less highly strung nature his tears will seem affected. Yet herein lies the delicacy of his writing, and of those exquisite effects, the despair of many a more robust artist, which are as hard to describe as an odour is to remember. His reader must be incessantly on the alert for surprises, it is only prudent, at a funeral where Parson Sterne officiates, for the guest to attend with a harlequin's suit beneath his decent garb of black, prepared for either event.

The same perpetual faculty of surprise is seen in his whimsical digressive style, the same sensitive delicacy makes itself felt in his subtle analytic treatment of gesture, expression, intonation, all the evanescent details

that together make up character. "The circumstances with which everything in this world is begirt, give everything in this world its size and shape." none knew it better than Sterne, and he makes it an excuse for expatiating at length upon the circumstances, and omitting the thing itself. The humorous description of gesture that he learned from Rabelais is applied by him to the minute delineation of character, in and for itself. The story he has to tell, if it can be supposed to exist, is nothing but a starting-point for digressions, an occasion for defining all that is not his story, but—to use the favourite figure of Mr Walter Shandy—might, could, would, or should have some possible, probable, or conceivable bearing on what would be, or ought to be, his story. Tristram Shandy himself, whose life and opinions the author promises to record, just succeeds in being born, and little more. Thus a critical treatment of Sterne must inevitably divide itself under the three headings of Character, Sentiment, and Humour, for these are held in a state of suspension rather than solution in a perfectly transparent medium.

The illustration of character by humorous contrast and grotesque detail is the finest part of Sterne's art. Walter Shandy, the father of the hero, dialectician and theorist, is the central figure of the book. With him are contrasted on the one hand his brother Toby, on the other his prosaic wife, Mrs. Shandy. And Captain Toby Shandy's character acquires further relief from the juxtaposition of his faithful attendant, Corporal Trim. In spite of his extravagance, Sterne records some conversations among these characters that are as life-like

as any in literature.) No one of them, it might almost be said, understands a single word uttered by any other yet the colloquies proceed in composure, for no one of them suspects the fact. Only Mr Shandy is sometimes annoyed because others do not understand him. Master, as he complains, of one of the finest chains of reasoning in the world, he is unable for the soul of him to get a single link of it into the head of his wife.

"It was a consuming vexation to my father, that my mother never asked the meaning of a thing she did not understand.

"—That she is not a woman of science, my father would say, is her misfortune,—but she might ask a question.—

"My mother never did.—In short, she went out of the world, at last, without knowing whether it *turned round* or *stood still*—My father had officiously told her above a thousand times which way it was—but she always forgot."

On the other hand, Captain Toby Shandy the least introspective of mortals, is no whit annoyed when his artless sayings are taken in a wrong sense. He falls complacently to whistling "Lillibullero."

In the much agitated question concerning the debts of Sterne to previous writers, sufficient stress has always been laid on his obligation to Cervantes, whose main conception, borrowed and altered, is the soul of his work. On the other hand, perhaps too little has been made of the similarity of his central character to Cornelius, the father of the hero in Arbuthnot's posthumous *Memoirs of Martinus Scriblerus* (1741). The harangues which Cornelius delivers to his wife before their child is born, wherein he insists that the child shall not be swaddled lest

the flexibility of his ears be lost, and that he shall be a great traveller, are met precisely as Mrs Shandy would have met them—"My dear . . . we have but one child, and cannot afford to throw him away upon experiments" Arbuthnot, no doubt, borrowed much from Rabelais, but what he borrowed he handed on to Sterne enriched by his own additions, which reappear in *Tristram Shandy*

Sterne's sentiment and pathos are as subtle and real as his humour, of which indeed they are truly a part. His failures in pathos are few, and arise, as his latest biographer, Mr. Traill, has well pointed out, from the inartistic intrusion of himself and his own feelings on a scene that might be pathetic enough if it were not marred by his self-consciousness. To see the professor of sentiment at his work extracting pathos from the material submitted to him is disconcerting to the reader. But the severe strictures of Thackeray on the pathos of Sterne, whom he treats as a mountebank, are utterly extravagant. Further, they are the views of a professional rival; both writers have a command of true pathos, both are liable to slips when they become self-conscious or vain of their art. Here is the true pathos from *Vanity Fair* —

"No more firing was heard at Brussels, the pursuit rolled miles away. Darkness came down on the field and city, and Amelia was praying for George, who was lying on his face, dead, with a bullet through his heart."

Yet the writer of this is responsible also for that highly artificial picture of the death of Miss Crawley, where he introduces himself, as it were thumping out his lessons upon the coverlet —

"Picture to yourself, O fair young reader, a worldly, selfish, graceless, thankless, religionless old woman, writhing in pain and fear, and without her wig. Picture her to yourself, and ere you be old, learn to love and pray"

There is nothing in Sterne more artificial than this nothing more strained in effect than that phrase "without her wig" introduced as preface to the exhortation. But it would not be fair to say of the great novelist, as he said of Sterne, that he has laid down his carpet (or his coverlet) and has tumbled on it. Rather he has fallen, as the most expertly poised of performers is liable to fall.

(It is true that Sterne sometimes tries to obtain an effect of pathos from perilously fragile material, but his successes are triumphs. To leave on one side the famous death scene of Le Ferre, marred only at the end by the appearance of the stage-manager before the curtain, two admirable instances are to be found in the scene with the ass at Lyons in the seventh book of *Tristram Shandy*, and the author's interview with the monk at the beginning of the *Sentimental Journey*. For filigree work in sentiment there is nothing like these in English.)

(Sterne's humour defies analysis, eternal surprise is of its essence. "If I thought you was able," he says to the reader, "to form the least judgment, or probable conjecture to yourself of what was to come in the next page,—I would tear it out of my book." Order, propriety, fitness, whether artistic or moral, are valued by him chiefly as rules which may be effectively broken. It is easy to believe that he valued the pulpit as a piquant setting for

some of his secular witticisms. The gravity of a divine, however, is not expected in a novelist, and Sterne is forced to caper the more abundantly to produce an equal sense of incongruity. The spirit of eccentricity soon exhausts itself, and he has won a surer fame by his creation of characters than by all his antic smiles or less engaging leers

Most of the authors whom Sterne pillaged for his book lent him only trickery and properties, which he often uses with enhanced effect. Rabelais, Cervantes, and Arbuthnot, on the other hand, furnish the scheme and essentials of his book. And, of these, Cervantes is the deepest influence. Only by the eighteenth century did his great romance really capture the English imagination. Fielding, Smollett, and Mrs. Lennox wrote direct imitations of it, already enumerated, and Richard Graves added another to their number in *The Spiritual Quixote* (1772), a work wherein the Methodists are satirized by the exhibition of the adventures of Mr. Geoffry Wildgoose, a young Oxford gentleman who adopts the new religion and travels the country with Jeremiah Tugwell, a village cobbler, for squire. But it is rather in the greater independent works that the infection of *Don Quixote* is best seen—in *Tom Jones*, in *Roderick Random*, and, above all, in *Tristram Shandy*. To learn from a master is no plagiarism, and questions of petty theft sink into insignificance beside the fact that Cervantes, if any one, taught Sterne how to create that wonderful and original character, "my Uncle Toby."

The imitators of Sterne had no such success. His rarest quality, his humour, is strictly unique and

inimitable.) "He offered the ~~as~~ at Lyons," it has been well said, "not a bundle of hay or a thistle, but a macaroon. He offers the reading public something it never tasted before or since." Hence his imitators, unable even to counterfeit his humour, were driven to content themselves with cultivating his lachrymose sensibility.

Chief among these is the Scottish writer, Henry Mackenzie, novelist, playwright, and essayist, who sprang into fame at the age of twenty-six by the publication of *The Man of Feeling* (1771). Mackenzie was long a distinguished figure in the literary society that welcomed Burns to Edinburgh towards the close of the eighteenth century. His serial papers, *The Mirror* and *The Lounger*, his authority as a critic, and the versatility of his literary talent have all contributed to procure for him a higher fame than his novels considered by themselves would warrant. Scott, the most generous of critics, writing while Mackenzie was yet alive, calls him "the Northern Addison," and claims for him as a novelist a place beside Sterne. With Sterne at his weakest Mackenzie at his strongest may fairly be ranked. "The illustration of the nicer and finer sensibilities of the human breast," his single theme, is entirely unrelieved by any touch of humour or satire, and the Man of Feeling—all feeling and nothing but feeling becomes merely maudlin. The two lines in the prologue to *The Prince of Tunis* (1773), his earliest play, wherem Mackenzie recommends it to his audience, might fitly be inscribed as preface to all three of his novels —

With you his cause I leave, his story hear  
And if applause it merit —shed a tear."



And even this, the only applause that he sought, is not easily obtained from later generations, trained by whole tribes of sentimental impostors to refuse tears to careless or confident beggars.

The direct influence of Sterne on Mackenzie is best seen in *The Man of Feeling*, where the looseness of construction in the story, a mere succession of scenes designed to awake tender or compassionate sensibilities, and the abrupt turn of the phrases are obviously borrowed from the author of the *Sentimental Journey*. In his later novels, *The Man of the World* (1773), and *Julia de Roubigné* (1777), Mackenzie treats variants of the same theme, and his plots, though more ambitious, are scarcely more successful. The last of the three especially, which is similar in plot to *Othello*, is a story poorly qualified to exhibit the merits of Mackenzie's method. The real skill and subtlety he shows in painting small delicacies of feeling and etiquette are ill suited with a tragic catastrophe involving strong passions. And the device of telling the story by means of letters, with its apparatus of confidants and witnesses, lays a heavy burden of improbability upon a feeble tragedy.

The school of novelists that cultivated sentiment for its own sake became rapidly merged late in the century, and especially after the French Revolution, in the theoretic school that cultivated sentiment in order to show how superior are the impulses of the heart to the artificial canons of society. Thus the history of the sentimental school from Richardson onwards, through the French writers that he influenced, loses itself later in the history of the Romantic revival, of which it really

forms a part. For Rousseau, the great precursor of the Romantic movement, enlisted sentiment in the service of theory, and from his time onward they are seldom found apart. So that the influence of Sterne cannot fairly be traced far in the history of the novel. The sentiment he taught was developed by his successors for purposes unknown to him, the man of feeling became an apostle, and posed as the regenerator of society.

The contributions of (Johnson) and Goldsmith to prose fiction are examples of pure eighteenth-century work. It was in the year 1759, some months before the publication of the earliest instalment of *Tristram Shandy* that the great Cham descended into the arena of the novelists with his moral apologue called *The History of (Rasselas) Prince of Abissinia*. His immediate object in writing it was, as the printer told Boswell, "that with the profits he might defray the expense of his mother's funeral and pay some little debts that she had left." There could be no doubt that a novel by the great lexicographer would be eagerly bought by the public, and, in seeking for a framework for his story, it is possible that Johnson was directed to Abyssinia by the memory of his labours, twenty five years earlier, on the translation of Father Lobo's *Voyage to Abyssinia*. However this may be, the theme of the story was all his own. The natural gloom of his temperament, deepened by the sadness of the occasion, finds fuller and stronger expression in *Rasselas* than in the poem that treats the same subject, *The Vanity of Human Wishes*.

(It has been doubted whether *Rasselas* may justly be considered as a novel at all) The conversations held

between the characters, it has been pointed out, are to be criticized, not in relation to circumstance and verisimilitude, but after the manner of an essay, in relation to truth. And certainly the strong moral and didactic purpose cannot be gainsaid.) But the youth of the modern novel was a season of experiment, no rules of form had been determined, and a moral directly inculcated had never been disallowed. Far later in the century a noted literary critic, out of compliment to Richardson, refused to his works the title of novels, preferring to class them as excursions in "imaginative ethics." The sermon has played its part, as well as the drama, the epic, and the narrative poem, in shaping the form of the novel.

(Sermon or novel, *Rasselas*) was written at a time when Johnson had first attained his full command of literary expression. In the essays of *The Rambler*, begun some nine years earlier, his inversions, abstractions, monotonous sentences, and long words seem almost to exhibit, if the thought be not heresy, an imperfectly educated person struggling to acquire a polite diction. They certainly make his style as unsuitable for narrative as for the light ridicule of social foibles. *The Rambler* is not easy to read, or rather, to speak as the case demands, the otiose prolongation of the periods and the superabundance of polysyllabic vocables render the task of the intrepid adventurer who shall endeavour to peruse the earlier performances of this writer an undertaking of no inconsiderable magnitude. On the other hand, the later highly finished and effective style of the *Lives of the Poets* has an epigrammatic quality, a studied

balance of phrase and a dogmatic ring, like the stroke of a hammer, that would infallibly interrupt the flow of imaginative narrative. In *Rasselas* the merits of both manners are combined to produce that ease of narration and those memorable and weighty turns of phrase which give it its principal distinction.

The main theme is never forgotten. The prince, educated in the happy valley, and taken with his sister into the world, is acquainted with human aims and human enjoyments, only that their futility and insufficiency may be demonstrated, and the verdict again and again recorded with merciless severity. The "choice of life" is indeed difficult. The pastoral life is marred by ignorance, discontent, and stupid malevolence. Prosperity means disquiet and danger. Is happiness to be found in solitude? "The life of a solitary man will be certainly miserable, but not certainly devout." Is marriage to be preferred? "I know not whether marriage be more than one of the innumerable modes of human misery." Will varied pleasures serve to wile away the time? "Pleasures never can be so multiplied and continued as not to leave much of life unemployed." May the true solution be found in the pursuit of virtue? "All that virtue can afford is quietness of conscience, a steady prospect of a happier state, this may enable us to endure calamity with patience, but remember that patience must suppose pain."

All the sterner traits of Johnson's character, his uncompromising rectitude, his steadiness of outlook on unrelieved gloom, his hatred of sentimental and unthinking optimism, have left their mark on *Rasselas*. What

was perhaps less to be expected, (the structure of the plot is masterly, the events are arranged in a skilful climax,) culminating in the story of the mad astronomer, whose delusions supply the picture with a shade darker than death itself. "Few can attain this man's knowledge," says Imlac, "and few practise his virtues, but all may suffer his calamities. Of the uncertainties of our present state the most dreadful and alarming is the uncertain continuance of reason" And a note of personal sadness is struck towards the close in the declaration of the virtuous sage, who confesses that praise has become to him an empty sound. "I have neither mother to be delighted with the reputation of her son, nor wife to partake the honours of her husband." The words recall a similar phrase in the famous letter to Lord Chesterfield, but the defiant strain that they there introduce is exchanged for a subdued and deepened melancholy. Taken as a whole, *Rasselas* is one of the most (powerful of moral fables) to be found in any literature, and the lighter and wittier passages, such as those on the functions of a poet and on the definition of a life "according to nature," relieve its inspissated tenebrosity with something like an air of comedy.

(Its admirable comedy is perhaps the highest merit of Goldsmith's only novel, *The Vicar of Wakefield* (1766)) The story of its discovery by Johnson, as told in Boswell, is one of the best known and most characteristic passages of Goldsmith's life. The picture of Goldsmith arrested for debt, changing the guinea sent him by his friend for a bottle of Madeira, helpless and angry, while a completed novel which sold at the first offer for sixty pounds lay

written in his desk, has often been employed to illustrate the improvidence of authors. It might be better used to illustrate the providence of an author who was an improvident man. No one ever drew a firmer line between the works he wrote to last and the compilations that his necessities extorted from him than was consistently drawn throughout his life by Oliver Goldsmith. It did not occur to him to expect fame from his histories, political or natural. His whole aim he remarks of his *History of England*, was "to make up a book of decent size that, as Squire Richard says, would do no harm to nobody." As little did it occur to him to treat his carefully wrought original works as so much merchandise, or a sop for the bailiffs. And perhaps Johnson's kindly offices prevented *The Vicar of Wakefield* from receiving its full share of the correction and polish that Goldsmith bestowed on all his best work.

"There are an hundred (faults) in this thing" says the author in his preface. Later critics have been unable to discover more than (one). The plot is loosely constructed, and hastily huddled up at the close.) His delight in exhibiting a good man unmoved by adversity led Goldsmith to multiply misfortunes upon the head of the ill-starred Vicar, until, when he came to the end, he found he had raised more troubles than he could lay. So that the restoration of the entire family to happiness is conducted in the same summary and ineffective fashion as in the tag to the Book of Job. Goldsmith drops a daughter or two in his haste, and asks the reader's imaginative co-operation in settling the rest of the family satisfactorily. It is more the reader's business,

after all, than his own, for he makes it clear from the first that the happy ending is no part of the scheme of the book. The heading to chapter xxviii. shows as much. "Happiness and Misery," it runs, "rather the result of Prudence than of Virtue in this life; temporal evils or felicities being regarded by Heaven as things merely in themselves trifling, and unworthy its care in the distribution." This lofty lesson, which (shows Goldsmith also high among the moralists of the century,) receives additional enforcement in the author's manifest carelessness as to the temporal destiny of his characters. (Thus *The Vicar of Wakefield* is remarkable for its single characters, remarkable for its incidents of pathos and humour, but has no sustained development of plot.) The tangential property of Goldsmith's mind, the happy Irish inconsequence, that led him in his *Animated Nature* to include among the varieties of the human race dwarfs and giants, mummies and waxworks, because he had seen some of these in a show at Chelsea, made him averse to all rigid or reasoned structure in his novel. He is the gayest and wisest of companions on the road, all the more because he is unaccustomed to a destination. The studied alternate preaching of *Rasselas* is as striking a contrast as could be found to the many unsought felicities in the easy conversation of *The Vicar of Wakefield*. It is a part of Goldsmith's charm that all he writes seems to come by chance, his events happen, as in life. Once or twice there is an appearance of greater study, as in that fine example of classical irony in the description of the elopement of Olivia,—where the Vicar is

in the act of congratulating himself and his wife on the stainless virtue of their children and honour of their name—at the moment when Dick comes running in to announce Olivia's flight. But a similar evidence of design can hardly be traced throughout the book. (The character of the Vicar is Goldsmith's chief care, and his portrait must take rank very near Parson Adams and My Uncle Toby, both of whom he resembles in his simplicity and innocent vanity.) Like Adams, too, he rises to heights of grave dignity in his vindication of virtue, witness the fine speech he makes in reply to Mr Thornhill's surprising invitation.

Goldsmith's living characters are few in number, and are most of them to be found repeated in his various works. In *The Citizen of the World* (1762), a series of essays reprinted from *The Public Ledger*, he gives some of his brilliant character-sketches in their earlier form. The devices of his gentle and irresistible humour are also comparatively few, but they bear repetition, and they are all his own. The swindler's method of proving to the Vicar his acquaintance with Solomon Flamborough is a good example of the drollery that Goldsmith loves. "Honest Solomon and I have been acquainted for many years together. I remember I always beat him at three jumps, but he could hop on one leg further than I." Convinced by this touching reminiscence, the Vicar accepts a draft on his neighbour Flamborough in payment for his horse.

(No praise is too high for Goldsmith's style.) "*Nullum quod tetigit non ornavit*," or to give it in Johnson's earlier conversational version, he has the art "of saying anything



he has to say in a pleasing manner" His plenary belief in the importance of the art of literature, in style and wit, led him to undertake tasks for which he was poorly equipped. "The labours of these men," he says, speaking of the zoologists who are his butt in *The Citizen of the World* (No 89), "do not amuse the public." So he occupied their ground, and gave the world, as Johnson said he would, "a very fine book upon the subject," a text-book of science which, in spite of the author's belief that the cow sheds her horns every two years, and that the tiger inhabits the backwoods of Canada, has managed to hold its own as literature.

(He has the gentlest of laughs—an excellent thing in a humourist,—and is untouched by the fiercer satirical spirit that runs riot in many of the novels of the time.) Rather than have nothing to laugh at, he would feign stupidity or conceit and laugh at himself—a habit that persons of pure Saxon descent sometimes fail to comprehend. Hence the talk about his petulance and childish self-importance. But that "he was a very great man" was recognized after his death by the European continent, where his novel speedily established itself as a masterpiece and model.

With Goldsmith's work the great period of the eighteenth-century novel may be said to close. There was no diminution in the number of novels that left the press, but the greater part of these were imitations. Richard Cumberland, in his *Arundel* (1789), *Henry* (1795), and *John de Lancaster*, shows himself an imitator of Fielding. Robert Bage, in his productions, from *Mount Henneth* (1781) to *Hermesprong, or Man as He Is Not* (1796),

comes nearest of all imitators to Richardson, and surpasses Richardson in drawing the characters of women like Miss Anna Howe or Miss Charlotte Grandison. But new schools had arisen, new influences were at work, some of them visible enough in Bage's later novels, and these were not the chief novelists of their time. The Romantic revival had begun. So that a summary of the characteristics of the school of the eighteenth century must limit itself to the great original masters and the writers of their time.

Never was there a body of writers of whom it might more truly be said that their work is a criticism of life. The phrase expresses their limitations as well as their highest merits. Since the time when Pope had begun his poetical career by the publication of an *Essay on Criticism*—a strange inversion—the spirit of criticism had dominated creation. Humour and morality—critical in their essence and application—have been seen to be the great qualities of the eighteenth-century novelists. And even these qualities tend, in the bulk of writers, to assume highly practical stereotyped forms. Sterne's humour is not satirical, Goldsmith's morality is not utilitarian, but these are exceptions. Lesser writers than these afford a better index to the spirit of the times. The theme of the eighteenth-century novel is the history of persons, regarded as moral beings, and treated in relation to each other and to society. A wide enough subject, perhaps, but not the whole of life. In how practical a spirit it was treated might be curiously illustrated by a detailed examination of the plots of the period. (There are not many among them that dare to

let vice go unpunished by the magistrate, or that refuse substantial damages to injured innocence.) Even Goldsmith conquers his strong romantic tendency, and hustles his Vicar back into his benefice. Frances Sheridan, the wife of Dr. Johnson's preternaturally dull friend, and the mother of the dramatist, made herself a name by her *Memoirs of Miss Sydney Biddulph, extracted from her own Journal* (1761), chiefly by withholding from her heroine all compensation for the severe afflictions heaped upon her head. Charles James Fox thought the book the best novel of the age; the praise Johnson gave it has an undertone of dissatisfaction. "I know not, madam," he said to the authoress, "that you have a right, upon moral principles, to make your readers suffer so much." But the right was sparingly assumed by the novelists of the time, and such a plot as the story of Romeo and Juliet, or of the Bride of Lammermoor, where the darker shades of woe are something more than a mere setting for Christian long-suffering, was unknown to the century that delighted in Hogarth's exhibition of the fortunes of the virtuous apprentice.

The lesser writers, whose work exhibits small tincture of art, show the satirical, didactic, practical tendencies of the time in exaggerated decadent forms. Fielding in his treatment of legal abuses, Smollett in his attack on the Methodists, and his social and political satires, had given way to these tendencies, so soon to carry all before them. In weaker hands the novel became a mere handbook of etiquette, a pamphlet on some political or social abuse, an attack on a Government, a class, or a

person. The practice of anonymity was well nigh universal, the finely fashioned vessels of art were debased and made to serve, as at a Scythian drinking bout, for missiles or truncheons. Let the title of one novel, published in 1768, indicate the nature of many, *The Life and Adventures of Sir Bartholomew Sapskull, Baronet, nearly allied to most of the great men of the Three Kingdoms By Somebody*. Or a better instance could perhaps be found in the famous novel of Henry Brooke, called *The Fool of Quality* (1766-1770). This work, it is true, does more than buffet the noble Sapskulls of the time, it sets up, in the history of Henry, Earl of Moreland, a pattern of natural education and simple virtue. But the author has so many interests, such width of mind, so keen a desire to further a vast variety of political and social reforms, that his story is completely overlaid by moral digressions, he is so occupied in works of public benevolence that he starves his child. The definition of a gentleman, the honour due to commerce the British constitution, the position of women, imprisonment for debt, and the statutes at large receive his careful attention in philosophic essays, often brilliant. For this reason John Wesley and, later Charles Kingsley praised and republished the book. Its great merits would ask for recognition were it not that they are also its greatest faults, if it be considered as a novel. Artistically it is a chaos, and such unity as it has is due chiefly to the binder.

(All these preoccupations, social, political, satirical, the marks of a fashionable town literature, served to heighten the prevalent indifference to scenery, and

the neglect of wild nature as a background for man in imaginative literature. } James Howell, in the seventeenth century, said he had rather go fifty miles to hear a wise man than five to see a fine city. He might have added that he would have gone further still to avoid seeing a high mountain, for he calls the Alps "uncouth huge monstrous excrescences of Nature," compared with which the Welsh mountains are mere "pimples." Dr. Johnson took up the attitude of his century when he reproved Mr. Thrale for his interest in the dispositions of wood and water, hill and valley, during a journey through France "A blade of grass is always a blade of grass," said the sage, "whether in one country or another; . . . men and women are my subjects of inquiry; let us see how these differ from those we have left behind." The renewed employment of wild scenery as an effect in fiction, the exhibition once more of man "crawling between heaven and earth," was linked, at the time of the Romantic revival, with the movement for stripping man of his social and conventional lendings, for reducing human nature to its simplest terms, freed from all the tyrannies of custom. Woods and hills were found to furnish the most effective background for a naked man.

To say that the eighteenth-century novel has its limitations is therefore true, but it would be absurd to make of these limitations grave defects. A saner, finer body of literature than the best of the fiction from Richardson to Goldsmith is not often to be met with in a nation's history. Its energy and variety are wonderful. While the couplet of Pope held poetical literature hidebound, while the "twin coursers of ethereal race" that drew the car of

Dryden were urged on by poetasters until constant flogging had reduced them to a very sorry pair of jades,—prose literature, on the other hand, showed, and showed chiefly in the novel, vitality, versatility, experiment Addison, Swift, Fielding, Johnson, Sterne, Gibbon, Burke—it would be impossible in any other century to name so great a variety of distinguished prose styles. Prose was no longer a beggar for the leavings of poetry, but had imposed on poetry its subjects and its methods. And when the romantic spirit first touched prose literature, its sanity disappeared, and there followed decades of night mare and fever. So that no English romancer proved himself a worthy peer of the novelists until Scott, like Chaucer and Shakespeare, blended once more the opposed elements of comedy and romance.

## CHAPTER VIII.

### THE REVIVAL OF ROMANCE.

(THE reappearance of romantic prose fiction in England, and the inauguration of a school of romance-writers by Horace Walpole's brief tale, *The Castle of Otranto* (1764), suggests all those complex and difficult problems that have gathered round the discussion of the Romantic revival. A complete statement of the causes and nature of that revival is perhaps hardly to be attained, the movement will always appear in different lights to different critics, or to the critics of different countries. Modern French critics have naturally been inclined to lay most stress on the reintroduction of "lyricism," that heightening of the sentiment of self, and that substitution of the impulse of the individual for the judgment of the community, which was heralded by Rousseau. Yet these traits do not show themselves early in the English revival of romance, and when they do show themselves in prose they are largely a product of French influences. A more conspicuous feature of English, as of German, Romanticism in its earlier phases is indicated in the name which has been applied by Mr. Theodore Watts

to the whole movement—"the Renaissance of Wonder" No better term could be invented to gather under one treatment a vast variety of forms and methods, and to mark the characteristics that are shared by the *Lyrical Ballads* of Coleridge and Wordsworth with the crude fictions of Walpole and Lewis.

Others of the characteristics of Romanticism are abundantly evident in the English movement, the revived feeling for Nature, the introduction of exotic methods and models, the renewed interest in mediævalism, the increased employment of pictorial effects in literature all these could be exemplified very early to the movement. Not the works which are commonly taken as the beginning of the movement in England, *The Castle of Otranto* Bishop Percy's *Reliques of Ancient English Poetry* (1765) Macpherson's (1761-1763) and Chatterton's (1770) forgeries, do not display all these. They do display the attempt to reawaken the spirit of wonder, more particularly by resuscitation of the themes and fictions "of a grosser age."

(Thus the revival of the supernatural, the most obvious occasion for wonder, is the main part of the English Romantic movement, as exhibited in imaginative prose.) Later on, the French movement supplied a confluent to the stream, revolutionary rather than romantic, the "lyrical cry" is heard in the prose romances of Godwin and of Shelley as the scream of the individual, "born free, but everywhere in chains," struggling to disengage himself from the fetters of society, education and religion. And although the two streams blended in one, (it is still possible roughly to classify the writers of romantic prose



fiction during the period that joins the centuries as belonging to two schools—the School of Terror,) with Mrs. Radcliffe, “Monk” Lewis, Maturin, and others, for its principal exponents, (and the School of Theory, numbering many writers, with Godwin at their head.)

Throughout the eighteenth century writers of tales and novels had frequently had recourse to the supernatural, but never with the aim of awakening the spirit of wonder. Swift's *Gulliver*, like the later “adventure” novels, introduces supernatural conditions purely by way of postulate, in order to satirize existing society. It was but thinking, as Dr Johnson remarked, of big people and little people, and carrying out the idea with mathematical consistency; always magnifying the pores of the skin to the point of disgust, and minimizing the intellectual and political interests of nations to the point of ridicule. *Gulliver* is a grotesque fable, and the Oriental apologies so common in the pages of *The Spectator*, *The Adventurer*, and other periodicals of the time, are fables too, where the supernatural is introduced, not for the emotions it may itself awaken, but as a new and serviceable platform for the preacher or the jester. Thus Dr. Hawkesworth's tale of *Almorán and Hamet* (1761) has a supernatural basis: the elder of two kingly brothers is endowed by a genius with the power of exchanging forms with whomsoever he pleases, and thus is enabled to carry on a plot against his brother, and to attempt to supplant him in the affections of the beautiful Almeida. But the object of the tale is to show how powerless are fate and metaphysical aid to crush virtue, and how little magical power can add to the happiness

of a vicious possessor. The author was the biographer of Swift and the admirer of Johnson, his tale is the offspring of *Gulliver* and *Rasselas*.

*The Life and Adventures of Peter Wilkins* (1751), by Robert Paltock, stands alone in the age. It has no ulterior purpose, moral or satirical, the supernatural winged beings who inhabit the country of Graundevolet are the playthings of the author's fancy. But his fancy, graceful though it is, is mechanical and mathematical in essence, there is nothing in it of the shaping spirit of imagination. Leigh Hunt, who expresses an enthusiastic admiration for the book, gives utterance to this defect when he complains that the author has not made his flying women light and airy enough. Speaking of the admirable and lovely Youwarkee, he adds, "At best the woman would have been wilder, more elvish, capricious, and unaccountable. She would have ruffled her whale bones when angry, been horribly intimate, perhaps, with bird's nests and fights with eagles, and frightened Wilkins out of his wits with dashing betwixt rocks and pulling the noses of seals and gulls." The fact is that it is mechanical exactitude and mechanical consistency that Paltock is aiming at in the description of his supernatural beings, and not the higher artistic consistency that finds a basis and a justification for the supernatural in the awakened hopes and fears of mankind. He is treading in the track of Defoe, and some of his realistic devices are tedious in their prolonged and arbitrary detail. The artificial language that he invents for his islanders is a good example of the realism that hinders the imagination; it may be expedient to call

flying men and flying women *glumms* and *gawrys*, for there are no single words for them in English, but the reader is only impeded by having to learn that *roppin* means "marmalade," and "marriage" is a pleasanter word than *hunkum*. Many persons have learnt Spanish in order to read *Don Quixote* in the original, fewer will be found willing to master some hundred new and useless terms in order to penetrate the disguises of a writer who thinks in English

Thus *Peter Wilkins* would lose little or nothing of its merit if it were robbed of its supernatural equipment, the emotional interest that it excites is distinctively human, and Youwarkee is a model English wife, encased in an embarrassing parachute. All the realistic flummery that surrounds the flying people, their institutions and habits, is very easily conceived, and leaves the reader cold and unmoved. There is no satire, although traces of a reactionary tendency are perhaps to be recognized in the picture of a model people who are vegetarian, who cannot write, and who maintain the custom of slavery. But the book is chiefly a fanciful contribution to Natural Philosophy, an ingenious exercise for the physical speculator, parts of it might almost have been contributed to the Royal Society in its early days, and would doubtless have pleased the mechanical Bishop of Chester, who is said to have been chosen by the author as sponsor for his hero. Of the romantic employment of the supernatural, properly so called, it has no tincture

The attitude of the age towards the marvellous has been best expressed, as might be expected, by the great exponent of the age, Dr. Samuel Johnson "To select

a singular event," he says, speaking of Gray's poem, *The Bard*, "and swell it to a giant's bulk by fabulous appendages of spectres and predictions has little difficulty, for he that forsakes the probable may always find the marvellous. And it has little use, we are affected only as we believe, we are improved only as we find something to be imitated or declined. I do not see that 'The Bard promotes any truth moral or political.'

"*We are affected only as we believe.*" The sentence gives a terse and final statement of the chief eighteenth century heresy. It is the key to the religious controversies of the century reaching an abyss of bathos in the apologetics of Paley, it is the key likewise to the dominant methods in the art of fiction. But the statement is not true, for we are affected also as we imagine. And his recognition of this long forgotten truth entitles Horace Walpole, who hit upon it in blandering dilettante fashion, and illustrated it by his *Castle of Otranto* to a high place among the founders of modern Romanticism.

*The Castle of Otranto a Gothic Story translated by William Marshal, Gent., from the original Italian of Onuphrio Muralto, Canon of the Church of St. Nicholas at Otranto* (1764) is the title of the brief story that (founded a school of romance.) After the assured success of the book, Walpole discarded these solemn pretences, and came forward, in his preface to the second edition, with an acknowledgment of authorship and an interesting account of the inspirations and aims of his book. This preface is enough to show that the *Castle of Otranto* was a more serious and deliberate experiment than its prototype of Strawberry Hill, its author meant it to last

longer than the lath and plaster battlements with which he decorated his toy Gothic mansion

Fifty years before the success of Scott, the attempt was here made "to blend the two kinds of romance, the ancient and the modern," to reintroduce, that is, the greatest possible freedom of invention, and to give full rein to fancy by the admission of the supernatural element of the old romances, at the same time borrowing from the newly developed novel its close adherence to nature and life in the matter of character and conversation. "The old romances" that Walpole had in mind were probably most of them no older than the pastoral and heroic schools, and a precedent that swayed him more is supplied by the later part of the preface, where Shakespeare is proclaimed as the great model for the heightening of the sublime by contrast with the commonplace or the ridiculous. The defence of Shakespeare that Walpole interpolates in his preface is highly significant. A revival of romance in England must have meant a revival of Shakespeare, but here he is definitely and closely associated with the first stirring of the new spirit. He is made responsible for "the deportment of the domestics" in the *Castle of Otranto* years before he taught Mrs Radcliffe and Maturin, who were both steeped in Shakespeare, their best artistic effects. "I might have pleaded," says Walpole, "that having created a new species of romance, I was at liberty to lay down what rules I thought fit for the conduct of it. but I should be more proud of having imitated, however faintly, weakly, and at a distance, so masterly a pattern, than to enjoy the entire merit of invention, unless I

could have marked my work with genius as well as with originality."

Originality the work may safely claim. The mountainous helmet, with its waving sable plumes, which crashes down into the courtyard of the Castle of Otranto at the very beginning of the narrative, unheralded and unexplained, may be taken as a symbol and type of the suddenness with which supernatural terror was re-introduced into English fiction by Horace Walpole. Here, with a decisive hand, was struck the keynote of all those later romances which gave only too much ground for Goethe's pithy maxim, "The classical is health and the romantic, disease." The very violence and crudity of Walpole's originality proved an invitation to his imitators to better the instruction he gave them. But romantic after the manner of Shakespeare the work is not. For nothing is more characteristic of the great masters of romance than the subtlety and guardedness of their use of the supernatural. Their ghosts do not come uncalled for. Macbeth is startled when the witches speak to him, because what they have to tell him is familiar to his thoughts. The tricks and fantasies of supernaturalism are meaningless and powerless save in alliance with the mysterious powers of human nature, and, failing this, not all the realistic circumstance in the world can give them life or meaning. And where this alliance between the evil within and the unknown powers without is less marked, the care wherewith a great romancer prepares the way for the supernatural, so that it comes as the bodily fulfilment of an unbodied fear, is well seen in the palmary instance of *The Ancient*

*Mariner* The skeleton ship, with the spectre woman and her death-mate, is ushered in by all the silences and wonders of a tropical sea, by loneliness and dreams

But Walpole was no poet, and the quietly and inconsequence of his excursions into the supernatural can hardly avoid the suspicion of latent humour. (Huge hands and legs clad in armour obtrude themselves at odd moments on the attention of alarmed domestics, whose account of their experiences furnishes the comedy of the book.) When Manfred offers marriage to the Lady Isabella, "at that instant the portrait of his grandfather, which hung over the bench where they had been sitting, uttered a deep sigh, and heaved its breast" (On another occasion "three drops of blood fell from the nose of Alfonso's statue") And portent follows portent, each more surprising and unintelligible than the last; the surmises of the reader as to the cause and meaning of the whole incongruous dance are like the conjectures offered by the spectators of the descent of the helmet, "as absurd and improbable as the catastrophe itself was unprecedented." (Moreover, *The Castle of Otranto*, as a story, is raised on the structural scheme of the modern detective novel, the puzzle which every page complicates finds its solution only in the last few pages.) But this particular structure is perfectly unwarrantable and ineffective where the solution itself contains free use of the supernatural. Even in so fine a story as *Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde*, the reader is unjustifiably cheated into attempting a natural solution of apparently inexplicable phenomena. The supernatural solution, when it comes, is no solution, there

are a hundred ways of explaining the impossible by the impossible. In its fit artistic place the supernatural explains the natural, and itself needs no explanation. The secret of such an employment of the supernatural is given by Coleridge in his account of the inception of that monument of the Romantic revival in England, the *Lyrical Ballads*. "It was agreed that my endeavours should be directed to persons and characters supernatural, or at least romantic yet, so as to transfer from our inward nature a human interest and a semblance of truth sufficient to procure for these shadows of imagination that willing suspension of disbelief for the moment, which constitutes poetic faith. Mr. Wordsworth, on the other hand, was to propose to himself, as his object, to give the charm of novelty to things of every day, and to excite a feeling analogous to the supernatural, by awakening the mind's attention from the lethargy of custom, and directing it to the loveliness and the wonder of the world before us."

That these "two sorts" of poems were part of one series and of one scheme gives its chief importance to the poetic confession of faith contained in the *Lyrical Ballads*. For, indeed the two processes described by Coleridge are mutually indispensable. The man to whom the natural has never seemed supernatural can by no device make the supernatural appear natural. And Wordsworth himself who was confined to one half of the task, is seen in his finest poems constantly on the verge of passing from the disembodied marvels of the mind to authentic and embodied powers more than human. In such lines as these—



“There’s not a breathing of the common wind  
That will forget thee, thou hast great allies,  
Thy friends are exultations, agonies,  
And love, and Man’s unconquerable mind,”

he approaches the mythopœic, while he expresses one of the simplest and intensest of human feelings

But Walpole, writing not only thirty-five years before Coleridge and Wordsworth, but also long before Burns and Cowper, and long before the French Revolution, was both in the eighteenth century and of it. (To have awakened the hidden springs of supernatural terror is a sufficiently notable achievement.) To transfer to supernatural characters “a human interest and a semblance of truth” from his inward nature was beyond his power, for in that inward nature he recognized nothing akin to the supernatural.

Thus Walpole remains one of those paradoxes with which the history of literature abounds. The inaugurator and, in some sense, the founder of a literary movement that took Europe by storm, his temper and character would have qualified him better to be its critic, or even its parodist. With no intention of criticism or parody, but in mere playfulness, he made a wooden jack-in-the box. Wooden though it was, (it served as a decoy for the multitude of ghosts that squeaked and gibbered in the highways of literature for half a century and more, until, in *Frankenstein* and *Melmoth the Wanderer*, the romantic orgy reached its height.)

(The violence of the machinery of *The Castle of Otranto* was palpable even to followers and imitators of Walpole. In *The Old English Baron*, originally called *The Champion*

of *Virtue, a Gothic Story* (1777), Miss Clara Reeve, who wrote this her first novel at the mature age of fifty-one, set herself to temper the improbabilities of her original, and to keep her story within "the utmost verge of probability." Her compromise is inherently weak, she succeeds in writing a story with nothing in it more inexplicable than a subterranean groan, at the cost of most of the interest that the earlier work excites. "It is so probable," says Horace Walpole, who read the book, "that any trial for murder at the Old Bailey would make a more interesting story." The supernatural is best justified by the methods of poetry, Miss Reeve attempted its justification by an added prosiness. In her relation to the romantic movement she thus appears as a reactionary, and the plea that her ghost is almost credible raises a false issue in criticism. Some years before she wrote, Bürger had marked out, in his poem of *Lenore* (1773), the path that English romanticism was to follow.

But the influence of Germany operated slowly on English literature, and (one of the greatest of the English Romantic School, Mrs. Radcliffe,) never succeeded in conquering her fear of the supernatural so far as to allow it a place in her novels. Yet her series of fictions, from *The Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne* (1789) to *The Italian* (1797) exercised so enormous a power on the new generation, and displayed so many decisive advances on previous romantic essays, as to earn her a foremost place among the earlier apostles of Romanticism.

Anne Ward (1764-1823) became Mrs. Radcliffe in 1787 by her marriage with William Radcliffe, barrister and journalist. Her novels were written in rapid

succession during her early married life, at a time when her husband's proprietorship of the *English Chronicle* kept him employed during the evenings, and left her many solitary hours. She gained fame and fortune by her books, and then relapsed into private life as suddenly as she had emerged, living to see the triumph of many later romantic authors who borrowed and adapted her methods.

Her ignorance of the world at the time when she wrote was complete and many-sided. Human character she knew, not from observation but from dreams. The landscapes for which she is so justly famous are pictures of countries she never saw. There is nothing in her books that she did not create. And it is a testimony to the power of her art that her fancy first conceived a type of character that subsequently passed from art into life. The man that Lord Byron tried to be was the invention of Mrs. Radcliffe.

(The plots of her stories have often been censured for their timidity. With an unprecedented control over the secrets of terror, a power of awakening by a touch all the vague associations and suggestions of superstitious awe, she yet shrinks from following Walpole, and never plunges into the frankly supernatural.) Further, and here perhaps lies her chief mistake, she does not allow the supernatural even as a possible refuge. (The explanations whereby her multiplied mysteries are ultimately dissipated, run on such severely natural lines) as to recall the simplicity of Snug the joiner, and the reader is almost ashamed of his terrors when he is confronted with the dull mechanic who has simulated a lion so marvellously.)

To historical precision there is no pretence. In *The Mysteries of Udolpho* (1794), a story dealing with events of the year 1584, Parisian fashions, French opera, and French manners, are spoken of as dominating the world. Humour, after the example of Walpole, is relegated to the servants' hall. (The stock characters are few, and reappear in each successive novel under altered names.) A young, beautiful, and accomplished lady, over whose existence there hangs an unsolved mystery who is much given to a tender melancholy, and is liable at any moment to be taken with a short fit of rhyming or of fainting, is the Mary Julia Adeline-Emily-Elena of the tales. Her lover is frank, generous, impetuous, wild, and romantic. The virtuous among her relatives share her passion for twilight, solitude, the gentler emotions, and the wilder aspects of nature. With or without them she is commonly imprisoned or detained in a Gothic ruin situated on precipitous rocks or in a dense forest. The villain of the tales may be best introduced by Mrs. Radcliffe's description of Schedoni in *The Italian*.

"There was something terrible in his air, something almost superhuman. His cowl, too, as it threw a shade over the livid paleness of his face, increased its severe character, and gave an effect to his large melancholy eye which approached to horror. There was something in his physiognomy extremely singular, and that cannot easily be defined. It bore the traces of many passions, which seemed to have fixed the features they no longer animated. An habitual gloom and austerity prevailed over the deep lines of his countenance, and his eyes were so piercing that they seemed to penetrate,

at a single glance, into the hearts of men, and to read their most secret thoughts."

A few stray lines from *Lara* (1814) will serve to show how Mrs Radcliffe's villain became Byron's hero:—

"That brow in furrowed lines had fixed at last,  
And spake of passions, but of passion past;  
A high demeanour, and a glance that took  
Their thoughts from others by a single look;  
And some deep feeling it were vain to trace  
At moments lightened o'er his livid face."

The machinery as well as the characters of these novels became the commonplaces of later romancers. (The secret corridors, sliding panels, echoing vaults, and hidden trapdoors, the mouldering manuscripts discovered in massive chests and read at night by the light of a flickering candle, are all expedients beloved of Mrs. Radcliffe.) The manuscript device, occurring in the works of Walpole and Miss Reeve, became so common a convention as almost to demand classification among the possible modes of telling a story. (Mrs. Radcliffe strains it almost to breaking point; her manuscripts invariably become illegible at the point where some dire secret is about to be revealed.) (Maturin) in *The Fatal Revenge, or The Family of Montorio* (1807), surpasses her in temerity. Here are the closing words of a document discovered by one of the characters in that work:—  
"Was that shriek fancy?—again, again,—impossible! Hark! there is a tumult in the castle—lights and voices beneath the turret . . . What is this they tell me?"  
The most instantaneous and agitating of experiences, down to the death-gasp, are duly recorded on parchment,

and stored away in a vault to await an appropriate discovery

The absurdities of the nascent romance are many, and are to be found on the surface. The merits of Mrs. Radcliffe are less obvious to a generation for whom her devices are no longer new. Yet with her works the Romantic School sprang at once to maturity. The Romantic movement may be described, in one aspect, as an invasion of the realm of prose by the matter of poetry, and in this regard no bolder inroads are to be chronicled from first to last than those that she planned and executed.

(She has a fine command over the world of association and suggestion, distinctively poetic, and "a significant and expressive uncertainty of strokes and colouring." She knows the value of the mere absence of definition, the power of vacuity, darkness, solitude, and silence.) And thus, to use a phrase drawn from Burke's treatise *Of the Sublime and Beautiful* (1756) (itself a herald of the Romantic movement) she compels us to "yield to sympathy what we refuse to description." The famous scene in *The Mysteries of Udolpho*, where Emily unveils the awful picture, sufficiently illustrates the method. "She paused again, and then with a timid hand lifted the veil; but instantly let it fall—perceiving that what it had concealed was no picture, and, before she could leave the chamber she dropped senseless on the floor."

"It was no picture," and the reader exhausts his imagination on terrors before he learns, at the close of the book, that it was, in point of fact, a waxwork.

(Another cardinal expedient of Mrs. Radcliffe and the

school at large consists in the isolation of sense impressions commonly associated. Sights without corresponding sounds, sounds without corresponding sights, a touch in the dark—whether of the clammy hand of a dead person, or of “the mealy and carious bones of a skeleton,” these things are made to yield a nameless subtle horror. (The art of suggestion has been carried further since Mrs. Radcliffe’s time, at first by Maturin, and later, in much more delicate fashion, by Nathaniel Hawthorne and Mr Stevenson.) Hawthorne’s treatment of the supernatural, whereby he neither affirms nor denies it, but creates its atmosphere, and leaves the reader to please himself, has never been surpassed, and a favourite resource of Mr Stevenson’s for evoking terror, the pursuit, namely, of the hero by an active and malicious blind man, is a fine example of how the superhuman may be suggested by defect.

(The remaining contribution made by Mrs. Radcliffe to romantic method is to be found in her employment of scenery.) Nowhere but from the poets could she have borrowed this, the tales of Horace Walpole and Clara Reeve are without background, and Charlotte Smith’s best known novel, *The Old Manor House* (1793), although it displays a happy subordinate employment of scenery, came too late to influence the greater writer. The essence of Mrs Radcliffe’s scenery is that it is fictitious, lending the richness and fulness of harmony to the thin wavering melody of the plot. (In the power which she assigns to flood and fell, sunset and storm, over the moods and passions of her characters, she becomes at times almost Wordsworthian.) And her

scenery although artificial, is not glaringly unreal, there are traces of observation of some real atmospheric effects, and her descriptions bear witness to the acuteness of her senses. Sights are not allowed to obscure sounds, and sensations of odour and respiration, all the vague organic impressions that count for so much in the effect of natural surroundings, find their record. But perhaps the most remarkable point in her treatment of nature is the breadth and unity of her pictures. She never forgets the whole in the parts—details are sparingly introduced and generally with telling effect. Her landscapes might be named after the particular emotions they are built to house—terror, regret, security, or melancholy—and they would be in perfect keeping.

Thus, in more than one way, the prose of Mrs. Radcliffe anticipated and guided the poetry of the Romantic revival. Prose like hers could not hope to remain prose long, but technical inaptitude and the precedent set by the novelists prevented her from writing in verse. The narrative poems of the next century owed much to her influence, while the novel proper pursued its course on independent lines. It remains only to chronicle the further history of the School of Terror in its two principal later exponents, Lewis and Maturin.

Matthew Gregory (Lewis), who gained notoriety at the age of twenty by the publication of (*The Monk*) (1795), had been much influenced in his youth by the study of German models. He professed himself greatly stirred by Goethe's *Leiden des jungen Werther* (1774) which speedily spread over civilized Europe. After an introduction to Goethe himself, he wrote to his mother:



"You must not be surprised if I should shoot myself one of these fine mornings." As a matter of fact he was little likely to fall a victim to the sentimental mania, which he ridiculed in a juvenile work called *The Effusions of Sensibility*. His taste was rather for horrors, thick and slab, and it was the perusal of *The Mysteries of Udolpho* that encouraged him to *The Monk*, written, according to his own account, in the space of ten weeks at the Hague. His biographer compares him to Correggio, gazing on the pictures of Michael Angelo and exclaiming, "Anch' io sono pittore!"

Lewis's acquaintance with literature, and especially with the German resuscitations of feudalism, monasticism, ghosts, and hobgoblins, enabled him to fill his museum of atrocities with a large variety of articles of vertu, including the Inquisition, the wandering Jew, and the bleeding nun. But his imagination is gross, boyish, and vulgar, and his horrors rest mainly on a physical basis. He was foolish enough to throw over all the restraints that Mrs Radcliffe had observed, and to attempt explicit climax. The end of the monk Ambrosio is a good example of his work. The monk renounces his salvation to escape the stake, and a demon carries him clear of his persecutors. The journeyman imagination of Lewis is not content, however, with this approved ending, he follows the two to the remote and desolate precipice whither the monk is carried. There it appears that the demon's promise is now fulfilled, he has saved his client from prison. "'Whither have you brought me?' said the monk at length in a hollow trembling voice 'Why am I placed in this melancholy scene? Bear me from

it quickly! Carry me to Matilda!" There ensues an unnecessary conversation, in which the demon has the last word, "'Thus I secure my prey! As he said this, darting his talons into the monk's shaven crown, he sprang with him from the rock. The caves and mountains rang with Ambrosio's shrieks. The demon continued to soar aloft, till, reaching a dreadful height, he released the sufferer."

The *Tales of Wonder* (1801), to which Walter Scott and John Leyden contributed, contain Lewis's earliest attempts to revive the old ballad. The Anacreontic metres frequently selected, give a heightened absurdity to the rococo horrors of the tales. A stanza in *Alonso the Brave*, here reprinted from *The Monk*, thus describes the posthumous activity of "the false Imogene"—

At midnight, four times in each year does her sprite,  
When mortals in slumber are bound,  
Arrayed in her bridal apparel of white,  
Appear in the hall with the skeleton knight,  
And shriek as he whirls her around!"

It is a testimony to the prevalence of the romantic intoxication that this kind of stuff seduced for a time the strong and sane imagination of Scott, who produced a companion picture to it in his *Frederick and Alice*.

But the insolence of coarse horror soon exhausts its themes, the grave gives up its dead, and has no more to give to the realistic artist. (It was by the subtlety of his mental analysis and his power of suggestion, wherein he far excelled Mrs. Radcliffe, rather than by the bold atrocities of realism,) wherein he endeavoured to outbid

Lewis (that Charles Robert Maturin (1782-1824) earned his title to the headship of the School of Terror.)

In his first novel, *The Fatal Revenge, or, The Family of Montorio* (1807), published under the assumed name of Dennis Jasper Murphy, he explains his intent. "I have presumed," he says, "to found the interest of a romance on the passion of supernatural fear, and on that almost alone." Love, he urges, is felt in its purity by comparatively few, fear, on the other hand, especially the fear arising from objects of invisible terror, is universal and irresistible in its appeal. (Objects of terror, by no means always invisible, abound in this spectre-haunted, corpse-ridden story.) The question asked by the old servant Michelo, "Is it then possible to know the living from the dead?" finds a ready answer from the reader. It is quite impossible in the family of Montorio. (The plot, like the greater part of those constructed by Maturin, is perplexed in the extreme; it can barely be disentangled.) And in this novel Maturin allows the example of his predecessors to weigh too much with him; his real strength lay in regions untrodden by Walpole or Lewis.

Of his subsequent romances, from *The Wild Irish Boy* (1808) to *The Albigenses* (1824), universal criticism has pronounced (*Melmoth the Wanderer*) (1820) to be the masterpiece. - The favourite Rosicrucian idea, already borrowed by Godwin for philosophic purposes in *St. Leon* (1799), is here turned to the best imaginative use. The lurid figure of Melmoth, who has gained earthly immortality at a price never to be named or thought on by those who would keep their sanity, the paralyzing glamour of his eyes, the withering smile, wherein malignity

and levity have not extinguished pathos, as he proposes to his victims the *incommunicable condition* of their release from the madhouse or the prisons of the Inquisition these (things besiege the memory like some dream that confuses itself with waking hours.) The story is made up of a number of ill-strung episodes, far apart in time and space, united only by the awful sense of that impending approach, as the utmost dangers and terrors of earth press heavier on the protagonist of each successive tale. The greatest scenes of *Melmoth*, as, for instance, the accounts of his midnight wedding with Isidora, of his subterranean pilotage of Monçada as they escape from the monastery, of his last awful and rapid transformation to the decrepitude of age, are as fine effects of subtle terror as were ever devised by a literary master.

The secret of Maturin's treatment of the supernatural lies almost wholly in his power of suggestion. Not only in the broader sort of delusion by single violent effects, which might be exemplified from those lines in the *Ancient Mariner*—

"I took the oars: the Pilot's boy  
Who now dolt crazy go,  
Laughed loud and long;"

not only in the apostrophe of which he is so fond is his mastery evinced, he excels also in the delicate manipulation of all unreasoning apprehensions and superstitions. His observation of strange things in nature is singularly acute. When Monçada is examined by the Inquisition, he describes one of the judges trembling on his seat, "while his shadow, magnified by the imperfect light,

pictured the figure of a paralytic giant on the wall opposite to me." That is the clue to Maturin's effects; he sees the shadow before he sees the body, and he chooses the shadow, for it is often many times larger and more terrible than the body. His analytic knowledge of the human mind is excellently employed in the service of terror. Wordsworth's lover was struck with a thrill of fear on seeing the moon set behind the cottage of her he loved; his Margaret, in the intensity of her desire for intercourse with the dead, is strangely moved by the rustling of the grass and the passing of the clouds; it is the highest praise that can be given to Maturin to allege that he oftentimes works with sentiments as subtle as these.

It is impossible rigidly to demarcate the School of Theory in fiction, or to name many authors, or even single works, that belong to it wholly. Towards the end of the eighteenth century theory was rife in England, and speculations on politics, religion, marriage, and education were not slow to find expression in the novel. But a novel that does no more than give vivid, concrete expression to some definite moral or political lesson is either a bad novel, or its merits are irrelevant, if not positively detrimental, to its main theme. A tale "illustrative of the truths of Political Economy" is likely to spoil two good things—political economy, to wit, and the tale as an imaginative product. The world of men and women must be maimed to be fitted accurately to the general laws of the economist, and the laws themselves lose, in the process, the regal security of pure science.

It is therefore more in particular traits and tendencies, common to many of the novels of the time than in special authors or works that the marks of system and theory are to be sought. (The French Revolution communicated a strong impetus to English speculation on the foundations of law and custom.) And if a central expression were required for all the influences at work upon those (authors who made fiction a vehicle for abstract thought) it would have to be the name of one who, more than any other, was the precursor of the Revolution in all its aspects—Jean Jacques Rousseau.

The characteristic doctrines of Rousseau, expounded in the works which range from the Discourse written for the prize of the Academy of Dijon in 1749, down to the *Nouvelle Héloïse* in 1761, and *Emile* and the *Contrat Social* in 1762, fermented in European literature for the rest of the century. The doctrines themselves might have had a less startling success had they not been bathed in the sentiment and winged with the ardour of the man's extraordinary temperament. Rousseau owed much to English writers, to Locke and to Richardson, for instance. But Locke, the cautious upholder of the constitutional principles of the English Revolution, would have been amazed at his pupil and the sentiment of *Clarissa*, redolent of the proprieties, had none of the infectious power of the sentiment of Saint Preux, although, considered as a novel, Richardson's work is infinitely finer than the *Nouvelle Héloïse*. (The simplest of the materials borrowed by Rousseau were transformed, by the chemistry of his temper into intoxicants and solvents.) (His effusion of sentiment) is no greater than

Sterne's. "The danger lay," as Mr John Morley remarks, "in the mischievous intellectual direction which Rousseau imparted to this effusion."

The fundamental conception of Rousseau's work is to be found in his exaltation of "the State of Nature." "The return to Nature," sometimes insisted on as the essence of the Romantic movement in England, may mean almost anything—residence in the country, the unrestrained expression of the emotions, or the violent levelling of all social distinctions. It was largely due to Rousseau that it came to mean all three, and that a love of natural scenery and a highly strung emotional sensibility became inextricably associated with rebellion against political institutions and social canons.

(The "natural man") was at once seized on by the novelists to play a leading part in their pages. He was no new invention, (Mrs. Behn's best novel *Oroonoko*) or *The History of the Royal Slave* (1698), contains a full-length portrait of him, and (a description of a people who represent "an absolute idea of the first state of innocence, before man knew how to sin") and it is most evident and plain, that simple nature is the most harmless, inoffensive, and virtuous mistress. It is she alone, if she were permitted, that better instructs the world, than all the inventions of man. Religion would here but destroy that tranquillity they possess by ignorance, and laws would but teach them to know offence, of which now they have no notion." The conception of a perfectly virtuous primitive people, with no law and no religion, is here fully developed. But it was Rousseau who first pointed it as a powerful weapon of offence

against existing civilizations, and gave it the revolutionary shape it assumes in the lines of Burns—

"Courts for cowards were erected,  
Churches built to please the priest."

How little Oroonoko was appreciated as a revolutionary agent may be inferred from his reappearance, under a changed name, in the pages of Dr John Shebbeare, a political writer of Johnson's time on the Tory side. In his single memorable novel *Lydia, or Filial Piety* (1755), written before Rousseau's longer works, he introduces the reader in the very first chapter to "patriotism, heroism, fainting, dying, loving, sentiment, and generosity, all amongst Indians in America." Cannassatego, the noble Indian warrior who is brought to Europe as a foil for the vices of the Bounces, Machrogues, and Muck worms that fill the book, is a counterpart to Mrs. Behn's hero and gains the love of Yarico, a dove like Indian maiden. But he has vowed to visit Europe, and when he meditates postponing the performance of his vow he is thus taunted by his friend Decanessoma "Can it be imagined that violated honour can be received among the *Indians* with applause? Will not the *sachems* pronounce that none but *Europeans* are guilty of that meanness?" Yarico, his betrothed lady, was a very exceptional Indian squaw for she had "a thousand times exclaimed against that pernicious principle of glory which delights in war and slaughter. She conceived it the most unnatural idea which can enter into the human heart, that rage and the destruction of mankind should prevail over the softer passions of love and



friendship, and fame be obtained by what ought to be the horror of humanity."

Imported from savage lands by Mrs Behn and Dr Shebbeare, the "natural man" soon became a naturalized Englishman. Protest was useless, although Johnson uttered a criticism both adequate and final when, on finding the position maintained by Lord Kaimes that virtue is natural to man, he remarked, "This is saying a thing which all mankind know not to be true." (As a hero of fiction, nevertheless, the natural man had irresistible attractions for authors of the Revolutionary period.) He is the Hermisprong of Robert Bage's best novel. He is the Frank Henley of Thomas Holcroft's *Anna St. Ives* (1792). How his primitive virtues might be regained, was the problem of morals, how they might be retained, unsullied by calculation and convention, was the problem of education.) And these problems left their mark, not only on the works of those French writers that felt the influence of Rousseau—Bernardin de St. Pierre, Madame de Genlis, and many others—but also on the innocent schemes devised by the authors of *The Fool of Quality* and *Sandford and Merton*. A new and singular type of hero shared with Mrs Radcliffe's Byronic creations the admiration of the age. Sentimental and philanthropic, he is also entirely independent of the opinion of his fellows, he neither respects nor understands social distinctions, and stalks through the world superior to all its artificial standards. The good he conciliates by a simplicity that discards all false honour, false modesty, and false pride, the bad he disconcerts by the Socratic paradoxes of the nursery. The description

of Frank Henley put by Holcroft into the mouth of Coke Clifton, his worldly rival, illustrates these traits: 'Exclusive of his obstinacy, the rude pot-companion loquacity of the fellow is highly offensive. He has no sense of inferiority. He stands as erect, and speaks with as little embarrassment, and as loudly as the best of us; nay, boldly asserts, that neither riches, rank, nor birth have any claim. I have offered to buy him a beard if he would but turn heathen philosopher. Among the most ridiculous of what he calls first principles is that of the equality of mankind. He derives from Adam, what time the world was all 'hail fellow, well met!' The savage, the wild man of the woods, is his true liberty-boy and the ourang-outang, his first cousin. A lord is a merry-andrew, a duke a jack-pudding, and a king a tom-fool: his name is man!'

The writers who divulged this conception of man in English fiction belonged, for the most part, to the same social circle, and held the same political principles. Thomas Holcroft (1745-1809), the eldest of them, had made good use of his mixed experiences of life among stable-boys and strolling actors in his first novel, *Atopsy* or *the Gentleman Comedian* (1780). But this work, although it contains much interesting reminiscence and some vigorous satire, belongs wholly to the elder school of fiction. It was in his later novels, particularly those produced soon after the Revolution, *Anna St Ives* (1792) and *Hugh Trevor* (1794-97) that Holcroft developed his political creed. In these two works, which are in some sense companion pictures, representing respectively ideal and real characters, are to be found

almost all the doctrines which received consecutive logical exposition in the *Political Justice* (1793) of William Godwin. As Godwin himself admitted his obligations to Holcroft, it is enough to study the outcome of these doctrines in the works of the younger and more distinguished writer.

(William Godwin (1756-1836) had been educated in a creed drawn from the writings of Sandeman, and after passing through most of the primary colours of belief and disbelief, with many intermediate tints, had adopted the theoretic principles of the French Revolution in their most uncompromising form. On these he aspired, almost alone among Englishmen, to build a perfect scheme of Man and Society. The main features of this scheme are well known. "Give to a State liberty enough," he said, "and it is impossible that vice should exist in it." The Throne, the Church, the Army, and the Law, he would fain see gently but rapidly abolished. Man is a readily perfectible being; and his vices and failings, including avarice, jealousy, and hate, would disappear with the institutions that have produced them. His very virtues, in so far as they are partial and concerned with anything less than "the well-being and happiness of every intellectual and sensitive existence," would be superseded. For friendship, gratitude, patriotism, and family affection, a regenerated society would find no use.

(That the sincere upholder of these doctrines should have been a fine writer of novels is the real marvel, much as if a blind man should prove a capable painter. Not that his theories do not leave plentiful traces on his

fictions.) In his earliest novel, *Things as they are or the Adventures of Caleb Williams* (1794) the passages that betray (the politician) are many. The gang of thieves that seize upon Williams after he has fled his master's house, consists of men at open war with the wickedness of society and unwilling to stain the purity of their cause by cruelty, malice, or revenge. The prison in which he is confined is the text of much millenarian discourse. And Collins, the virtuous and amiable steward is a mouthpiece for Godwin's theory of man. Hatred of evil is unreasonable, said Godwin, for the bad qualities of men are forced on them by circumstance, and the sentiment finds expression, hugely undramatic, in the speech of Collins "You know my habits of thinking. I regard you as vicious but I do not consider the vicious as proper objects of indignation and scorn. I consider you as a machine, you are not constituted, I am afraid, to be greatly useful to your fellow men. I am sorry for your ill properties but I entertain no enmity against you, nothing but benevolence. Considering you in the light in which I at present consider you, I am ready to contribute every thing in my power to your real advantage. It is more necessary for me to feel compassion for you, than that I should accumulate your misfortune by my censures."

Yet with all these things the interest of *Caleb Williams* is very real and very well maintained. The character of Falkland, in which all the milder virtues have been overshadowed by the memory of his crime, the sleepless curiosity of Williams in the effort to ascertain his master's

secret, the price he pays for success in the persecution that dogs him unremittingly, together make up a story of an interest too powerful to permit it to be enslaved to a frigid scheme of Utopian politics. The moral of the history and fate of Falkland is contained in one of the concluding reflections: "Of what use are talents and sentiments in the corrupt wilderness of human society?" The tale is meant to enforce this reflection, but in point of fact it denies to the reader much opportunity for reflections of any kind, and keeps his sporting instincts excited by the pleasures and hazards of the chase. The professed moral is as irrelevant as a philosopher in the hunting-field.

In his later novels, especially in *St. Leon* (1799) and *Fleetwood* (1805), Godwin shows a greatly increased knowledge of life and character. For this increased knowledge the author of the *Political Justice* apologizes in two highly conscientious prefaces. "The affections and charities of private life" are eulogized in *St. Leon*, and, indeed, the author has come to believe them "not incompatible with a profound and active sense of justice in the mind of him that cherishes them." At any rate they are "inseparable from the nature of man," and may be used as a stepping-stone to general benevolence. And after all, "it is better that man should be a living being than a stock or a stone." Godwin the philosopher stands rebuked by this discovery of Godwin the novelist. In *Fleetwood* further occasion is given to the enemy of progress to blaspheme, for in that work respect is expressed for marriage. This is an institution which, on the occasion of his own marriage some years before, the

philosopher had recommended his fellow-men "never to practise, but with the greatest caution." A few years before that again he was for total abolition. And now the jealousy that was not, and the marriage that was not to be, are both, it appears, needed for an old-fashioned melodramatic plot. In attempting to justify his inconsistency, Godwin does not plead, as he well might, that effete institutions and characters, such as the king, the priest, and the wife, may be permitted to survive in fiction. His defence is that great changes must be carried out in concert and gradually, it would be absurd for a solitary individual (such as Fleetwood) to act prematurely in the matter.

The novels ushered in by these delightful solemnities are an interesting pair. The supernatural plot of *St. Leon* is something of a weakness, for of mystery Godwin had no sense. The secret of immortality and wealth is conveyed to St. Leon in the most squalid fashion possible by a stranger whom he secretes in the summer-house of his garden. The protests of his children ("Papa, I wish you would not let a man get into the summer-house, who shuts all the shutters, and locks the door") reasonable in themselves, do not heighten the feeling of awe. (The relations of man to society are Godwin's real theme, and he shows power and subtlety in the chain of disaster that he draws from the necessity of secrecy—the one condition of the magic endowments.)

The best of his imaginative work is to be found in *Fleetwood* not so much in the main story, with its stock villain and maligned wife, as in the early reminiscences of Fleetwood and the episodic autobiography

of Ruffigny, where the author displays a sensibility to scenery and a vivid remembrance of the feelings of childhood that would be remarkable even in a less arid mind.

It would be easy to trace the theories and fashions that mark Godwin's novels through many of the works of his contemporaries. One of the best of the tales of Mrs Opie, *Adeline Mowbray, or the Mother and Daughter* (1804), is influenced by Godwin's theories, as well as founded in part on his history; and Mrs Inchbald's two novels, *A Simple Story* (1791) and *Nature and Art* (1796), show the prevalent ideas on education and social convention spoiling the outlines of the work of a real artist. In *Nature and Art* especially, Mrs Inchbald sets herself to exhibit the fortunes of two cousins, William and Henry, the one brought up in a deanery, where "men were paid to tell him how to think," the other imbibing honour and virtue at their source among the savages of Zocotora Island. Returned to civilization, Henry "would call *compliments*, *lies*—*reserve*, he would call *pride*—*stateliness*, *affectation*—and for the monosyllable *war*, he constantly substituted the word *massacre*." The gentle excitement that these and similar habits supplied to the deanery is less easily produced in a reader to whom word-games of this kind are by no means new. But with its continuation the story suddenly rises to almost tragic stature. The scene where William, as a judge, condemns to death the girl he had deceived and deserted is great, not from the boisterous strength of the situation, but from the strength of its telling. That and the character of Miss Milner in *A Simple Story*

entitle Mrs. Inchbald to a very high place among the novelists proper of her day

One large class of stories may, without extravagance, be said to belong, by way of pendant, to the Revolutionary movement. Rousseau had given enormous prominence in his published writings to the question of education, and had maintained that novels, even the novels of his admired Richardson, were no fit diet for the young. Madame de Genlis, whose scheme of education is pure Rousseau, would allow her Adèle to read novels freely until she reaches the age of fourteen, when they must be put away with other childish things. By this means it was believed the taste for them might be broken, just as Sir Thomas More's Utopians guarded against avarice by applying gold to the meanest or most puerile uses. From the general condemnation that Madame de Genlis passes upon novels, the trio of Richardson is alone exempt. In order to supply a more wholesome form of reading for the young, the same lady produced a library of tales she seems to regard it as the obvious duty of a mother to write all the stories her daughter reads. In England a host of writers soon arose to supply the new demand. From the date of Thomas Day's *Sandford and Merton* (1783-89) onwards there was never lacking in England abundance of tales for the young, and it is not a little curious to observe how many of them have a strong flavour of the theories of the Revolution. Rank and wealth are almost always denuded, in these stories, of their fair share of the trappings lent by the imagination. "'Tis only noble to be good,"—a definition of nobility which it is permitted to



those of maturer years to supplement by a study of Burke's *Peer age*.

The School of Terror and the School of Theory are by no means exhaustive categories for the prose fiction of the Romantic revival. Of the earlier attempts at historical fiction and the revival of mediævalism it is most convenient to treat in connection with Scott. The Orientalism that is so striking a feature of the later French Romantic movement is exemplified in England by a single work, the *Vathek* (1786) of William Beckford. This romance is infinitely finer than Walpole's Gothic toy, but it is less historically important. Walpole is a direct ancestor of Scott, while *Vathek*, unless Moore's much later *Epicurean* (1827) be affiliated to it, remained without distinguished progeny. Written originally in French, although not, as Beckford in later life pretended, at a single sitting, translated into English, and surreptitiously published by the author's friend Samuel Henley, *Vathek* has maintained its position as the finest Oriental tale written by an Englishman. The breath of the Romantic movement stirs in it, and distinguishes it in kind from the exquisitely witty Oriental tales of Count Anthony Hamilton, on which it was modelled. The grotesque extravagance of Eastern supernaturalism only tickled the fancy of Count Hamilton; it held the imagination of Beckford. "I tremble whilst relating it," he writes of his story, "and have not a nerve in my frame but vibrates like an aspen." The occidental point of view, whether of humour or scepticism, is in this tale almost abandoned. The author's enjoyment of comic extravagance peeps out,

no doubt, in the description of the great Vathek, who "wished to know everything, even sciences that did not exist," who lost his appetite when overcome with agitation, so that "of the three hundred dishes that were daily placed before him, he could taste of no more than thirty two," as well as in the introduction of the ominous stranger, who was "so abominably hideous, that the very guards who arrested him were forced to shut their eyes as they led him along." These touches, and the like throughout the story, are of French origin, but Beckford's own great merit lies rather in the sublime extravagance that follows hard upon them, attaining its highest in the infernal majesty of Eblis, who makes of the hearts of his victims a receptacle for eternal fire. The stamp of incredulity is on the tales of Hamilton and Voltaire, with them the Eastern imagination is a mere laughing-stock for the Western wit, it is Beckford alone who, accepting the new fantastic domain as his own by right, forgets the world of reality, and raises his palace in the shades. In the same attempt Southey was less successful; and a free employment of the grotesque in the service of serious emotion is a disastrous experiment for little artists.

(With the two romances of the boyhood of Shelley,) *Zastrozzi* (1810) and *St. Irvyne* or, *The Rosicrucian* (1811) this account of the revivalists may fitly close. The sovereign transmutation that the dull, hard stuff of Godwin's doctrines suffered in the crucible of Shelley's imagination is known to all readers of the poems. In the *Epipsychidion* the nightingale pours forth a song suggested to her by the croaking of the

frog. (But in his earlier romances Shelley's imagination is wild and crude, so that they combine more than the violence of Maturin's early work with more than the absurdity of Godwin's complacent dogma.) It is a strange mixture, and an odd world. These lovers, who regard legal marriage as an impropriety, and these villains, whose mildest feeling is an ecstasy of malignity, are types drawn from different schools (Romance, in these works, has once more reached the extreme of its tether, the world of adjectives is exhausted, raptures fall back into the ineffable, agonies into the indescribable.) So monotonous a protest of the inadequacy of language ceases to work its effect, and instead of heightening the situation, serves only to lower the literary art.

## CHAPTER IX.

THE NOVEL OF DOMESTIC SATIRE MISS BURNES, MISS  
AUSTEN, MISS EDGEMOND.

THE world and life were not wholly conquered for the novel when the last of the great school of eighteenth-century novelists laid down his pen. Since the days of Mrs. Aphra Behn and Mrs. Haywood, women had written novels in plenty, but (their novels attempted pictures of life as it is seen through the eyes of men. Even Mrs. Radcliffe, a great inventor in romance, posed her heroines in attitudes engaging or pathetic for appreciation by their lovers and the world at large, (making little or no attempt to fashion them from the heart outwards. Not until the greatest of women romancers arose in Charlotte Brontë was passion represented as it could only have been conceived by a woman. But for the portraiture of the world as it might appear to "a very young lady," intensely self-conscious, instinct with the proprieties and the delicacies implanted by careful guardians—for the description of her social embarrassments and discomforts, less power and less daring sufficed.) The heart may be laid bare without a blush when it has been prepared and inserted under clerical

supervision. To describe the world as it seems to a woman utterly pre-occupied with the thought of how she seems to the world, asked much skill but little courage. (The new departure was taken and the new school founded, in 1778, by Miss Burney in her justly famous novel *Evelina*.)

{The comedy of life, as Fielding conceived of it, made at all times comparatively little appeal to those for whom life was entangled with more manifold restrictions and set within narrower limits. The bluff open-air reality of Squire Western became hardly real when transferred to the literary drawing-rooms of the close of the century.) The fact is that the tone of society and manners underwent a very rapid and complete change during the eighteenth century, and a reaction against the brutality and coarseness so common in the days of Defoe and Swift led to an excess of fantastic refinement; a wave of delicacy submerged the nation and carried it far from its earlier standpoint. The case of the "old lady of family," mentioned by Sir Walter Scott, who read the works of Mrs. Aphra Behn in her youth, but in her old age turned from them in horror to peruse the politer fictions of Miss Maria Edgeworth, is a fair measure of the change in taste witnessed by the century. Jane Austen speaks of the *Spectator* as "a voluminous publication, hardly any part of which would not, either by its matter or manner, disgust a young person of taste." Mrs. Brunton, in her excellent novel *Self-Control* (1811), assigns to one of her characters the heart-felt opinion that "Tom Jones's warmth of heart and generosity do not appear to me of that kind which qualify a man for

adorning domestic life." (Domestic life, rather than the old wild life of the road, became the standard and the subject of the novelists, (it was adorned with a complete literature of its own by the talents of Miss Burney and Miss Edgeworth and the genius of Jane Austen.) The thirty years or so before the appearance of *Waverley*, in 1814, were the years of the triumph of woman, creator and created, in the novel, they were the years also during which Miss Edgeworth and Lady Morgan struck out those first attempts in the portrayal of national character which may claim the merit of having suggested his most brilliant successes to Sir Walter Scott.

("The romance of the tea-table,") which has been suggested as a fitting name for the works produced by Miss Burney, Miss Austen and their school, is not altogether free from an unwarrantable suspicion of disrespect. (It indicates justly enough the area of their domain) a party gathered around a tea-table gave verge enough for the best work of these novelists, it does not indicate the marvellous power deployed upon that humble social surface. Their novels might rather perhaps be called (novels of domestic satire,) the satirical motive and craft are always there but "social satire" is too wide a name for it. (Man, is stripped of the public trappings on which he prides himself, he is bereft of all wider social relations, and (appears simply and solely as a member of a family,) by that let him stand or fall. When they transgress the domestic threshold, and attempt conquests in a larger world, Miss Burney and Miss Edgeworth stray into farce or fashion. Miss Austen, with the sleepless prudence of perfect sovereignty,

is never to be tempted beyond the limits of her parlour. A description of a sea-fight or a murder by Miss Austen, a record of the conversation among a party of ladies around the tea-table of a vicarage by Sir Walter Scott, are gems for which the collector of curiosities may search in vain.

(Frances Burney) (1752-1840) was born at King's Lynn, Norfolk, where her father was organist. She was almost entirely self-educated, and passed her girlhood in London, whither her father moved in 1760, while her two sisters were being educated in France. She began to write almost as soon as she could read, and had completed her first novel, *The History of Caroline Evelyn*, before she was fifteen. But her stepmother was hostile to her literary propensities, and this work was burnt by its author in the presence of her sister Susannah. The writers whose names are enumerated with veneration in the preface to *Evelina*—Rousseau, Johnson, Marivaux, Fielding, Richardson, and Smollett—were doubtless her chief literary instructors, novelists have generally been insatiable novel-readers.

The world of imagination that she had created and inhabited could not be destroyed with the lost work, and Miss Burney pursued in her meditations the further history of the family to which Caroline Evelyn belonged. The result was (*Evelina*), or, *The History of a Young Lady's Entrance into the World* (1778), published anonymously by Lowndes after it had been refused by Dodsley. The story of the sudden rise into fame of the authoress, of the intoxication she found in the approval of Burke and Reynolds and the friendship of Johnson, can be

read in the earlier pages of the inimitable *Diary* Miss Burney had scored the great literary success of her generation.

She thought of turning playwright, but the problem of how "to preserve spirit and salt, and yet keep up delicacy," proved too much for her and she went to work at once on her second novel, *Cecilia* or, *The Memoirs of an Heiress* (1782). Through the intimacy of Mrs. Delany she was next introduced to the court as second keeper of the robes. Apollo serving Admetus was probably more at ease in his work than Miss Burney attiring Queen Charlotte and waiting on Madam Schwellenborg. But the episode yielded some of the best satirical work in her diary. Her two later novels, *Camilla* (1796) and *The Wanderer* (1814), were written for money after her marriage with Monsieur D Arblay. She survived her friends and relatives, witnessed the whole career of Sir Walter Scott, and became a white haired shadow in a world where Tennyson and Carlyle were literary celebrities.

Her first and best novel, *Evelina*, gave a new lease of life to the eighteenth-century school by opening up a new realm of possibilities and showing how the method of the masters might be applied to a more delicate material.) Caroline Evelyn, the heroine of her youthful work, was a girl of obscure birth who, having been disowned by her husband, a profligate nobleman, died shortly after the birth of her daughter. Evelina is educated by a clergyman, and enters the fashionable world to find herself claimed by her mother's relatives, the elderly Madam Duval, who had been originally "a



waiting-girl at a tavern," and the Branghtons, silver-smiths of Holborn. The misadventures and humiliations to which Evelina is subjected by the merciless vulgarity of her relatives during her courtship by Lord Orville form the plot of the story, slight but sufficient. (The intensity with which Miss Burney feels these things, and the fidelity with which she represents them, would perhaps have been unattainable by a novelist of a wider range,) they are natural and convincing from the pen of Evelina, who confides her mishaps to her clerical guardian by letter. Miss Burney is no less caustic than Miss Austen; the Holborn beau, Mr. Smith, with his "fine varnish of low politeness," and the two giggling Misses Branghton with their innocently gross confidences and grosser reticences, are as vulgar as anything to be found in the pages of her greater successor. But she is less detached and impersonal,—she cannot smile as Miss Austen smiles over the rabbit-warren of human littlenesses, at times she seems on the point of forgetting that there is nothing tragic in offence given to a peer's sense of propriety—so warmly does she espouse Evelina's grievances (Social miseries, in all their intensity and variety)—some of them, by an odd repetition, undergone by herself years later at Windsor—surely never had a more enthusiastic recorder } The tortures Evelina suffers have the vividness of a nightmare; they are not exaggerated in representation, but they are so completely isolated, kept so far from the wash of the larger passions and interests of life, that what might have been a dull discomfort becomes a frightful incubus.

The success of her first novel led Miss Burney to

make a much more ambitious and much less successful attempt. The plot of *Cecilia* gives the old pre-eminence to questions of etiquette, social prejudices are exhibited in over-mastering opposition to human feelings, and lose an easy victory only by division among themselves. The love of Mortimer Delville, a scion of a proud, ancient, and penniless family, for the heiress Cecilia is thwarted by the condition on which she holds her fortune, that the man who marries her must assume her name. Here was a situation fitted for Miss Burney's talents and within her competency. But she embroiders it profusely by the introduction of supernumerary characters and strained tragic or mirthful episodes. Successful comedy of manners is objective in essence, and loses its footing when it outruns experience: the figures of Cecilia's three guardians—the vulgar miser, the dissipated man of fashion, and the haughty aristocrat—carry no conviction of reality and exhibit no credentials, although they are set in action prettily enough. The Vauxhall scene, so extravagantly praised by rightly partial critics, wherein Mr Harrel escapes from his duns and shoots himself, is spirited melodrama, but nothing more, there is not a breath of genuine terror in it all. And the fops, wittings, and jargonists are mere types, the products of a busy comical wit that has lost its way. Miss Cecilia Beverley, older than Miss Evelina Anville and a little more self-possessed steers her way through this motley crew with credit and composure, sharing perhaps the feeling of the reader, that she need not disturb herself about them, they are there, playing their tricks, tumbling and shouting, for the amusement

of the public, like mountebanks on a Derby day in the intervals of the races.

Madame D'Arblay never regained the simplicity of theme that marked the best work of Miss Burney, and she lost the simplicity of style that makes of *Cecilia* a sprightly book. Her later novels suffered from degeneration of manner due to the infection of Dr. Johnson's stately English. To attempt the Johnsonian period without a familiar knowledge of the Latin tongue is to practise diving before learning to swim; thereafter there is life to be saved at sea. Her reputation must rest on her two earlier novels, and it would lose little of its stability if the later of these were removed. Her brilliant, shrewd satire and close observation were unmatched in her own time, and she prepared the way for Miss Austen, who subscribed for *Camilla*, and took the title of her earliest novel, *Pride and Prejudice*, from the concluding sentences of *Cecilia*.

There is little to tell about the brief life of Jane Austen (1775-1817). Born at Steventon, a country village of North Hampshire, where her father was rector, she passed the first twenty-five years of her life, and wrote her first three novels, in the surroundings and society that she has made classic. *Pride and Prejudice*, written by the time she was twenty-one, was refused by Cadell, *Northanger Abbey* was bought for ten pounds by a publisher in Bath, and by him kept in a drawer for years until it was redeemed for the same simple sum by the writer's family. To write three novels consecutively with never a prospect of publishing one, bespeaks a calling and zest in the work.

After an interlude of eight years, spent in the wider society of Bath and Southampton, she returned in 1809 to a cottage at Chawton, not far from Steventon, and here, living with her mother and sister (her father had died at Bath), she produced the second trio of her novels *Emma*, *Mansfield Park* and *Persuasion*. Then at last *Sense and Sensibility* written in 1797-8, was accepted by a publisher and produced in 1811. Three of the others followed during the remaining years of her life, *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* were published after her death. She died in lodgings at Winchester, and was buried in the Cathedral.

The late and partial fame that she enjoyed in her lifetime never seduced her into a misleading ambition. Her own words when the librarian of Carlton House invited her to write "an historical romance illustrative of the august House of Cobourg" deserve record. "I could not sit down to write a serious romance under any other motive than to save my life, and if it were indispensable for me to keep it up, and never relax into laughter at myself or at other people, I am sure I should be hung before I had finished the first chapter. No, I must keep to my own style, and go on in my own way, and though I may never succeed again in that, I am convinced that I should totally fail in any other." It is hard to believe that she did not know (the flawless perfection of her own work). She told fairy stories to her nephews and nieces, to the public she gave her version of the human comedy, in six books.

The comparison between Jane Austen and Shakespeare, suggested by Macaulay, is curiously attractive.

Both left scant record of their personal convictions and emotions, indeed the *Sonnets* are a fuller confession than can be gathered from all the letters and remains of the later writer. Enthusiastic students of Miss Austen have ransacked her novels for traces of her affairs of the heart, and of her political and religious opinions, in a manner and with a result that recall the efforts of Shakesperian commentators. There is at least a semblance of likeness in the attitude that each assumed to friends and family, to Stratford and to Steventon; the purchaser of New Place who did not collect and publish his own works may be compared, perhaps mistakenly, with the lady who refused literary society and wrote for her own and her sister's diversion. 'The stirring events of the times they lived in are as little reflected or recorded in the pages of one as of the other. These may be resemblances merely fanciful. What is not fanciful is the sameness of artistic impersonality, of serene abstraction from life, that characterises both writers equally. Of the two, Shakespeare, eternally susceptible to temptation by a gleam of poetry, exhibits the less majestic calm. He can hardly be content for long with the supremacy of an inferior kingdom. "The hand which drew Miss Bates," says Mr Goldwin Smith, in his admirable *Life of Jane Austen*, "though it could not have drawn Lady Macbeth, could have drawn Dame Quickly or the nurse in 'Romeo and Juliet.'" The same hand, had it attempted the description of the murder of the little princes in *Richard III*, would never have slipped into the poetic metaphor that gives to Shakespeare's hired assassins so unreal an air.

The (perfection of Miss Austen's workmanship) has been seized upon by unfavourable critics and used as a weapon of offence. She is perfect, they allege, only as some are virtuous, because she has no temptation, she lives in an abject world, dead to poetry, visited by no breath of romance, and is placidly contented with her ant hill, which she describes with great accuracy and insight. It would be unjust to this type of criticism to interpret it merely as a complaint that one who was of unsurpassed power in comedy and satire did not forego her gifts and take up with romance and tragedy. If it has a meaning worth considering, it means that even the comedy of life has in it shades of pathos and passion to which she is constitutionally blind. And this is to mistake her art. The world of pathos and passion is present in her work by implication, (her delicious quiet mirth) so quiet as to be inaudible to gross ears, is stirred by the incongruity between the realities of the world as she conceives them and these realities as they are conceived by her puppets. The kingdom of Lilliput has its meaning only when it is seen through the eyes of Gulliver. A rabbit fondling its own harmless face affords no matter of amusement to another rabbit, and Miss Austen has had many readers who have perused her works without a smile. Sympathy with her characters she frequently has, identity never. Not in the high spirited Elizabeth Bennet, not in that sturdy young patrician Emma, not even in Anne Elliot of *Persuasion*, is the real Jane Austen to be found. She stands for ever aloof. Those who wish to enjoy her art must stand aloof too, and must not ask to be hurried through her

novels on a personally conducted tour, with their admirations and dislikes prepared for them.

What, perhaps, has led hasty or unintelligent critics strangely to misread her is that she never obtrudes the contrast spoken of above, hardly ever, even as narrator, speaks in her own person. (By the most delicate of irony) she allows the opinions and feelings of her characters to colour her own matter-of-fact narration.) "There certainly are not so many men of large fortune in the world as there are pretty women to deserve them," she remarks, on the first page of *Mansfield Park*. Stupid readers, who ought to be in her books instead of outside them and trying to read them, agree with her, good serious critics, on the trail of fine sentiments, exclaim in sorrow that she says a hundred things like this. But she is thinking of the matrimonial prospects of the three Misses Ward, and putting herself at the point of view of the family, with a certain subtle literary politeness that is charm itself. Her own views on the subject of marriage she does not trouble to explain. But the folly of some of her characters implies the existence of wisdom; the selfishness and pettiness of others involve the ideas of disinterestedness and magnanimity, just as a picture painted in cold tints would lose its meaning if there were no blue and red in the scheme of the universe. To ask for all colours, always, within the limits of the frame, is absurd.

She compares her own work to miniature-painting on ivory, "on which I work with so fine a brush as produces little effect after much labour." (In loving elaboration of detail) she resembles no other writer so much as a special

favourite of hers, Cowper, whose letters display the same voluminous fearlessness in the treatment of the trivial, with a like happy result. To quote her except by pages at a time would be to do her an injustice. (Here are no sudden white-heats of exalted imagination or momentary illuminations of the abysses of human life, but a steady stream of daylight on familiar objects, a perfect proportion, and a clearness that seems to the inexpert to be due to emptiness.) The absolute transparency of her style, the medium in which her creations live and move, is illusive in its nature, her readers can pass from the commonplaces of life to the actions and speeches of her fictitious characters with so little sense of shock, so faint a realization that they are passing from life to a convention that it is not to be wondered at if her craft has been ignored or denied. Art was never applied to average material with so little ostentation and so wonderful an effect. Her characters do not grow in her mind as she writes, but step fully realized from her mind into the book. In the opening pages of each of her novels there occur traits of character which can be truly appreciated only on a second reading. Her close observation and untiring realism might entitle her books to be used as historical documents—authoritative descriptions of middle-class life in the English counties during the period of the Napoleonic war. Only her satirical effects at times betray the freedom with which she is handling and shaping the material supplied by life.

(Satire is the element in which she lives,) It would be difficult to name an English author, except perhaps Swift, whose works are more intimately pervaded with the spirit



of satire. Their methods and scope are, of course, utterly different: there is not a trace of the savage indignation of Swift to be found in all her writing. And yet her power, wielded by a less gentle and submissive temper, would have furnished a very efficient light-armed auxiliary to the war engaged in by Gulliver. She has the true fighter's instinct for the weak point in the adversary's armour, although she exhibits it only in the tourney of a summer's day. It is amusement, not victory, that she seeks, and her feats are like that feat of Saladin in *The Talisman*, who although he could not cleave an iron mace asunder with a broadsword, could cut a veil of gossamer as it floated in the air.]

[In Miss Austen's works the analytic novel, with its interest depending almost entirely on the delineation of character, reached its highest polish } It is not the least wonderful thing about this wonderful lady that her work shows scant traces of development, her first novel is as completely modelled and as perfectly life-like as her last Miss Maria Edgeworth (1767-1849), on the other hand, attained to the novel proper only by degrees, timidly breathing the spirit of life into the dry stuff of copy-books and didactic manuals. In her childhood, spent in Oxfordshire and London, she came under the influence of her father's friend, Thomas Day, and began to reflect on the education of children while she was herself a child. At the age of fifteen she went with her father to Edgeworthstown in Ireland, where the rest of her life was to be passed, and in the same year began to translate the famous collection of letters on education written by Madame de Genlis under the title *Adèle et*

*Theodore.* If her taste for theory was precocious, she had at least sufficient opportunity of studying education as an applied science, for her father, who married in steady succession most of the women that his friend, Day fell in love with, was the patriarchal progenitor of twenty-one children. Under his direction Miss Edgeworth produced her *Parent's Assistant* (1800) in six volumes, and *Early Lessons* (1801), she assisted him also in his work on *Practical Education* (1798). The cares of the household did not monopolise her attention, she studied the life around her, both of the fashionable world and of the Irish peasantry to good effect, and at the age of twenty had written the novel which appeared many years later as *Patronage* (1814). Her *Moral Tales* (1801) were written to illustrate the principles of her father's educational doctrine, but in *Castle Rackrent* (1800) and *Belinda* (1801) she struck out for herself in the two lines of fiction that she made especially her own. Her later stories, most of them produced before her father's death in 1817, the last, *Helen*, published in 1834, contain nothing better than these.

The Irishman and the Scot, long familiar as comic figures to the novelists of the eighteenth century, who had inherited them from the earlier comedy of manners, had never before Miss Edgeworth's time ventured to claim serious treatment at the hands of writers of fiction. The character of Thady Quirk, the old dependent of the family in *Castle Rackrent*, and the narrator of its history was thus a genuine new departure. The reader, instead of being introduced to a conventional comic Irishman with his trite brogue and his every word an Irish bull, is here

made to view the manners and fortunes of the landed gentry through the eyes of an Irish peasant. The character is seriously, if not very strongly, conceived, and the humour of it is maintained far above the farcical nonsense that had hitherto satisfied English audiences and English readers as an adequate exposition of the Irish nature. Intelligent and sympathetic throughout, the book would have augured well, had it found worthy followers, for the future of the Union. But the *Tales of Fashionable Life*, wherein many incidental Irish characters appear, were its chief immediate successors, and the greatest distinction of Miss Edgeworth's method must be that, if his own generous statement may be taken literally, it inspired Sir Walter Scott. "Without being so presumptuous," he says in the *General Preface* to the *Waverley* novels (1829), "as to hope to emulate the rich humour, pathetic tenderness, and admirable tact, which pervade the works of my accomplished friend, I felt that something might be attempted for my own country, of the same kind with that which Miss Edgeworth so fortunately achieved for Ireland—something which might introduce her natives to those of the sister kingdom, in a more favourable light than they had been placed hitherto, and tend to procure sympathy for their virtues and indulgence for their foibles." It was this idea more than any other that gave to the *Waverley* Novels their highest value and prevented them from being a mere repetition in prose of the earlier poetic successes of their author.

All Miss Edgeworth's stories are in effect "Moral Tales," and it is their chief fault that the moral suggests

the tale far more frequently than the tale suggests the moral. Her morals are simple, clear, and hard, and the characters that she puts in action are stiffened to fit them. Even the story of *Vivian*, highly praised by Sir Walter Scott, was written to illustrate the dying precept of an excellent and wise mother, "My son, learn early how to say No!" Often the very title—*Ennui, Manoeuvring, The Absentee*—paralyzes the story by anticipation. "It has been my daughter's aim," said Richard Lovell Edgeworth in one of his prefaces, "to promote, by all her writings, the progress of education from the cradle to the grave." There is no escape from this, save by an early death. And Miss Edgeworth's world, let it be admitted, is a dull place, for human character although it repelled, attracted, and at times amused, never puzzled her in the least. Even complexity of badness is disallowed, and the errors, faults, and foibles that she excelled in depicting are distributed parsimoniously one to each person, for clearness' sake. In the gay world of fashion she shakes off something of her pedantry, and her worldly women are among her best sketches. It is difficult to say what she might have done had she ever succeeded in getting clear of her fetters. The marvel is that so spirited and humorous a series of portraits should have been produced as illustrations to the text of an educational hand book—for so she conceived of her work.

Of the multitude of women who practised the art of prose fiction at the beginning of the century comparatively few followed in the steps of Miss Burney Miss Austen, and Miss Edgeworth. The novel proper, with

every-day life for its subject and realism for its method, has this advantage over the romance, that it is harder for the second-rate writer to imitate it with success. Among the more successful disciples of this modest school Mrs. Brunton ought to be named. Her two completed novels, *Self-Control* (1811) and *Discipline* (1814), have suffered eclipse from the work of her fellow-countrywoman, Miss Mary Ferrier, whose first novel, *Marriage*, appeared in 1818. Mrs. Brunton has little indeed of the humour that distinguishes her greater successor, her purpose is to inculcate and illustrate the power of religious principle, but she endows her purpose with some few living characters, and enlivens it with incident enough to relieve the gentle melancholy of her numerous descriptive passages. Oblivion has a better title to most of its victims.

If the novelists proper of the time were few, the romancers were legion. From the Minerva press in Leadenhall Street romances poured forth in shoals during the years before the appearance of *Waverley*. Of this vast body of worthless literature the single characteristic is imitation, shameless and unintelligent, of the most popular French and English models. Mrs. Radcliffe, Godwin, and "Monk" Lewis, Rousseau, Madame de Stael, and the Baronne de Montolieu (whose best-known novel, *Caroline de Lichtfield*, had been early translated by Thomas Holcroft) furnished the stuff of innumerable silly composites of sentiment and horror. The novel became purely an article of merchandise. Publishers would advertise effective or thrilling titles and find willing journeymen to supply the

tales to order. In this way, if his critic is to be believed, Mr. T. J. Horsley Curties, one of the larger purveyors of shoddy, obligingly contracted to fit a tale to the engaging title, *The Bloody Monk Udolpho*, already advertised by an ingenious caterer for the market.\* Charlotte Dacre, who wrote under the name of "Rosa Matilda," Sarah Wilkinson, Mary Charlton, Agnes Musgrave, and many others found a ready sale for their wares, making wild work of history, ethnology, and grammar in the search for romantic effect.

"Some force whole regions, in despite  
O geography to change their site;  
Make former times shake hands with latter,  
And that which was before come after."

Literature has no concern with these works, which fulfilled the utmost end of their being when they found a purchaser. But it is worth noting that the largest and readiest sale was generally found by writers since forgotten, and that at the close of the eighteenth, as at the close of the nineteenth century the novel of the season, by the very aptitude with which it caught the idle trick of the time, secured for itself a durable oblivion. Where are now the readers of Mrs. A. M. Bennett's first novel, *Anna or, The Memoirs of a Welch Heiress interspersed with Anecdotes of a Nabob* (1785), of which the whole impression sold on the first day of issue? Who has read *Viassitudes abroad or The Ghost of my Father* (1806), in six volumes, by the same lady? Yet two thousand copies of this work were disposed of at thirty

\* *Vide Romance Readers and Romance Writers*, by S. G. (1810). Preface.

six shillings on the day of publication. And the numerous romances of Regina Maria Roche, whose *Children of the Abbey* (c. 1796) is still occasionally to be found in a village library, have suffered not thinking on, with the hobby-horse of many a more modern writer. Here and there, in this desert of forgotten things, a name or a title rouses memory. *The Wild Irish Girl, a National Tale* (1806), of Miss Sydney Owenson, afterwards Lady Morgan, is still remembered, partly for its spirited sketches of Irish life, but more for the social celebrity and great personal charm of its author. Not even the fierce attacks made on her by the early reviewers of the *Quarterly* and *Blackwood* can now inspire an interest in her other romances. They have found what one of her reviewers denied to them, "that last poor plea in palliation of tediousness—an end."

One humble purpose these weak romances served, they furnished the novelists with food for laughter. Wherever they have existed side by side the novel has been the critic and the satirist of the romance. Not the least delightful works of Miss Edgeworth and Miss Austen are those in which they banter the illusions and follies produced by the romances of their time. In *Angelina, or, L'Amie Inconnue*, one of the *Moral Tales*, Miss Edgeworth tells how Miss Angelina Warwick, after corresponding in sentimental ecstasies with an unknown lady called Araminta, is at length induced to leave her home and seek asylum with her friend in a romantic bower in South Wales. The mishaps that befall her on her journey, her disappointment on finding that the sylvan bower is a comfortless dirty cottage, and

the authoress of "The Sorrows of Araminta" a burly vulgar slattern whose real name is Rachel Hodges, are comically described. But the book cannot bear comparison with the more delicate humour of Jane Austen's *Northanger Abbey*. The rude contrast between illusion and reality that is the keynote of Miss Edgeworth's book is far more subtly and humorously rendered by Miss Austen. Isabella Thorpe's catalogue of romances, "all horrid" are proved to be horrid with a fine irrelevancy. "A particular friend of mine, a Miss Andrews a sweet girl, has read every one of them. I wish you knew Miss Andrews, you would be delighted with her. She is netting herself the sweetest cloak you can conceive." And Catherine's experiences at the abbey are as perfectly probable as they are delightful. Not even the temptation of parody can induce Miss Austen to force a single note.

That the romances of the day were read, as well as written, chiefly by women, the plot of these two novels, wherein the heroine has her head turned by the perusal of current literature, may be held to witness. Precisely the same situation is made use of in two more satirical novels, *Romance Readers and Romance Writers* (1810), by S. G., and *The Heroine or, Adventures of Cherubina* (1813) by Eaton Stannard Barrett. In the former of these Margaret, the daughter of the Rev. Edward Marsham, is led into eccentricity and disaster by her taste for popular fiction. Her extravagances are amusing enough. She takes Phelim O Gurney, a day-labourer, for a duke in disguise, and kisses his shirt as it flutters on a hedge, but the author alienates sympathy by the



portentous gravity of her plot, and the dark designs of Lady Isabella Emerson, who supplies the heroine with a packet of carefully selected novels by Rousseau and de Stael in order that her virtue may be undermined in the interests of the villain of the piece, are as absurd as any romantic aberration. Bad novels, if bad they be, should receive a lighter treatment. On the other hand, *The Heroine* deserves high praise for its admirable and diverting burlesque. In this work the adventures of Miss Cherry Wilkinson, who, convinced of her high birth, assumes the name of Cherubina Willoughby, are narrated, without a dull page, in a spirit of the purest fun. Taught by the novels of Mrs Radcliffe, Miss Roche, and the Baronne de Montolieu, she practises all accomplishments suitable for a romantic heroine. Tripping, gliding, flitting and tottering, the only proper modes of motion, she masters. "of these tottering ranks first, as it is the approved movement of heroic distress" The scene wherein she disclaims her father, an honest yeoman, is excellent. "'Hear me, Wilkinson,' cried the fair sufferer, rising with dignified tranquillity. . . . 'Is it possible that I, who was born to be a Heroine, and who must therefore have sprung from an idle and illustrious family, should be the daughter of a fat, funny farmer? Oh, no sir, no thank you'" Her adventures in the squalid parts of London, and her attempt to establish herself, with a retinue of feudal attendants, in a disused castle, are solemnly related by herself, in the third person, with the happiest mirthful effect. The simplicity of the new Wordsworthian poetry comes in for a share of the satire, but on the whole it may be

said that no better winged shaft was ever sped at a flight of romantic daws. Appearing as it did the year before *Waterley*, the book may be taken as a healthful presage of the appearance of the true romance, or at least as a sign that the futilities of the false were in a fair way to be laughed out of existence.

## CHAPTER X.

SIR WALTER SCOTT.

WITH Sir Walter Scott the wheel has come full circle. The threads of this history may well be knit up with the name of one who combined in his novels elements of excellence so diverse and, before his time, so seldom found united. So long as prose fiction adhered to the form of the novel proper, and was ambitious of distinction in character-drawing and realistic incident, it had for formidable rival the drama. In the foregoing pages that rivalry has been chronicled, the victory was won for the novel by the great writers of the eighteenth century. Then came the Romantic revival, and the novel, cherishing new ambitions, found itself confronted with a new antagonist, the themes that had of old been chanted by the minstrel were claimed as his own by the poet. It is no longer a struggle between the drama and the novel, but a renewal of the old battle between verse and prose for the prerogative possession of romantic themes. In this conflict, too, prose was the victor. For the prose romancer could fortify his romance with all the elements that had proved the main strength of

the novel with comedy and realism, reflection and humour, introduced as auxiliaries to the more purely poetical virtue of the main story. The career of Sir Walter Scott illustrates the struggle and the victory. He achieved fame, and "took the bread out of the mouths of the novelists" by his metrical romances, *The Lay of the Last Minstrel* (1805), *Marmion* (1808), *The Lady of the Lake* (1810) in which the historical and romantic interests are at their height, then, turning to prose, he proved that these interests need not be imperilled by the admixture of qualities that are known only to prose. In his works the novel proper and the romance, which had been long coquetting with each other were at last wedded. Since his time the pair joined in one, have asserted empire over a wider and wider domain, the novel, like Bottom in the *Midsummer Night's Dream*, who was anxious to play all parts, has assumed the functions of many other literary forms.

Walter Scott (1771-1832) grew up to manhood while the Romantic movement was in the full tide of its influence. He early became "a glutton of books," especially of works of fiction. Early in life, he says, he "nourished the ambitious desire of composing a tale of chivalry, which was to be in the style of the *Castle of Otranto*, with plenty of Border characters and supernatural incident." He began such a tale, but laid it aside on the success of the ballads which his study of German dramas and romances had moved him to write. The fragment was subsequently published as an appendix to the *General Preface* to the Waverley novels.

His zeal for Scottish legendary lore showed itself in the frequent "Border raids" of the years during which he was "making himself." Again, about the year 1805, he came near to embarking on the novel, writing one-third part of the first volume of *Waverley*. But the opinion of a friend was unfavourable, and Scott was unwilling to risk the loss of his considerable poetical reputation. He turned to verse romance and to editorial and critical work; at last, in 1814, when Byron was threatening, or more than threatening, his popular supremacy in verse, he returned to the old idea, and completed and published *Waverley, or, 'Tis Sixty Years since*.

The poverty and feebleness of his predecessors in the art of weaving a romance on a framework of historical events have caused their number, and even their existence, to be forgotten. Yet Scott was not the modern creator of the historical romance, although he was the first to bring to its composition an adequate knowledge and an artistic instinct. An interest in past history, a desire to revive in fiction the picturesque elements of bygone institutions and customs, were of the essence of the Romantic revival. The practitioners of historical novel-writing, before Scott, were not few in number, although few indeed were qualified for the task. The novels produced by them constitute the silliest, feeblest body of work to be found in the annals of prose fiction. Horace Walpole and Mrs Radcliffe had aimed at the illusion of antiquity, with fair success, but they avoided explicit historical allusions, and did not attempt to introduce into their stories well-known historical

personages. Some of the authors who followed them cast aside their diffidence and, unmindful of the canon that the principal characters of an historical novel should not themselves be historical, paid the inevitable penalty of their ignorance and rashness. In *The Recess, or a Tale of Other Times* (1783-1786), Miss Sophia Lee produced one of the earliest of modern historical novels. The heroine is one of twin sisters, the offspring of a secret marriage, unknown to history, between Mary Queen of Scots and the Duke of Norfolk, the events of her life are represented, with almost incredible effrontery, as interwoven with the lives and fates of Leicester, Burleigh, Essex, Pembroke, Southampton, James of Scotland, and others. Queen Elizabeth herself is brought on the stage, displaying the 'coarse virulence that marks her manners' in the style of an eighteenth century dowager. *The Canterbury Tales*, written later by Harriet and Sophia Lee in collaboration, were praised and utilized by Lord Byron. This earlier novel is an outrage on history and no credit to fiction.

Bad as it is, however, it is better than many of the novels that followed it. The historical novelists who preceded Scott chose a century as they might have chosen a partner for a dance, gaily and confidently, without qualification or equipment beyond a few overworn verbal archaisms. No Gifford arose to break these writers on the wheel, although of them, as truly as of the "Della Cruscan," it might be said that they

"For *cher* and *alyates* only deign to seek,  
And live upon a *salutame* for a week."

A few of their titles may serve to show the range of

their unchastened historical ambition. *Edwy and Elgiva, an Historical Romance of the Tenth Century* (1811), by John Agg, *Gondez, the Monk, a Romance of the Thirteenth Century* (1805), by S. W. H. Ireland; *The Borderers, an Historical Romance, illustrative of the Manners of the Fourteenth Century* (1812), are types of a class. In these three novels Dunstan, Edward I., and Chaucer, among others, are assaulted. In the last of them the Scottish poet Dunbar is introduced as a contemporary of Chaucer, much as if Wordsworth and Dryden should be spoken of as friends and rivals.

To none of these writers was Scott under any obligation. Miss Jane Porter, it is true, authoress of *Thaddeus of Warsaw* (1803) and *The Scottish Chiefs* (1810), claimed, in the preface to a late edition of the former work (1831), that she was the inventor of the historical romance, and that Scott was her imitator. There is no reason for thinking the second article of the claim any better founded than the first, for Scott was punctiliously careful to acknowledge the smallest debts. In the matter of the imaginative handling of history he does acknowledge one debt. In the year 1808 there was published an historical romance, entitled *Queen-hoo Hall*, which had been left unfinished at his death by the antiquary Joseph Strutt, and was arranged and hastily completed by Scott, whose brief contribution to it includes the fine song—

“ Waken, lords and ladies gay ! ”

The poor success of the book led Scott to reflect on historical romancing in general, and his own mislaid manuscript in particular. Strutt and Miss Edgeworth

are thus acknowledged as godfather and godmother respectively to *Waterley*

*Queen-hoo Hall*, which was designed to illustrate English life and manners of the reign of Henry VI., is taxed by Scott with an overweight of antiquarian knowledge, and an employment of "language too ancient." The book is absurdly full of allusions to forgotten customs, but the language put into the mouths of the characters is pure, and when possible recondite, Elizabethan. The conclusion arrived at by Scott as to the right use of archaic diction is fully stated in his criticism on the work of Clara Reeve. "He that would please the modern world," he says, "yet present the exact impression of a tale of the Middle Ages will repeatedly find that he will be obliged, in despite of his utmost exertions, to sacrifice the last to the first object, and eternally expose himself to the just censure of the rigid antiquary, because he must, to interest the readers of the present time, invest his characters with language and sentiments unknown to the period assigned to his story and thus his utmost efforts only attain a sort of composition between the true and the fictitious, just as the dress of Lear, as performed on the stage, is neither that of a modern sovereign, nor the cerulean painting and bear hide with which the Britons, at the time when that monarch is supposed to have lived, tattooed their persons and sheltered themselves from cold. All this inconsistency is avoided by adopting the style of our grandfathers and great-grandfathers, sufficiently antiquated to accord with the antiquated character of the narrative, yet copious enough to express all that is necessary to its interest, and



to supply that deficiency of colouring which the more ancient times do not afford."

The practice that he here recommends is the one he himself followed, and handed on to most of the more modern writers of historical novels. His wide and clear knowledge of history made it plain to him that absolute antiquarian and historical accuracy must be foregone. Earlier writers had flattered themselves that they were teaching history in their novels. In the preface to *A Peep at our Ancestors* (1807), a novel dealing with the times of the Norman Conquest, the author, Henrietta Mosse, remarks that "no small portion of *moral* culpability attaches to that writer, who, for the convenience of his own pen, wilfully represents as true what he knows to be false." From the condemnation incurred by this offence the historical novelists before Scott were saved by invincible ignorance. The things they knew to be false were so few. Scott saw the incompatibility of the aims of history and fiction, and satisfied himself with seeking verisimilitude rather than antiquarian accuracy. The difficulty is a real one; to this day it is hard, if not impossible, for a novelist who lays his scene in the fourteenth century to convince or gratify a student who has enjoyed the writings of Chaucer and Froissart. Such a novel can be rescued from triviality only by genius, which knows how to touch lightly on transitory fashions, and to rest the interest of the story on the unchanging fashions of the human heart. *Quod semper et ubique et ab omnibus* is the saving creed of a novelist.

And herein lies the greatness of Scott. It is late in

the day, and it is no part of the purpose of this history which reaches its goal with the publication of *Waverley* to criticise Sir Walter. Let him be praised in words taken from Carlyle's unworthy essay, wherein the name of "greatness" is refused to him because he had no express message to deliver. "Be this as it may, surely since Shakespeare's time there has been no great speaker so unconscious of an aim in speaking as Walter Scott." He saw life, and told the world what he saw. Has any writer since his time supplied it with a fuller, fairer vision? From *Ivanhoe* to *Edie Ochiltree*, from *Lucy Ashton* to *Jeanie Deans*, from the knightly achievements of the crusades to the humours of the Scottish peasantry,—this is the panorama he reveals, and he casts over it the light of his generous, gentle, and delicate nature. His very style, loose and rambling as it is, is a part of the man, and of the artistic effect he produces. The full vigour and ease with which his imagination plays on life is often suggested by his pleonasm and tautologies, the search for the single final epithet is no part of his method, for he delights in the telling, and is sorry when all is told. The asceticism of style belongs to a different race of artists, the lesser of whom are sadly anæmic. Sir Walter Scott is the first of the modern race of giants in fiction, his rapid series of great novels inaugurates a new era. Let the historian of the novel learn from Goldsmith's art-critic, of other writers he may observe that their work would have been better had they taken more pains, but if he claim the possession of any critical faculty at all he must praise the works of Sir Walter Scott.



# INDEX

## A

*Abrams*, Miss Edgeworth's, 269  
*Acolastus, the Prodigal Child*,  
 81

ADDISON Joseph, 109, 120,  
 123 124, 125, 126, 141 215

*Adèle et Théodore*, Mme. de  
 Genlis' 266-267

*Adeline Mowbray* Mrs. Opie's,  
 248

*Adventurer The*, 218

*Advice*, Smollett's, 184

AGO, John, *Edwy and Elgiva*,  
 280

AKENSIDE, Mark 187

*Alarm against Usurers* Lodge's,  
 70

*Albigenses* Maturin's, 236

Alexander Romance, I 2, 23

Allegory Mediæval, 6, 11 13

Alliteration 33, 47

*Almoner and Harriet* Hawke-  
 worth's, 218

*Alonso the Brave*, Lewis's, 235

*Atwyn* Holcroft's, 243

*Amadis de Gaulle* Romance of,  
 22, 23 27 57

*Amanda, History of* 191

*Amelia*, Fielding's, 167 176

*Anatomie of Absurdities* Nash's  
 72 74

*Anatomie of Abuses* Stubbs  
 72

*Anatomy of Melancholy* Bar-  
 ton's, 22, 36

*Ancient Mariner* Coleridge's,  
 149, 224, 225, 237

*Angeline*, Miss Edgeworth's,  
 272

*Animated Nature* Goldsmith's  
 208

*Anna*, Mrs. Bennett's, 271

*Anne St Ives* Holcroft's, 242,  
 244

APOLLONIUS of Tyre, 1

*Apologie for Pedric*, Sidney's,  
 54

*Apparition of Mrs Veal*, Do-  
 loe's, 129-132

APULEIUS, 1

ARBUTHNOT John, 197 200

*Arradia*, Sanderson's, 57

— Sidney's, 51, 52, 54, 57-  
 63, 75 85

*Arctins*, Mackenzie's 96-99

ARETINO, Pietro, 83

ARMSTRONG Dr John, 186

ARNOLD, Matthew 46

Arthurian Legends, 2, 14

*Arundel*, Cumberland's, 210  
 "ASTRÆA," 107  
*Astrée*, d'Urfé's, 57, 58  
*As you like it*, Shakespeare's, 70  
*Athenian Gazette*, Dunton's, 120  
 "Athenian Society," 120  
 AUSTEN, Miss, 254, 255, 256,  
 260-266, 269, 272, 273  
 —, *Life of*, Goldwin Smith's,  
 262

## B

BACON, Lord, 136  
 BAGE, Robert, 182, 210, 242  
 BANDELLO, M., 27  
*Bank Note, Adventures of a*, T  
 Bridge's, 192  
 BARBAULD, Mrs., 145, 193  
*Bard*, Gray's, 221  
 BARRETT, E. S., 273, 274  
 BEATTIE, James, 187  
 BEAUMONT and FLETCHER, 28,  
 59, 115  
 BECKFORD, William, 250-251  
*Beggars' Opera*, Gay's, 168  
 BEHN, Aphra, 107, 108, 138,  
 240, 242, 253, 254  
*Belinda*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267  
 BENNETT, Mrs. A. M., 271  
*Bentivolio and Uramia*, Ingelo's,  
 117  
 BERINGTON, Simon, 136  
 BERKENHOUT, John, 33  
 BERNERS, Lord, 3, 19, 20, 45  
*Betty Barnes, History of*, 190  
 Biographies, 113, 114  
*Black Coat, Adventures of a*, 192  
*Blackwood's Magazine*, 272  
*Blanchardyn and Eglantyne*,  
 Romance of, 18, 22  
*Bloody Monk Udolpho*, Curties',  
 271  
 BOCCACCIO, 6, 10, 11, 27, 88  
*Borderers, The*, 280  
 BOSWELL, James, 203

*British Recluse*, Mrs Haywood's,  
 139  
*British Review*, 188  
 BRONTË, Charlotte, 148, 253  
 BROOKE, Henry, 213, 242  
 BROOKE, Lord, 51, 52  
 BROWNE, Sir Thomas, 36, 111  
 BROWNE, William, 91  
 BROWNING, Robert, 51  
 BRUNTON, Mrs., 254, 270  
 BUNYAN, John, 93, 114, 115-  
 118  
 BÜRGER, Gottfried, 227  
 BURKE, Edmund, 215, 231, 256  
 BURKE, Sir B., 250  
 BURLEIGH, Lord, 29, 30  
 BURNEY, Miss, 254, 255, 256-  
 260, 269  
 BURNS, Robert, 16, 241  
 BURTON, Robert, 22, 36  
 BUTLER, Samuel, 112, 113, 115  
 BYRON, Lord, 228, 230, 278

## C

*Caleb Williams*, Godwin's, 245,  
 246  
*Camilla*, Miss Burney's, 257,  
 260  
*Campaspe*, Lyly's, 30  
*Canons of Criticism*, Edwards',  
 172  
*Canterbury Tales*, Chaucer's, 6  
*Canterbury Tales*, H. and S.  
 Lee's, 279  
 CAREY, Sir George, 73  
 CARLYLE, Thomas, 50, 257,  
 283  
*Caroline de Lichtfield*, Monto-  
 lieu's, 270  
*Caroline Evelyn*, Miss Burney's,  
 256  
*Cassandra*, La Calprenède's, 91  
*Castle of Otranto*, Walpole's,  
 216, 217, 221, 226, 277

- Castle Rackrent*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267  
*Castles of Athlin and Dunboyne* Mrs. Radcliffe's, 227  
*Cat Life and Adventures of a* 192  
*Cato*, Addison's, 141  
 CAXTON, William, 3, 14, 17-18  
*Cecilia*, Miss Burney's, 257 259, 260  
 CELLINI, Benvenuto, 83  
 Celtic stories, 2  
 CERVANTES, 27, 183, 197 200  
 CHAMBERLAYNE, William, 104  
*Champion of Virtue* Clara Reeve's, 227  
*Chanson de Roland* 2  
 Chansons de geste, 2  
 CHAPONE, Mrs. 146  
*Characters* seventeenth-century 113, 121 138  
 Charlemagne Romance, 1 2, 3  
*Charlotte Summers*, *History of* 190  
 CHARLTON Mary 271  
 CHATTERTON Thomas 217  
 CHAUCER, 3, 4, 6-10, 170, 215 282  
 CHERBURY Lord Herbert of, 113  
 CHESTERFIELD, Lord, 206  
*Children of the Abbey* Miss Roche's, 272  
*Christ's Tears over Jerusalem* Nash's, 76, 85  
*Crysal or the Adventures of a Guinea*, Johnstone's, 192  
 CINTHIO, G., 27  
*Citizen of the World* Goldsmith's, 209, 210  
*Clarinda Cathcart and Fanny Rendon*, *History of* Mrs. Marshall's, 191  
*Clarissa Harlowe* Richardson's, 92, 145, 149, 151 153, 158-160, 161 162, 182, 239  
 Classical authority 35, 36, 37 44  
*Clélie De Scudéry's*, 91 139  
*Clementine von Porcia*, Wicland's, 161  
*Clerk's Tale*, Chaucer's, 9  
 CLEVELAND John, 112 113  
 COLERIDGE, S. T. 149, 159 217 222, 224, 225, 226  
 COLLET John, 25  
 COLMAN, George, 182, 190  
*Colonel Jack*, Defoo's, 135  
 CONGREVE, William 101-103, 112, 163, 177  
*Conquest of Granada*, Dryden's, 104  
*Conscious Lovers* Steele's, 142  
*Consolations against the Fear of Death*, Drilincourt's, 129, 130, 131  
*Central Social*, Rousseau's, 239  
 COOK, Captain Edward, 134  
 COPLAND, William, 21 22  
*Cornelia*, *History of* Mrs. Scott's, 190  
 COWPER, William, 265  
 CROWNE, John, 92, 99-101  
 Crusades, 3  
 CUMBERLAND Richard 210  
 CURTIS, T J H. 271

## D

- DACKER, Charlotte, 271  
*Damon and Pythias*, Edwards' 59  
*Daniel Deronda*, George Elliot's, 41  
 DANTE, 175  
 D'ARLAY Mme. See BURNEY  
 DAVENANT Sir William, 104, 105  
*David Simple*, Sarah Fielding's, 180, 181

DAVIES, Sir John, 46  
 DAY, John, 59  
 DAY, Thomas, 242, 249, 266, 267  
 DEFOE, Daniel, 48, 85, 109, 111, 114, 118, 119, 120, 137, 138, 148, 149, 178, 219, 254, his life and works, 126-136  
 DEKKER, Thomas, 87, 88  
 DENHAM, Sir John, 98  
*Description of a New World*, Duchess of Newcastle's, 136  
*Dial of Princes*, Guevara's, 36  
*Diana*, Montemayor's, 57, 58  
*Diary*, Miss Burney's, 257  
 DICKENSON, John, 49  
 DIDEROT, Denys, 151, 161  
*Discipline*, Mrs. Brunton's, 270  
*Dr Jekyll and Mr. Hyde*, Stevenson's, 224  
 Domestic Satire, 253  
*Don Quixote*, Cervantes', 62, 115, 185  
*Don Quixote in England*, Fielding's, 163  
 DOUGLAS, Gavin, 23  
 Drama, Elizabethan, 29, 56, 57, 87, 88  
 —, the, 103, 141, 276  
 DRAYTON, Michael, 46  
 DRELINCOURT, Charles, 129  
 DRYDEN, John, 48, 57, 98, 104, 105, 112, 113, 119, 215  
 DUGDALE, Sir William, 113  
 DUNTON, John, 120

## E

EARLE, John, 113  
*Early Lessons*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267  
 EAST, Thomas, 17  
 EDGEWORTH, Miss, 254, 255, 266-269, 272, 273, 281

EDWARDS, Richard, 59  
 —, Thomas, 172  
*Edwy and Elgiva*, Agg's, 280  
*Effusions of Sensibility*, Lewis's, 234  
 "ELIOT, George," 41  
*Emile*, Rousseau's, 239  
*Emma*, Miss Austen's, 261  
*Endymion*, Lyly's, 30  
*English Chronicle, The*, 228  
*Ennui*, Miss Edgeworth's, 269  
*Epicurean*, Moore's, 250  
*Eppisychidion*, Shelley's, 251  
*Essay on Apparitions*, Defoe's, 135  
*Essay on Criticism*, Pope's, 211  
*Essay on Dramatic Poesy*, Dryden's, 104  
*Euphues*, Lyly's, 31-48, 49, 68, 74  
*Evelina*, Miss Burney's, 254, 256, 257-258  
 EVELYN, John, 114

## F

*Fable of the Bees*, Mandeville's, 97  
 Fabliau, the, 6, 24  
*Fair Jilt*, Behn's, 107, 108  
 FALKLAND, Lord, 106  
*Fatal Revenge*, Maturin's, 230, 236  
*Female Quixote*, Lennox's, 92, 182  
 FENTON, Sir Geoffrey, 28  
*Ferdinand*, Count Fathom, Smollett's, 185, 187  
 FIELDING, Henry, 77, 102, 103, 109, 111, 115, 139, 142, 183, 184, 190, 193, 200, 210, 212, 215, 254, 256, his life and works, 162-179, 180, 181, 187, 188  
 FIELDING, Sarah, 146, 180

*Flax, Memoirs of a*, 192  
*Fleetwood*, Godwin's, 246, 247  
*Foot of Quality* H. Brookes,  
 183, 213, 214  
*Ferbenius and Frisceria*, Lodge's,  
 69, 70  
 FORD, Emanuel, 89, 90  
 FORD, John, 112  
*Four Sons of Aymon*, Romance  
 of, 18, 22  
 FOX, Charles James, 212  
 FOX, George, 114  
*Frankenstein*, Mrs. Shelley's,  
 226  
*Frank Hammond*, Adventures  
 of, 190  
*Franklin's Tale* Chancer's, 9  
*Frederick and Alice*, Scott's, 235  
 French Revolution, 226, 239,  
 244, 249  
*Friendship*, Norris's, 131  
 FROTHART 282  
 FULLER, Thomas, 113  
 FURTERER, Ant., 114, 115

## G

GENLIE, Mme. de, 242, 249, 266  
*Gesta Romanorum*, 6, 11, 12  
 GIBSON Edward, 215  
 GIFFORD William, 279  
*Gl' Blas* Le Sage's, 185  
 GLANVILLE, Joseph, 135  
*Godefroy of Boleyn*, Carton's,  
 18  
 GODWIN Francis, 136  
 GODWIN William 217, 218,  
 236, 244-248, 251, 252, 270  
 GORTHE, 233, 233  
 GOLDONI, C. 160, 161  
 GOLDSMITH, Oliver 148, 183,  
 188, 203, 206-210, 211, 212,  
 214, 283  
 GOMBERVILLE, Sieur de, 91  
*Gondar, the Monk*, Ireland's, 280

*Gondibert* Davenant's 104  
 GOODWIN Thomas, 125  
*Gordale* Norton and Sack-  
 ville's, 64  
 GOSBOW Stephen, 28, 69  
*Grand Cyrus*, de Scudéry's, 91  
 102  
*Grandison der Zweite* Muscus  
 161  
 GRAVES, Richard 200  
 GRAY Thomas, 221  
 GREEN, Mrs.] S., 271, 273  
 GREENE, Robert, 33, 46, 49,  
 56, 71, 72, 74, 83; his life  
 and works, 64-69  
*Greensworth of Will*, Greene's,  
 65, 67  
 GROCYN William, 25  
 GUEVARA, Anthony de, 36, 44  
 45  
*Gull's Horn-booke* Dekker's,  
 87  
*Gulliver's Travels* Swift's, 136,  
 218, 219, 263, 266  
*Guy of Warwick*, Romance of,  
 22  
*Guzman d'Alfarache*, La Sage's,  
 185

## H

HALL, Bishop, 113  
 HAMILTON Count A. 250, 251  
 HARRINGTON, Jas., 97  
 HARRISON John, 82  
 HARVEY Gabriel, 59, 66, 72,  
 75, 114  
*Have with you to Saffron Wat-  
 den*, Nash's, 72  
 HAWKEWORTH, Dr. 218, 219  
 HAWKINS, Sir John, 171  
 HAWTHORNE, Nathaniel, 232  
 HAYWOOD, Mrs. 138, 139, 253  
*Helena*, Mrs. Edgeworth's, 267  
 HENLEY Samuel, 250



*Henry*, Cumberland's, 210  
*Heptameron of Civill Discourses*,  
 Whetstone's, 28  
 HERBERT, George, 101  
 HERMES, J T, 161  
*Hermisprong*, Bage's, 210, 242  
 HERODOTUS, 1  
*Heroine*, Barrett's, 273  
*Hilyas, Knight of the Swanne*,  
 Romance of, 19, 22  
*Historical Register for 1736*,  
 Fielding's, 163  
*History of England*, Goldsmith's,  
 207  
 HOGARTH, William, 212  
 HOLCROFT, Thomas, 242, 243,  
 270  
*Holy War*, Bunyan's, 116  
 HOMER, 112  
*House that Jack built*, 152  
 HOWELL, James, 43, 114, 214  
 HOWELL, John, 135  
*Hudibras*, Butler's, 115  
*Hugh Trevor*, Holcroft's, 243  
*Humphrey Clinker*, Smollett's,  
 188  
*Hundred Merry Tales*, 88  
 HUNT, Leigh, 219  
*Huon of Bordeaux*, Lord Ber-  
 vers', 19, 27

## I

*Idalia*, Mrs Haywood's, 139  
 INCHBALD, Mrs, 248-249  
*Incognita*, Congreve's, 101-103,  
 177  
*Inferno*, Dante's, 175  
 INGEL, Nathaniel, 117  
 IRELAND, S W H, 280  
*Isle of Dogs*, Nash's, 74  
*Italian*, Mrs Radcliffe's, 227,  
 229  
*Itinerary*, Moryson's, 22

## J

*Jack Connor*, History of, W.  
 Chaigneau's, 190  
*Jack Smart*, Adventures of, 190  
*Jacke Wilton*, Nash's See  
*Unfortunate Traveller*  
 JAMES, Henry, 5  
*Jane Eyre*, C Bronte's, 148  
*Jasper Banks*, History of, 190  
*Jemmy and Jenny Jessamy*,  
 History of, Mrs. Haywood's,  
 139  
*Jerry Buck*, Adventures of, 190  
*Jest-book*, the, 12, 87  
*Joe Thompson*, Adventures of,  
 190  
*John Bunce*, Life of, Amory's, 183  
*John de Lancaster*, Cumber-  
 land's, 210  
*Jonathan Wild*, Fielding's, 167,  
 168, 187  
*Jongleur*, the, 4  
 JONSON, Ben, 53, 88, 106, 112  
 JOHNSON, Charles, 167  
 JOHNSON, Samuel, 50, 151, 152,  
 183, 186, 188, 203-206, 209,  
 212, 214, 215, 218, 219, 220,  
 242, 256, 260  
 JOHNSTONE, Charles, 192  
*Joseph Andrews*, Fielding's, 115,  
 162, 165, 167, 169, 171, 173  
*Journal of the Plague Year*,  
 Defoe's, 135  
*Juha de Roubigné*, Mackenzie's,  
 202  
 JUSSERAND, J J, 41, 43, 67

## K

KAIMES, Lord, 242  
 KINGSLEY, Charles, 213  
*Knight of the Burning Pestle*,  
 Beaumont and Fletcher's, 115  
*Knight's Tale*, Chaucer's, 7, 8

## L

- LA CALPRENÈDE, 91, 92  
*Lady Julia Manderville History of Mrs. Brooke's*, 191  
*Lady of the Lake* Scott's, 277  
 LA FAYETTE, Mme. de, 140  
*Lancelot du Lac* Romance of, 23 27  
 LANGLAND, William, 47  
 LANGUET Hubert, 52, 53  
*Lara*, Byron's, 230  
 LAYAMON 2  
*Lay of the Last Minstrel*, Scott's, 277  
*Lazarille de Tormes*, 79, 185  
 LEE, Miss Harriet, 279  
 LEE, Miss Sophia, 279  
 LEE, Nathaniel, 163  
 LENNOX, Mrs. Charlotte, 92, 200  
*Leone*, Burger's, 257  
*Leuten Stage* Nash's, 74, 75 85  
 LE SAGE, A. R., 185, 191  
 Letters, 114, 118, 147, 149  
 LEWIS, M. G., 217 218, 233, 270  
 LEYDEN John 235  
*Life and Death of Mr Bodman*, Bunyan's, 116, 117  
*Life of Marianne Marivaux's*, 140  
 LILLY William, 113  
 LINACKE, Thomas, 25  
*Lives of Highwaymen*, C. John son's, 167  
*Lives of the Poets*, Johnson's, 204  
 LOBO, Father Jerome, 203  
 LOCKE, John, 239  
 LODGE, Thomas, 49, 50, 64; his novels, 69-71  
 LONGUS, 57  
*Longer Mackenzie's*, 201  
*Love in Several Marques*, Field ing's, 163  
 LUCIAN 136  
 LYDGE, 7  
*Lydia*, Shebbeare's, 241

- Lyf of Charles the Grete Ro-  
 mance of* 18 23  
 LYL John, 64, 84; his life and  
 works, 29-48; imitators of  
 68, 70, 74, 97  
 LYNDEAY Sir David, 23  
*Lyrical Ballads*, Wordsworth's,  
 217 225

## M

- MACAULAY Lord, 261  
 MACKENZIE, George, 92 96-99  
 MACKENZIE, Henry 201  
 MACPHERSON James, 217  
 MALORY Sir Thomas, 3 9, 10,  
 14-17  
*Mamillia*, Greene's, 65  
*Man in the Moon*, Bp. Godwin's,  
 136  
 MANLEY Mrs. 138, 139  
*Man of Fashion* Mackenzie's,  
 201, 202  
*Man of the World* Mackenzie's,  
 202  
*Manuscript* Miss Edgeworth's,  
 269  
*Manon Lescaut*, L. Abbé Pré-  
 vost's, 160  
*Mansfield Park*, Miss Austen's,  
 261 264  
 MARIVAUX, P. de, 140, 148, 256  
*Marmion*, Scott's, 277  
 MARMONTEL, J. F. 161  
*Marpelato Tracts*, 72  
*Marrage*, Miss Ferrier's, 270  
 MARSTON John, 28  
*Martinez Scribblers* Arbuth-  
 not's, 197  
 MARVELL, Andrew 112  
 MARY Queen of Scots, 23  
 MASSINGER, Philip 28  
 MATURIN R. C. 218, 222, 226,  
 230, 232, 236, 252  
 MELNICKER, Brian, 49  
*Mellicent Tale of Chaucer's*, 6

*Melmoth the Wanderer*, Maturn's, 226, 236-238  
*Memoirs of an Island*, Mrs Haywood's, 138  
*Memoirs of Gaudenzio di Lucca*, Berington's, 136  
*Merchant of Venice*, Shakespeare's, 28  
MERES, Francis, 26, 47  
*Micro-cosmography*, Earle's, 113  
*Midsummer Night's Dream*, Shakespeare's, 277  
MILTON, 23, 89, 93, 100, 111  
Minstrel, the, 3, 4  
*Mirror*, Mackenzie's, 201  
*Miscellanies*, Fielding's, 167  
*Miss Betsy Thoughtless*, Mrs Haywood's, 139  
*Miss Fanny Wilkes*, Hermes', 161  
*Miss Sally Sable*, History of, 191  
*Miss Sydney Biddulph*, Frances Sheridan's, 212  
*Mrs Shamela Andrews*, 161  
*Moll Flanders*, Defoe's, 135  
*Monk*, Lewis's, 233, 234  
MONTAGU, Lady Mary Wortley, 160  
MONTAIGNE, 27  
MONTEMAYOR, G de, 57, 58  
MOORE, Dr John, 187, 193  
MOORE, Thomas, 250  
MONTOLIEU, Baronne de, 270, 274  
*Moral Tales*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267, 272  
MORE, Sir Thomas, 25, 136, 249  
MORGAN, Lady, 272  
MORLEY, John, 240  
*Morte Darthur*, Malory's, 14-17, 26  
MORYSON, Fynes, 22  
MOSSE, Mrs Henrietta, 282  
*Mount Henneth*, Bage's, 210  
MUNDAY, Anthony, 22  
MUSÆUS, J C A, 161  
MUSGRAVE, Agnes, 271

MUSSET, A de, 161  
 "Mysteries," 4  
*Mysteries of Udolpho*, Mrs. Radcliffe's, 229, 231, 234

## N

NASH, Thomas, 46, 56, 64, 69, 87, 136, 185, his life and works, 71-86  
*Nature and Art*, Mrs Inchbald's, 248  
*Nature's Pictures drawn by Fancie's Pencil*, Duchess of Newcastle's, 118  
*New Atalantis*, Mrs. Manley's, 138  
*New Atlantis*, Bacon's, 136  
NEWCASTLE, Duchess of, 106, 107, 113, 118, 136  
NORRIS, John, 131  
NORTH, Thomas, 45  
*Northanger Abbey*, Miss Austen's, 260, 261, 273  
*Nouvelle Héloïse*, Rousseau's, 161, 239  
Novel, the—  
 Italian, 6, 10, 26  
 sources of, 10, 113, 114  
 first prose novel, 29  
 "novellets," 64  
 modern, beginnings of the, 110-139  
 realistic, 114, 130, 177  
 "adventure," 136, 218  
 modes of the, 147-149  
 autobiographic, 148, 187  
 eighteenth-century, 180-215  
 Smollett's definition of, 185  
 schools of "terror" and "theory," 208, 233, 236, 238, 250  
 satirical, 212, 213  
 of domestic satire, 253-275  
 union of, with the romance, 276, 277  
 historical, 278-283

## O

- Oceana*, Harrington's 97  
*Old English Baron*, Clara Reece's, 237  
 OLDHAM, John, 112  
*Old Manor House*, Charlotte Smith's, 232  
*Olyder of Castyle*, Romance of, 19  
 OPIE, Mrs. 243  
 Orientalism, 250  
 ORINDA, 106  
*Ornatus and Arctus*, E. Ford's, 89  
*Oroonoko*, Behn's, 107 108, 240, 241  
 ORRERY Earl of, 92, 93-96  
 OSBORNE, Dorothy 92 96  
*Othello*, Shakespeare's, 202  
 OVERBURY Sir Thomas, 113 122, 123  
 OVID, 27 44 74  
 OWENSON Miss Sydney 272

## P

- PAINTER, William, 27 29  
*Palace of Pleasure*, Painter's, 27  
*Palace of Honour*, Gavin Douglas's, 23  
*Palladis Tania*, Meres', 26, 47  
*Palmierin of England*, Munday's, 22  
 PALTOCK, Robert, 219  
*Pamela*, Richardson's, 63, 115, 140, 142, 143, 149, 150, 151 152, 153, 154, 159, 160, 161 164  
*Pamela Maritata*, Goldoni's, 161  
*Pamela Nubile*, Goldoni's, 161  
*Pandion and Amphigenia*, Crown's, 99-101  
*Parent's Assistant*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267

- Paris and Vienna*, Romance of, 18, 22, 23  
*Parisians*, E. Ford's, 89  
*Parthenissa*, Earl of Orrery's, 93-96, 139  
*Parquin*, Fielding's, 163  
*Patience*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267  
 PERLE, George 28  
*Peep at our Ancestors*, Mrs. H. Mosse's, 282  
 PEPTER, Samuel, 114  
*Perceforest*, Romance of, 23  
 PERCY, Bishop, 217  
*Perigrinus Pickle*, Smollett's, 18 190  
*Peruian*, Miss Austen's, 261 263  
*Peter Wilkins*, Paltock's, 183 219, 220  
*Petite Palsors of Petrie his Pleasure*, 28  
 PETTIE, George 28  
*Pharmacia*, Chamberlayne's, 104  
*Philidors and Placencia*, Mrs. Haywood's, 139  
 PHILLIPS, Mrs. Katherine, 106  
*Picaresque*, Romance, 78 79 181 182, 191  
*Pierre Fennillese*, Nash's 77 78 80  
*Pilgrim's Progress*, Bunyan's, 93, 116, 118  
 PLINY 37  
*Polixandre de Gomberville*, 91  
*Political History of the Devil*, Defoe's, 135  
*Political Justice*, Godwin's, 244, 246  
*Polly Hancymbe*, Colman's, 182  
*Polly Wilks History of*, 191  
*Pompey the Little, History of*, F. Coventry's, 192

- Ponthus of Galyce*, Romance of, 19  
 POPE, Alexander, 106, 107, 161, 211, 214  
 PORTER, Miss Jane, 280  
*Power of Love*, Mrs. Manley's, 139  
*Practical Education*, Miss Edgeworth's, 267  
 PRÉVOST D'EXILES, L'Abbé, 148, 160  
*Pride and Prejudice*, Miss Austen's, 260, 261  
*Prince of Tunis*, Mackenzie's, 201  
*Princesse de Clèves*, La Fayette's, 140  
*Promos and Cassandra*, Whetstone's, 51  
 Prose—  
     of Malory, 16  
     of Berners, 20  
     of Chapman, 46  
     Elizabethan, 46, 47  
     transition from verse to prose, 48  
     remodelled, 99, 119  
*Pseudodoxia Epidemica*, Sir Thomas Browne's, 135, 136  
*Public Ledger*, *The*, 209  
*Pudica*, *History of*, R. Gardiner's, 190  
 PUTTENHAM, George, 61

## Q

- Quarterly Review*, 189, 272  
*Queen-hoo Hall*, Strutt's, 280, 281

## R

- RABELAIS, 200  
 RADCLIFFE, Mrs., 161, 218, 222, 227-233, 234, 235, 243, 253, 270, 274, 278

- RALEIGH, Sir Walter, 50  
*Rambler*, Johnson's, 204  
*Rasselas*, Johnson's, 183, 203-206, 208, 219  
*Raven's Almanack*, Dekker's, 87  
 REEVE, Miss Clara, 227, 230, 232, 281  
 Reformation, the, 21  
*Regicide*, Smollett's, 184  
*Religieuse*, Diderot's, 161  
*Reliques*, Percy's, 217  
 Renaissance, the, 12, 13, 25, 83  
 "Renaissance of Wonder," 217  
*Reproof*, Smollett's, 184  
 Restoration, the, 111, 113, 114  
*Review*, Defoe's, 120  
*Reynard the Fox*, 79  
 REYNOLDS, Sir J., 256  
 RICCOBONI, Mme., 140  
 RICH, Barnabie, 49, 51  
 RICHARDSON, Samuel, 41, 63, 85, 109, 111, 139, 140, 142, 171, 172, 178, 180, 181, 184, 190, 202, 211, 214, 239, 249, 256, his life and works, 143-161  
*Robinson Crusoe*, Defoe's, 127, 132-135  
 ROCHE, Miss R. M., 272, 274  
*Roderick Random*, Smollett's, 182, 184, 186, 200  
*Roman Bourgeois*, Furetière's, 114, 115  
*Roman Comique*, Scarron's, 114, 185  
 Romance, the—  
     historical origin of, 1  
     mediæval cycles of, 2  
     influence of chivalry on, 3  
     first English, 3, 15  
     epical spirit of, 4  
     Chaucer's parody of, 6  
     his re-handling of, 7  
     formal character of, 10  
     clerical use of, 13  
     divorce from history, 15

Romance, the—*continued*  
 of Caxton and W de  
 Worde, 17-19  
 antagonism of renaissance  
 to 21  
 revival of, under Mary 21  
 popularity of in sixteenth  
 and seventeenth centu-  
 ries, 21-23 89  
 prose, of Copland, 21, 22  
 cited in *Lyndsay's Dreum*,  
 23  
 in library of Mary Queen  
 of Scots, 23  
 and "papisty" 23  
 impersonal character of, -4  
 supplanted by the Italian  
 novel 26, 27  
 condemned by Ascham, 26  
 Meres on, 26 27  
 Montaigne on, 27  
 transition of, from verse to  
 prose, 48  
 innovations in, 49  
 pastoral, 57, 58 90  
 heroic, 60, 89, 90-109  
 picaresque, 78, 79, 181 182,  
 191  
 seventeenth century 87-  
 109  
 decay of, 117  
 revival of, 211 216-252,  
 276  
 Oriental, 250  
 "of the tea table," 255  
 metrical of Scott, 277  
 historical, 278-281  
*Romance Readers and Romance*  
*Writers*, Mrs. S Green's,  
 271 273  
*Romeo and Juliet* Shake-  
 speare's, 28  
*Rasalynde*, Lodge's, 70  
 ROUSSEAU J J 108, 203, 216,  
 239, 240, 241 242, 249, 256,  
 270, 274

ROWE, Nicholas, 163  
 Royal Society 119  
*Roper Adventures of a*, H  
 Scott's, 192  
 RUSHWORTH, John, 113  
 RUSKIN, John, 62  
 RYMER, Thomas, 93, 113

## B

*St Irvyne* Shelley's, 251  
*St. Leon* Godwin's, 236, 246,  
 247  
 ST PIERRE, B. de, 161 242  
 SANDEMAN Robert, 244  
*Sandford and Morton* Day's,  
 242, 249  
 SANNAZARO, Jacopo, his *Ar-  
 casio* 57  
 SCARRON Paul, 114, 115, 185  
 "School of Terror" 218, 233,  
 236, 250  
 "School of Theory" 208, 238,  
 250  
 SCOTT Sir Walter 33, 129,  
 201 215 222, 235, 250, 254,  
 256 257, 268, 276  
*Scottish Chiefs*, Miss Porter's,  
 250  
 SCURRAY, Mlle. de, 91 92, 96,  
 116  
*Self Control*, Mrs. Brunton's,  
 254, 270  
*Selkirk, Life of*, Howell's, 135  
*Sens and Sensibility* Miss Aus-  
 ten's, 261  
*Sentimental Journey* Sterne's,  
 195, 199, 202  
 SHAKESPEARE, William 26, 28,  
 33, 35, 36, 37 41 45 51 59,  
 70, 72, 75, 215, 222, 223, 261  
 262, 283  
 SHAKESPEARE, Dr John, 187  
 241, 242  
 SHELLEY, P B 217 251-252

SHERIDAN, Frances, 212  
 SHERLOCK, Thomas, 161  
 SHERLOCK, William, 131  
 SHIRLEY, James, 59  
*Shortest Way with Dissenters*,  
 Defoe's, 127, 128, 129  
 SIDNEY, Sir Philip, 45, 49, 84,  
 85, 87, 105, his life and works,  
 51-64, imitators of, 75, 87,  
 97, 100, 101  
*Simple Story*, Mrs. Inchbald's,  
 248  
*Sir Bartholomew Sapskull, Life*  
*of*, W Donaldson's, 213  
*Sir Charles Grandison*, Richard-  
 son's, 41, 92, 142, 145, 151,  
 152, 153, 154-158, 172  
*Sir Degore*, Romance of, 19, 22  
*Sir Launcelot Greaves*, Smollett's,  
 185, 188  
 SMITH, Charlotte, 232  
 SMITH, Goldwin, 262  
 SMOLLETT, Tobias, 139, 182,  
 193, 200, 212, 256, his life  
 and works, 183-190  
*Sonnets*, Shakespeare's, 262  
 —, Sidney's, 52, 54-56  
 SOUTHEY, Robert, 251  
 SOUTHWELL, Robert, 67, 68  
*Spectator, The*, 92, 120-126, 140,  
 192, 218  
*Speculum Mundi*, Swan's, 37  
 SPENCE, Ferrand, 96  
 SPENSER, Edmund, 13, 114  
*Spiritual Quixote*, Graves', 200  
 SPRAT, Thomas, 119, 120  
 STAËL, Mme de, 270, 274  
 STANIHURST, Richard, 51  
 STANLEY, Mrs, 63  
 STANSBY, William, 17  
 STEELE, Sir Richard, 109, 112,  
 142  
 STEPHEN, Leslie, 132, 150  
 STERNE, Lawrence, 183, 194-  
 201, 202, 215, 240  
 STEVENSON, R. L., 51, 224, 232

STRUTT, Joseph, 280  
 STUBBES, Philip, 43, 72  
*Sublime and Beautiful, Of the*,  
 Burke's, 231  
*Sukey Shandy*, 183  
 SURREY, Earl of, 25  
 SWAN, John, his *Speculum*  
*Mundi*, 37  
 SWIFT, Jonathan, 48, 106, 109,  
 136-138, 148, 168, 215, 218,  
 254, 265, 266  
*Syr Bevys of Hampton*, Romance  
 of, 22  
*Syr Eglamoure of Artoys*,  
 Romance of, 22  
*Syr Isenbras*, Romance of, 22  
*Syr Tryamore*, Romance of, 22

## T

TAILLEFER, 4  
*Tale of Gamelyn*, Chaucer's, 70  
*Tales of Fashionable Life*, Miss  
 Edgeworth's, 268  
*Tales of Wonder*, Lewis's, 235  
*Talisman*, Scott's, 266  
 TALLEMANT DES RÉAUX, 129  
*Tancred and Ghismonda*, Boc-  
 caccio's, 10  
 TARLTON, Richard, 74  
*Tatler, The*, 120, 121, 124, 140  
 TENNYSON, Lord, 257  
*Terrors of the Night*, Nash's,  
 73, 76  
 THACKERAY, W. M., 166, 198,  
 199  
*Thaddeus of Warsaw*, Miss  
 Porter's, 280  
 Thebes legend, 7  
 THOMSON, James, 163  
 THOREAU, Henry D., 49  
 TILLOTSON, John, 119  
*Titus and Gisippus*, Boccaccio's,  
 10  
*Tom Fool, History of*, G. A.  
 Stevens', 190

*Tom Jones* Fielding's, 151 163,  
165 167 170, 171 173, 174  
175, 176 178, 181 183, 200  
*Tom Jones Married* 183  
*Tom Thumb the Great* Field-  
ing's, 163  
*Tragicall Discourses* Fenton's,  
28  
Translators, Elizabethan, 1 23,  
51  
*Tristram Shandy* Sterne's, 183,  
194, 196, 198 199, 200, 203  
*Tristram and Gretchen* Chaucer's,  
7 8  
Troy Romance, 2, 7 17  
TYLNEY, Edmund, 30  
*Tyrannic Love*, Dryden's, 104

## U

*Unfortunate Traveller* Nash's,  
78, 79 80, 82, 84 85 86  
185  
*Uranus*, Wroth's, 87  
URFÉ IL d', 57 89  
*Utopia* More's, 136 219

## V

*Valentines and Orson* Romance  
of, 23  
VANBRUGH, Sir John, 163  
*Vanity Fair* Thackeray's, 193  
199  
*Vanity of Human Wishes* John  
son's, 203  
*Vathek*, Beckford's, 250, 251  
*Vicar of Wakefield*, Goldsmith's,  
206-209  
*Vicissitudes Abroad*, Mrs. Ben-  
nett's, 271  
*Vue de Marmagne* Marivaux  
140

*Villette* C. Brontë's, 148  
VIRGIL, 57 112  
*Victian* Miss Edgeworth's, 269  
*Vulpine*, Jonson's, 112  
VOLTAIRE, 102, 251  
*Voyage to Abyssinia*, Lobo's,  
203  
*Voyage to Lisbon* Fielding's,  
172  
*Voyage to the South Seas* Cook's,  
134

## W

WALLER, Edmund, 98  
WALPOLE, Horace, 161 216,  
221-226, 227 228, 230, 231  
250, 278  
WALTER, William, 10  
*Wanderer*, Miss Burney's, 257  
WATTS, Dr. Isaac, 48  
WATTS, Theodore 216  
*Waverley* Scott's, 270 275, 278  
281 283  
*Waverley Novels* Scott's—  
General Preface to, 277  
*Way of the World* Congreve's,  
112  
WEBSTER, John 23, 112  
*Werther* Goethe's, 233  
WESLEY John, 213  
*Watward for Smalls* 83  
WHETSTONE, George, 23, 51  
WHITMAN Walt, 170, 174  
WICKLIFF 13  
WIELAND C. M. 161  
*Wild Irish Boy* Maturin's,  
236  
*Wild Irish Girl*, Lady Mar-  
gan's, 272  
WILKINS, Bishop, 220  
WILKINSON Sarah 271  
*Wild Rumble* History of 190



WILLIAM of Tyre, 17	Y
WORDSWORTH, William, 217, 225, 226, 238, 275	YOUNG, Edward, 163
WROTH, Lady Mary, 87	Z
WYATT, Sir Thomas, 25	Zastrozzi, Shelley's, 251
WYNKYN DE WORDE, 10, 11, 17, 19	Zeluco, Moore's, 193

THE END.

# Mr. Murray's University Manuals.

EDITED BY

PROFESSOR KNIGHT,

OF ST. ANDREW'S UNIVERSITY

---

**THE REALM OF NATURE** A Manual of  
*Physiography* By Dr HUGH ROBERT MILL, Director of  
British Rainfall Organization. With 19 Coloured Maps and  
63 Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 5s

CONTENTS.—The Study of Nature.—The Substance of Nature :  
Energy the Power of Nature.—The Earth a Spinning Ball.—  
The Earth a Planet.—The Solar System Universe.—The Atmos-  
phere.—Atmospheric Phenomena.—Climates of the World.—The  
Hydrosphere.—The Bed of the Oceans.—The Crust of the Earth.  
—Action of Water on the Land.—The Record of the Rocks.—The  
Continental Area.—Life and Living Creatures.—Man in Nature.

"The book is fully illustrated, chiefly by diagrams, and there are thirteen beautiful maps, which have been specially prepared by Mr Bartholomew whose competence for such work is well known. These maps form an important feature of the book, and illustrate, among other things, earthquake regions, isotherms, rainfall, and the evolution of continents. The whole book shows signs of the greatest possible care in preparation, and it is not an easy matter to suggest improvements. It is a valuable contribution to the literature of the subject."—*Nature*.

**THE ELEMENTS OF ETHICS.** By JOHN  
R. MUIRHEAD, Balliol College, Oxford; Lecturer on Moral  
Science, Royal Holloway College; Examiner in Philosophy to  
the University of Glasgow Crown 8vo, 3s

CONTENTS.—Book I The Science of Ethics —Book II Moral  
Judgment.—Book III Theories of the End.—Book IV The End  
as Good.—Book V Moral Progress

"The want, which all teachers of moral philosophy have so long deplored, of some book which they can place in the hands of beginners, now no longer can be alleged. The book covers exactly the right ground, and directs attention to the really important points. —*Academy*

**THE STUDY OF ANIMAL LIFE.** By J.

ARTHUR THOMSON, Regius Professor of Natural Science in the University of Aberdeen, Joint Author of the "Evolution of Sex", Author of "Outlines of Zoology." With many Illustrations Crown 8vo, 5s.

CONTENTS —Part I The Everyday Life of Animals —Part II. The Powers of Life —Part III The Forms of Animal Life —Part IV The Evolution of Animal Life

"The Study of Animal Life' is an able account of the principal facts and theories of zoology written in a style which is too seldom met with in books of this kind. Mr Arthur Thomson has shown that it is quite possible to combine a pleasant way of writing with due attention to the more serious matters treated of"—*Saturday Review*

**ENGLISH COLONIZATION AND EM-**

**PIRE.** By A. CALDECOTT, Sometime Fellow and Dean of St John's College, Cambridge, Professor of Philosophy and Logic, King's College, London. Coloured Maps and Plans. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d. A New Edition—with a New Chapter, bringing the work entirely up to date. By F. A. KIRKPATRICK, M A

CONTENTS —Chap I Introduction —II. Pioneer Period —III International Struggle.—IV Development and Separation of America —V The English in India —VI Reconstruction and Fresh Development —VII Government of the Empire —VIII Trade and Trade Policy —IX Supply of Labour —X Native Races —XI Education and Religion —XII General Reflections —Appendix Books of Reference

"Alike in execution and design it is well nigh irreproachable. We regret that we have not space to outline more fully the scope of Mr Caldecott's really brilliant book."—*Colonies and India*

**FRENCH LITERATURE.** By H G KEENE,

Hon M A, Oxon Cheap Edition Crown 8vo, 2s

CONTENTS —Introduction —Chap I The Age of Infancy (a Birth)—I The Age of Infancy (b Growth)—II The Age of Adolescence (XVIth Cent) —III The Age of Glory Poetry —IV The Age of Glory Prose —V, VI The Age of Reason.—VII The Age of "Nature"—VIII Source of Modern French Literary Art Poetry —IX Sources of Prose Fiction

"Mr Keene proves his fitness for the task he has undertaken not only by the thorough mastery of his subject he everywhere displays, but also by the agreeable manner in which he makes his stores of knowledge available for his readers"—*Practical Teacher*

**THE RISE OF THE BRITISH DOMINION IN INDIA**, *from the early days of the East India Company to the Conquest of the Panjab.* By SIR ALFRED LYALL, K.C.B. With Coloured Maps. Crown 8vo 4s 6d

"N student should be without this excellent instructor in the technicalities of the many phases through which our empire has passed. —*Daily Telegraph*.

**THE FRENCH REVOLUTION** By C. E. MALLET Balliol College Oxford. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

"A short and elementary historical work, which is admirably accurate and singularly interesting; M Mallet deals with the French Revolution down to the establishment of the Directory in something less than three hundred pages, and he puts his facts with clearness and unobtrusiveness which makes his book as unlike the ordinary dry-as-dust manual as it can possibly be. We can emphatically praise this spirited, concise and accurate sketch of the most striking period of modern history. —*Spectator*.

**AN INTRODUCTION TO MODERN GEOLOGY** By R. D. ROBERTS, Sometime Fellow of Clare College, Cambridge; Fellow of University College London; Secretary to the Cambridge and London University Extension Syndicate. With Coloured Maps and Illustrations. Crown 8vo 3s

CONTENTS.—Part I. Progress of Geological Thought.—Part II. Destruction of Land.—Part III. Construction of Land.—Part IV. Evolution of Land Areas.

"Admirable in every way—in arrangement, in compactness, in thoroughness, and in the interesting manner in which the subject is handled." —*Scotsman*.

**CHAPTERS IN MODERN BOTANY** By PATRICK GEDDER, Professor of Botany University College, Dundee. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d

CONTENTS.—Chap. I. Pitcher Plants.—II Pitcher Plants (*continued*)—III. Other Insectivorous Plants. Difficulties and Criticisms.—IV. Movement and Nervous Action in Plants.—V. Movements of Plants (*continued*).—VI. The Web of Life.—VII. Relation between Plants and Animals.—VIII. Spring and its Studies; Geographical Distribution and World Landscapes; Seedling and Bud.—IX. Leaves.—X. Suggestions for further Study

"A most charmingly written account of some of the more striking phenomena of plant life. —*Daily Chronicle*.

**LOGIC, INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE.**

By WILLIAM MINTO, Late Professor of Logic and Literature,  
University of Aberdeen With Diagrams Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d

"Professor Minto has made a 'dry' subject more than interesting by the vivacity of his style and the freshness of his illustrations and quotations."—*Westminster Review*

**HISTORY OF RELIGION.** A Sketch of Primitive Beliefs and Practices, and of the Origin and Character of the Great Systems. By ALLAN MENZIES, D D, Professor of Biblical Criticism in the University of St. Andrews. Crown 8vo, 5s

"Professor Menzies must take a high rank among those explorers in a field of study where fresh discoveries are being made every year. His 'History of Religion' will be found a valuable help to those who wish to acquire some knowledge of comparative beliefs"—*Daily Chronicle*

**LATIN LITERATURE** By J W. MACKAIL, Balliol College, Oxford Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

"To write upon a very large subject a book at once extremely short and extremely good, is a rare achievement. It is more especially difficult to do so when the subject to be treated is one about which a great many people know a good deal, and to which almost all educated men have given no small amount of attention. This, however, is the feat which has been performed by the author of this admirable little manual"—*Spectator*

"Without a doubt the best and most complete work of its kind in English"—*Daily News*

**OUTLINES OF ENGLISH LITERATURE.**

By WILLIAM RENTON With Illustrative Diagrams. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

First Period The Old English Metric Chronicle, 600-1350 — The Renaissance, 1350-1500 — The Reformation, 1550-1600 — The Romantic Drama, 1550-1650 Second Period The Serious Age, 1600-1700 — The Age of Variety, 1650-1750 — The Sententious Age, 1700-1800 — The Sympathetic Age, 1800-1900.—The Literature of America

"A useful introduction to its subject, and a valuable addition to the series in which it appears"—*Scotsman*

**SHAKSPERE AND HIS PREDECESSORS IN THE ENGLISH DRAMA.** By F S BOAS, Professor of English Literature, Queen's College, Belfast. Crown 8vo, 6s Library Edition, on larger paper, 7s. 6d

"It is impossible to part with this work without a word of cordial congratulation to the author on the vigour of his style, the originality of some of his views and theories, and the painstaking appreciation he has brought to bear on his subject"—*Morning Post*

## THE JACOBAN POETS By EDMUND GOSSE. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

"None can read this brief but comprehensive treatise on a brilliant episode in English letters without increasing their own knowledge of the period and their appreciation of its exponent's critical acumen and research. —*Daily Telegraph*.

## GREECE IN THE AGE OF PERICLES By A. J. GRANT King's College, Cambridge, and Staff Lecturer in History to the University of Cambridge. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

The Essentials of Greek Civilization.—The Religion of the Greeks.—Sparta, Argos, Corinth Thebes.—The Earlier History of Athens.—The Rivalry of Athens and Sparta.—Civil Wars in Greece. The Athenian Democracy —Pericles: His Policy and his Friends.—Society in Greece.—From the Outbreak of the Peloponnesians to the Death of Pericles.—The Peloponnesian War

"We cordially commend the book to all classes of readers. —*Speaker*.

## THE USE AND ABUSE OF MONEY By W. CUNNINGHAM, D.D. Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, Professor of Economic Science, King's College, London. Crown 8vo, 3s

Part I. Social Problems.—Part II. Practical Questions.—Part III. Personal Duty

To bring political economy down from the region of abstraction as Dr Cunningham does, and to make it applicable to individual conduct, is not only perfectly legitimate but most fruitful and useful form of study. The whole book is worth reading, but especially the last chapters. —*British Weekly*.

## A HISTORY OF ASTRONOMY By ARTHUR BERRY M.A., Fellow of King's College, Cambridge. With over One Hundred Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 6s.

"Supplies in very satisfactory way decided desideratum. —*Athenaeum*.  
An admirable volume, one of the best of the series. —*St. James's Gazette*

## THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE SENSES By JOHN MCKENDRICK, Professor of Physiology in the University of Glasgow, and DR. SNODGRASS Physiological Laboratory Glasgow With Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 4s 6d.

I. Touch, Taste, and Smell.—II. The Sense of Sight.—III. Sound and Hearing

"Written on strictly scientific lines, and yet with enviable lucidity and with a constant appeal to simple illustrative experiments. —*Speaker*

## THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE BEAUTIFUL. Part I. By Professor KNIGHT, University of St. Andrews. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

Introductory — Prehistoric Origins — Oriental Art and Speculation — The Philosophy of Greece — The Neoplatonists — The Græco-Roman Period — Mediævalism — The Philosophy of Germany — The Philosophy of France — The Philosophy of Italy — The Philosophy of Holland — The Philosophy of Britain — The Philosophy of America

## THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE BEAUTIFUL. Part II. By Professor KNIGHT, University of St. Andrews. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

Prolegomena — The Nature of Beauty — The Ideal and the Real — Inadequate or Partial Theories — Suggestions towards a more complete Theory of Beauty — Art, its Nature and Functions — The Correlation of the Arts — Poetry — Music. — Architecture — Sculpture — Painting — Dancing — APPENDIX A Russian Aesthetic — B Danish Aesthetic

"Professor Knight sets forth an ideal philosophy of art, but his book has the special value of being less a partial treatise than a general survey of the literature of its subject, less a rounded doctrine than a suggestive and stimulating introduction to profounder studies. As such, it takes a prominent place in the series in which it appears, and recommends itself to a general reader by the simplicity of its teaching and by its full provision of references whereby a student of it may go further for himself."—*Scotsman*

## ELEMENTS OF PHILOSOPHY. By GEORGE CROOM ROBERTSON, late Grote Professor, University College, London. Edited from Notes of Lectures delivered at the College, 1870-1892, by (Mrs) C A FOLEY RHYS DAVIDS, M.A. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

## ELEMENTS OF PSYCHOLOGY. By GEORGE CROOM ROBERTSON, late Grote Professor, University College, London. Edited from Notes of Lectures delivered at the College, 1870-1892, by (Mrs) C A FOLEY RHYS DAVIDS, M.A. Crown 8vo, 3s 6d

"The late Professor Croom Robertson was a very lucid exponent of the history of Philosophic thought, and it is therefore a matter for congratulation that the editor of this volume has been able to give us, through the aid of MS notes left by Robertson himself, and through the loan of note books by former students, some of the most important lectures that he delivered. Robertson's writings are singularly clear, and the work of editing these volumes has been executed with skill and judgment."—*Daily Chronicle*

Telegraphic Address—  
GUIDEBOOK, LONDON

MR MURRAY'S  
CATALOGUE OF  
EDUCATIONAL  
WORKS

---

LONDON  
JOHN MURRAY 504, ALBEMARLE STREET W  
1903



BOYLE, SON & WATCHURST,  
PRINTERS,  
3, 4 AND 5 WARWICK SQUARE E.C.

# MR MURRAY'S CATALOGUE OF EDUCATIONAL WORKS

---

---

## MR. MURRAY'S HOME AND SCHOOL LIBRARY

Edited by LAURIE MAGNUS M.A.  
MAGDALEN COLLEGE, OXFORD.

This Library which will ultimately cover in convenient and attractive volumes, wide field of human knowledge, is intended A for the general reader B for the special student of Literature or Technology. The line of demarcation is not absolutely rigid, for all the volumes in the Library have an educational aim, and many are adapted to definite examinations. But while the A Series will be found of service to the general reader for the purpose of self-information, as well as to the special student of the subject which it treats, the B Series is designed more strictly for use in schools and classes.

The volumes are illustrated by maps, diagrams, &c. The following are now ready:—

### A SERIES.

**FIRST MAKERS OF ENGLAND.** Julius Oscar King  
Arthur Alfred the Great. By Lady MAGNUS, Author of  
"Boys of the Bible, etc. With Illustrations. Fcap 8vo. 12. 6d.

The volume is based on the recommendations for history teaching made by Professor Walters at the invitation of the School Board for London.

In her hands the old legends lose nothing of their beauty in force or power of inspiration. Contrast this to interest both the children for whom it is primarily intended and children of larger growth. The novel teaching which forms the basis of the work, though not intended, is beyond praise."

*Edinburgh Times*

HOME AND SCHOOL LIBRARY—*continued***A SHORT HISTORY OF COINS AND CURRENCY**

By Lord AVEBURY, FRS, &c With many Illustrations  
F'cap 8vo 2s

" a treatise as fascinating as a romance."—*Outlook*

**PLATO'S 'REPUBLIC'** By Prof LEWIS CAMPBELL, Hon  
Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford With Illustrations F'cap 8vo 2s

Professor Campbell has done good service in writing this excellent book."—*Spectator*

' An excellent addition to the Home and School Library The sketch  
of the purpose and development of Plato's work is admirable "—*Morning Post*

**INTRODUCTION TO POETRY** (Poetic Expression, Poetic  
Truth, the Progress of Poetry) By LAURIE MAGNUS,  
MA F'cap 8vo 2s

' from beginning to end it is excellent and the delightful style the  
breadth and incisiveness of view the sidelights which it opens upon life and  
thought and the frequently deep philosophy which is attractively veiled in the  
Author's persuasive rhetoric make it at times fascinating —*School World*

He has brought to the task a critical taste and judgment almost as refined  
and often as illuminating, as that of Ruskin "—*Yorkshire Post*

**HEROES OF THE WEST, A BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH OF  
MODERN HISTORY**, by the Rev A J and Mrs CARLYLE and  
F S MARVIN, MA 2 vols

Vol I With four Illustrations F'cap 8vo 2s

**THE FACE OF NATURE** Popular Readings in Elementary  
Science By the Rev C T OVENDEN, DD, Canon of St  
Patrick's, Rector of Enniskillen With numerous Illustrations  
F'cap 8vo 2s*IN ACTIVE PREPARATION***MUSIC** By A KALISCH, BA**TENNYSON'S 'ÆNONE'** By LAURIE MAGNUS, MA**ANIMAL LIFE** By W B BOTTOMLEY, Professor of Botany at  
King's College, London University, and Professor of Biology at the  
Royal Veterinary College**PLANT LIFE** By W B BOTTOMLEY**ARCHITECTURE** By CECIL HEADLAM Author of "Nuremberg"  
and "Chartres," in the *Medieval Towns* Series, etc

## HOME AND SCHOOL LIBRARY—continued

## B SERIES

**ALGEBRA. Part I.** By R. M. LANGLEY, M.A. Senior Mathematical Master Modern School, Bedford, and S. R. N. BRADLY, M.A., Mathematical Master Modern School, Bedford. Fcap 8vo. 12. 6d.

This volume is specially adapted to the requirements of the First Stage of the Directory of the Board of Education, South Kensington.

Professor JOHN PERRY of the Royal College of Science, South Kensington, writes— "I never do praise a book unless I believe it to be good. Your Algebra regarded as a book for boy's school is very much indeed. I cannot imagine an Algebra prepared for schools in general, and especially for use by teachers in general, which would come nearer to my notion of what an Algebra ought to be, than yours."

**Part II.** (with Chapter on Graphs). Adapted to the Requirements of the Second Stage of the Directory of the Board of Education. By R. M. LANGLEY and S. R. N. BRADLY. Fcap 8vo. 2s.

**A FIRST COURSE OF PRACTICAL SCIENCE**, with full directions for experiments and numerous Exercises. By J. H. LEONARD B.Sc. Lond. With Preface by the late Dr GLADSTONE, F.R.S. Fcap 8vo. 12. 6d.

This is an admirable little book. The great point of Mr Leonard's book is that he writes, as do too few instructors, for the absolutely ignorant pupil, and that unfortunately being is the one who is too often not considered. In all the experiments he gives the reason why. Such books as the one before us should be scattered broadcast. — *The Lancet*.

The author evidently understands the difficulties which meet a young boy who is starting on a course of experimental Science. — *Journal of Education*.

**A FIRST COURSE OF CHEMISTRY** By J. H. LEONARD Author of "A First Course of Practical Science." With numerous Diagrams. Fcap 8vo. 12. 6d.

An excellent little book for young students, expanded with admirable simplicity and good order. valuable introduction to experimental knowledge of the constitution of things. — *Scientific*.

**ELECTRIC WIRING** A Primer for the use of Workmen and Students. By W. C. CLINTON B.Sc. (Lond.) Demonstrator in the Ponder Laboratory University College, London. With 80 Illustrations and a selection of worked examples. Fcap 8vo. 12. 6d.

Written with particular reference to the requirements of the examinations of the City and Guilds of London Institute.

It is written in simple and comprehensive language, free from technicalities, except such as are duly explained in the course of the text. The sections on lighting being exceptionally good. This little work, replete with useful information to those engaged in electrical work. — *Electrician*.

**GEOMETRY** An Elementary Treatise on the Theory and Practice of Euclid. Having in view the New regulations of the Oxford and Cambridge Local, the London M. B. translation, The Board of Education, and other Examinations. By S. O. ANDREW M.A. Head Master of Whitgift Grammar School, Croydon. Fcap 8vo. 2s.

## IN ACTIVE PREPARATION

**TELEGRAPHS AND TELEPHONES.** By Sir W. H. PREECE, K.C.B., etc. sometime President of the Institute of Civil Engineers.

**THE CALCULUS FOR ARTISANS.** By Prof O. HENRICI, F.R.S. etc.

# MR MURRAY'S NEW SERIES OF SECONDARY EDUCATION TEXT-BOOKS.

EDITED BY LAURIL MAGNUS, M.A.,  
Magdalen College, Oxford

**COMMERCIAL FRENCH** In Two Parts By W MANSFIELD POOLE, M.A. Instructor in French at the Royal Naval College Osborne, formerly Assistant Master at Merchant Taylors' School, and MICHEL BECKLER, Professor at the Ecole Alsacienne, Paris, Author of "L'Allemand Commercial, and Lectures Pratiques d'Allemand Moderne." With a Map in each Volume Crown 8vo 2s 6d each

**BRITAIN OVER THE SEA** A Reader for Schools. Compiled and edited by ELIZABETH LEE, Author of "A School History of English Literature" etc., Editor of "Cowper's Task and Minor Poems," etc. With Four Maps of the British Empire at different periods. Crown 8vo 2s 6d

**COMMERCIAL KNOWLEDGE** A Manual of Business Methods and Transactions By ALGERNON WARREN. Crown 8vo 2s 6d

**INTERMEDIATE FRENCH GRAMMAR AND OUTLINES OF SYNTAX**, with Historical Notes By G. H. CLARKE, M.A., of Hymers College, Hull, and L. R. TANQUERAY, B.ès L. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

In short we like it much for it is full without confusion correct without pedantry, and modern without vulgarity. —*Guardian*

**ARITHMETIC MADE EASY** Lectures on method, with Illustrations for Teachers and Pupils By MABEL A. MARSH. Crown 8vo 2s

This manual applies the heuristic method to arithmetic teaching, and is especially recommended for use in Training Colleges and for all members of the teaching profession.

**COMMERCIAL GERMAN** In Two Parts By GUSTAV HEIN, University of Berlin, and Lecturer in German (Honours) to the University of Aberdeen, and MICHAEL BECKLER

PART I with a Map. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

PART II *In the Press*

**FRENCH COMMERCIAL CORRESPONDENCE** By Professor CHARLES GLAUSER, and W. MANSFIELD POOLE, M.A. Crown 8vo 4s 6d

Both as a handbook and as a work of reference, the work seems well calculated to supply the needs of advanced students, and to be of extreme utility to persons already engaged in the practice of commercial pursuits. —*Guardian*

## SECONDARY EDUCATION TEXT BOOKS—

*continued*

**CHRONIQUE DU RÈGNE DE CHARLES IX.** By PROSPER MÉRIMÉE. Prepared and Edited for the use of Schools by Professor E. EST WINKLEY M.A., University College, Nottingham. With Historical and brief Grammatical Notes, and Critical Essay. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

This volume which will be followed by School editions of *Le Comte de Montmorency* by the same editor, and by other French and German texts, is prepared according to the most approved methods of modern language teaching, and may confidently be recommended as the attention of masters and students.

**GRAMMAIRE FRANÇAISE.** A FRENCH GRAMMAR, WITH EXERCISES. For the use of the Middle Classes in Schools. By W. MANSFIELD POOLE, M.A. and MICHEL BECKER. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

**THE SOIL.** AN INTRODUCTION TO THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF THE GROWTH OF CROPS. By A. D. HALL, M.A. (Oxon.) Director of the Rothamsted Station (Lawes Agricultural Trust), formerly Principal of the South-Eastern Agricultural College. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

**ELEMENTS OF POLITICAL ECONOMY.** By JAMES BONAR, M.A., LL.D. Author of *Malthus and his Work*, *Philosophy and Political Economy* &c. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

**ESSAY WRITING.** For Schools. A Practical Exposition of the Principles of this form of Composition, illustrated by Models and by Examples drawn from the English Classics and designed to meet the Requirements of the Public Examinations. By L. COVE CORNFORD. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

**A COMPANION TO FRENCH VERBS.** With Poems for Recitation. By the Rev H. J. CHAYTOR, M.A., Author of *The Troubadours of Dante*. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

**UN ÉPISEDE DE WATERLOO** (Extrait de la Charte des de Paris) Par STENDHAL. Adapted and Edited by M. ERIC A. GIBSON, B.Phil., Public Examiner (England and Scotland) in French Language and Literature. Crown 8vo. 2s.

**THEORIES OF TAXATION.** By G. ARMITAGE SMITH, M.A., Principal of the Birkbeck Institute. [In the Press.]

**MERCANTILE LAW.** By SIDNEY HUMPHRIES B.A., LL.D. Principal of the City of London College. [In the Press.]

**FRENCH AND GERMAN PICTURE VOCABULARY,** in Phonetic and Ordinary Transcripts. By W. MANSFIELD POOLE, M.A. Crown 8vo. [In the Press.]

OTHER VOLUMES TO FOLLOW

# MURRAY'S HANDY CLASSICAL MAPS.

A NEW SYSTEM

Edited by G. B. GRUNDY, M.A.,

BRASENOSE COLLEGE, OXFORD

These Maps have been recognised as the best and most convenient in existence for the use of scholars and students at the universities and upper classes of schools

The old method of engraving and hatching the mountain ranges has been exchanged for that of colouring the contours with flat brown and green tints, which is now recognised as the best and most intelligible way of denoting the configuration of the land. A separate Index is included with each Map

## LIST OF MAPS IN THE SERIES

GRÆCIA	{ Northern Greece South and Peloponnesus }	Two sheets in one case, 3s cloth, 1s 6d net, paper
GALLIA	- - - - -	One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
BRITANNIA	- - - - -	One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
HISPANIA	- - - - -	One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
ITALIA	{ Northern Italy South and Sicily }	Two sheets in one case, 3s cloth, 1s 6d net, paper
GERMANIA, RHÆTIA, ILLYRIA, MOESIA, etc	}	One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
PALESTINE, SYRIA, and part of MESOPOTAMIA, and a Map showing St Paul's Voyages	}	Three Maps on one sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
THE ROMAN EMPIRE (at different epochs)	}	Two Maps on one sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper
The EASTERN EMPIRES including EGYPT	}	Two Maps on one sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net paper [JUST OUT
* ASIA MINOR - - - -		One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper [JUST OUT
MARE ÆGÆUM - - - -		One sheet, 2s cloth, 1s net, paper [IN PROGRESS

An Index is bound in each case

" are admirable, and will prove of great assistance to students of ancient history. We have before warmly praised the colour system of the maps and we need only say of this one (Græcia) that it will help those that use it to realize the relations and circumstances of the Ancient Greek States far better than any other map with which we are acquainted. —*Educational Times*

\* Edited by Mr J. G. C. Anderson, Christ Church, Oxford

# THE PROGRESSIVE SCIENCE SERIES

*Large 8vo cloth extra 6s per volume*

---

## NOW READY

**THE STUDY OF MAN: An Introduction to Ethnology** By PROFESSOR A. C. HADDON D.Sc. M.A., M.R.I.A. Illustrated.

**THE GROUNDWORK OF SCIENCE** By ST. GEORGE MIVART M.D. F.R.S.

**EARTH SCULPTURE.** By PROF. MORRIS OSBORN, LL.D., F.R.S. Illustrated.

**RIVER DEVELOPMENT** As Illustrated by the Rivers of North America By PROFESSOR L. C. RUSSELL. Illustrated.

**VOLCANOES.** By PROFESSOR BONNEY D.Sc., F.R.S. Illustrated.

**A BOOK OF WHALES.** By the Editor of the Series, F. E. BEDDARD M.A., F.R.S. With 40 Illustrations by S. DNEY HERRIDGE.

**THE COMPARATIVE PHYSIOLOGY of the BRAIN AND COMPARATIVE PSYCHOLOGY** By PROFESSOR JACQUES LOEB M.D. Professor of Physiology in the University of Chicago.

**THE STARS: A STUDY OF THE UNIVERSE.** By PROFESSOR NEWCOMB. Illustrated.

**EXPERIMENTS ON ANIMALS.** By STEPHEN PAGET F.R.C.S.

---

## IN COURSE OF PRODUCTION

**INFECTION AND IMMUNITY** By GEORGE S. STERNBERG M.D. Surgeon-General of the U.S. Army

**HEREDITY** By J. ARTHUR THOMSON M.A. F.R.S.E. Illustrated. Author of *The Study of Animal Life*, and co-Author of *The Evolution of Sex* With numerous Diagrams and Illustrations



**PROGRESSIVE SCIENCE SERIES—continued****IN COURSE OF PRODUCTION**

**THE ANIMAL OVUM.** By F E BEDDARD, M A, F R S (the Editor) Illustrated

**THE REPRODUCTION OF LIVING BEINGS** A COMPARATIVE STUDY By MARCUS HARTOG, M A, D Sc, Professor of Natural History in Queen's College, Cork Illustrated

**METEORS AND COMETS** By PROFESSOR C A YOUNG

**THE MEASUREMENT OF THE EARTH.** By PRESIDENT MENDENHALL

**EARTHQUAKES** By MAJOR DUTTON

**PHYSIOGRAPHY, OR, THE FORMS OF LAND** By PROFESSOR DAVIS

**THE HISTORY OF SCIENCE** By C J PIERCE

**RECENT THEORIES OF EVOLUTION** By PROFESSOR BALDWIN

**LIFE AREAS OF NORTH AMERICA** A STUDY IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF ANIMALS AND PLANTS By DR C HART MERRIAM

**PLANETARY MOTION** By G W HILL, Ph D

**AGE, GROWTH, SEX, AND DEATH.** By PROFESSOR CHARLES S MINOT, Harvard Medical School

---

*Other Volumes will shortly be announced, and the Series in its entirety will comprise volumes on every branch of Science*

## MR. MURRAY'S STUDENTS MANUALS

---

Several years have passed since the death of Sir William Smith, and the publisher of his famous dictionaries, manuals and educational works of all kinds is now engaged in the necessary task of revision and republication. Modern scholarship has reinforced the results of previous research, and modern methods of teaching have improved the old tradition. In accordance with these new requirements the services of competent teachers and writers have been requisitioned in order to maintain the high level of accuracy attractiveness, and usefulness which has already raised these books to the rank of standard school classics. No pains are spared to preserve for the name of Sir William Smith the place which it has held unchallenged throughout the schools of Great Britain for more than a generation and the publisher is confident that masters and pupils, and the general reader too will continue to recognise the unique position which it occupies in the educational world.

The series of Students Manuals Ancient and Modern issued by Mr Murray and most of them edited by Dr William Smith, possess several distinctive features which render them singularly valuable as Educational Works. They incorporate, with judicious comments, the researches of the most recent historical investigators, not only into the more modern but into the most remote periods of the history of the countries to which they refer. While each volume is

thus, for ordinary purposes, a complete history of the country to which it refers, it also contains a guide to such further and more detailed information as the advanced student may desire on particular events or periods. At the end of each book, sometimes of each chapter, there are given copious lists of standard works which constitute the "Authorities" for a particular period or reign.

Before the publication of these STUDENT'S MANUALS there had been established, by the claims of middle class and competitive examiners, a large annual demand for text-books that should rise above the level of mere schoolboys' epitomes, and give to those who would master them some shadow of a scholarly knowledge of their subjects. Such books were very hard to find. Mr Murray's Manuals, however, are the most suited for this purpose. They are most fit for use in the higher classes of good schools, where they may be deliberately studied through with the help of a teacher competent to expand their range of argument, to diversify their views by the strength of his own reading and reflection, and to elicit thought from the boys themselves upon events and the political changes to which they have led. The mature scholar also may be glad to have on his shelves these volumes, from which he can at a glance refresh his memory as to a name or date. And he will not use them for reference alone: he will assuredly be tempted to read them for the clearness of statement and the just proportion with which there is traced in each of them the story of a nation.

---

---

# MURRAY'S STUDENTS' MANUALS

## *A Series of Class-books for Advanced Scholars*

FORMING A CHAIN OF HISTORY FROM THE EARLIEST AGES  
DOWN TO MODERN TIMES.

### English History and Literature.

**THE STUDENT'S HUME** A HISTORY OF ENGLAND, FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE REVOLUTION IN 1688. By DAVID HUME. Incorporating the Researches of recent Historians. Revised, corrected, and continued to the Treaty of Berlin in 1763, by J. S. BREWER, M.A. With Notes, Illustrations, and 7 Coloured Maps and Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Also in Three Parts. *s.* 6d. each.

I. FROM B.C. 55 TO THE DEATH OF RICHARD III. A.D. 1485.

II. FROM HENRY VII. TO THE REVOLUTION, 1688.

III. THE REVOLUTION TO THE TREATY OF BERLIN 1763.

*Questions on the Student's Hume.* 1860. *s.*

**STUDENT'S CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF ENGLAND** FROM THE ACCESSION OF HENRY VII. TO THE DEATH OF GEORGE II. By HENRY HALLAM, LL.D. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

### **STUDENT'S MANUAL OF ENGLISH LITERATURE.**

A History of English Literature of the chief English Writers founded upon the Manual of THOMAS B. SHAW. A new Edition thoroughly revised. By A. HAMILTON THOMPSON B.A. of St. John's Coll. Cambridge, and Univ. Extension Lecturer in English Literature. With Notes, &c. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

### **STUDENT'S SPECIMENS OF ENGLISH LITERATURE.**

Selected from the BEST WRITERS, and arranged Chronologically. By T. B. SHAW M.A. Crown 8vo. 5s.

MURRAY'S STUDENT'S MANUALS—*continued*Scripture and Church History

**STUDENTS' OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY** FROM THE CREATION OF THE WORLD TO THE RETURN OF THE JEWS FROM CAPTIVITY With an Introduction to the Books of the Old Testament By PHILIP SMITH, B A With 40 Maps and Woodcuts Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**STUDENTS' NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY** WITH AN INTRODUCTION, CONTAINING THE CONNECTION OF THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS By PHILIP SMITH, B A With 30 Maps and Woodcuts Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**STUDENTS' MANUAL OF ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY** A History of the Christian Church to the Reformation By PHILIP SMITH, B A 2 Vols Crown 8vo 7s 6d each

PART I—A D 30—1003 With Woodcuts

PART II—A D 1003—1614 With Woodcuts

**STUDENTS' MANUAL OF ENGLISH CHURCH HISTORY** By G G PERRY, M A, Canon of Lincoln 3 Vols 7s 6d each

*1st Period* From the Planting of the Church in Britain to the Accession of Henry VIII A D 596—1509

*2nd Period* From the ACCESSION OF HENRY VIII to the Silencing of Convocation in the EIGHTEENTH CENTURY A D 1509—1717

*3rd Period* From the Accession of the House of Hanover to the Present Time A D 1717—1884

Ancient History,

**STUDENTS' ANCIENT HISTORY OF THE EAST** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE CONQUESTS OF ALEXANDER THE GREAT, including Egypt, Assyria, Babylonia Media, Persia, Asia Minor, and Phœnicia By PHILIP SMITH, B A With 70 Woodcuts Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**STUDENTS' HISTORY OF GREECE** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ROMAN CONQUEST With Chapters on the History of Literature and Art By SIR WM SMITH, DCL Thoroughly revised and in part rewritten by G E MARINDIN, M A With many new Maps and Illustrations Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**STUDENTS' HISTORY OF ROME** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EMPIRE With Chapters on the History of Literature and Art By DEAN LIDDELL New and Revised Edition, incorporating the results of Modern Research, by P V M BENECKE, M A, Fellow of Magdalen College, Oxford With Coloured and other Maps and numerous Illustrations nearly all prepared specially for this Edition Crown 8vo 7s 6d

## MURRAY'S STUDENT'S MANUALS.—continued

Ancient History—continued**STUDENT'S HISTORY OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE.**

FROM THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EMPIRE TO THE ACCESSION OF CONSTANTINE, A.D. 312. With Coloured Maps and Numerous Illustrations. By J. B. BURY Regius Professor of Modern History in Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

**STUDENT'S GIBBON A HISTORY OF THE DECLINE AND FALL**

OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE. Abridged from the Original Work by SIR WM. SMITH D.C.L., LL.D. A New and Revised Edition in Two Parts. Crown 8vo. 5s. each.

PART I.—FROM THE ACCESSION OF COMMODOUS TO THE DEATH OF JUSTINIAN. By A. H. J. GREENIDGE, M.A., Lecturer and Late Fellow of Hertford College, Lecturer in Ancient History at Brasenose College, Oxford.

PART II.—FROM A.D. 565 TO THE CAPTURE OF CONSTANTINOPLE BY THE TURKS. By J. G. C. ANDERSON M.A., late Fellow of Lincoln College, Student and Tutor of Christ Church, Oxford. With Maps and Illustrations.

Europe**STUDENT'S HISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE.**

FROM THE CAPTURE OF CONSTANTINOPLE BY THE TURKS, 1453, TO THE TREATY OF BILLYN 1739. By RICHARD LODGE, M.A., Brasenose College, Oxford, Professor of Modern History University of Edinburgh. 4th Edition, thoroughly revised. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

**STUDENT'S HISTORY OF EUROPE DURING THE**

MIDDLE AGES. By HENRY HALLAM LL.D. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

France.**STUDENT'S HISTORY OF FRANCE FROM THE EARLIEST**

TIMES TO THE FALL OF THE SECOND EMPIRE. By W. H. JERVIS M.A. A New Edition, thoroughly revised, and in great part rewritten, by ARTHUR HASSALL, M.A., Canon of Christ Church Oxford. With Chapter on Ancient Gaul by F. HAVERFIELD, M.A., Student of Christ Church, Oxford. Coloured Maps, and many new Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Geography**STUDENT'S MANUAL OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY**

By CARO BEVAN M.A. 50 Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

**STUDENT'S GEOGRAPHY OF BRITISH INDIA.**

POLITICAL AND PHYSICAL. By GEORGE SMITH LL.D. With Maps. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

## SIR WM. SMITH'S SMALLER MANUALS.

These Works have been drawn up for the Lower Forms, at the request of several teachers, who require more elementary books than the STUDENT'S HISTORICAL MANUALS

**SMALLER HISTORY OF ENGLAND** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE YEAR 1857. Revised and enlarged By Prof RICHARD LODGE, M A With Coloured Maps and 68 Woodcuts Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**SMALLER HISTORY OF GREECE** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ROMAN CONQUEST With Coloured Maps, Plans, and Illustrations Thoroughly revised by G E MARINDIN, M A Crown 8vo 3s 6d

Most excellently suited to its purpose distinguished above all things by its lucidity Altogether the book is excellent.—*Guardian*

**SMALLER HISTORY OF ROME** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EMPIRE Thoroughly revised by A H J GREGG, M A, Fellow of Hertford College Oxford With a Supplementary Chapter on the Empire to 117 A D, by G MINDLETON, M A, under the Direction of Prof W M RAMSAY, M A, D C L With Coloured Map, Plans, and Illustrations Crown 8vo 3s 6d

The Smaller History of Rome has been written and arranged on the same plan and with the same object as the 'Smaller History of Greece' Like that work it comprises separate chapters on the institutions and literature of the countries with which it deals.

**SMALLER HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE** Giving a Sketch of the Lives of our Chief Writers By JAMES ROWLEY Small Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**SMALLER SPECIMENS OF ENGLISH LITERATURE** Selected from the Chief Authors and arranged chronologically By JAMES ROWLEY With Notes Small Crown 8vo 3s 6d

While the 'Smaller History of English Literature' supplies a rapid but trustworthy sketch of the lives of our chief writers, and of the successive influences which imparted to their writings their peculiar character the present work supplies choice examples of the works themselves accompanied by all the explanations required for their perfect explanation The two works are thus especially designed to be used together

**SMALLER CLASSICAL MYTHOLOGY** With Translations from the Ancient Poets, and Questions on the Work. With 90 Woodcuts Small Crown 8vo 3s 6d

This work has been prepared by a lady for the use of schools and young persons of both sexes. In common with many other teachers she has long felt the want of a consecutive account of the heathen deities, which might safely be placed in the hands of the young, and yet contain all that is generally necessary to enable them to understand the classical allusions they may meet with in prose or poetry and to appreciate the meanings of works of art.

A carefully prepared set of QUESTIONS is appended the answers to which will be found in the corresponding pages of the volume.

## SIR WM. SMITH'S SMALLER MANUALS

—continued

**SMALLER SCRIPTURE HISTORY OF THE OLD AND THE NEW TESTAMENT** I. THREE DIVISIONS:—I. Old Testament History II. Connection of Old and New Testaments. III. New Testament History to A.D. 70. Edited by SIR WM. SMITH. With Coloured Maps and 40 Illustrations. Small Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.  
This book is intended to be used with, and not in place of, the Bible. The result is most satisfactory. —*The Standard*.

**SMALLER ANCIENT HISTORY OF THE EAST FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE CONQUEST OF ALEXANDER THE GREAT** By PHILIP SMITH, B.A. With 70 Woodcuts. Small Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

**SMALLER MANUAL OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY** By CANON BEVAN, M.A. With Woodcuts. Small Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

## MRS MARKHAM'S HISTORIES

**HISTORY OF ENGLAND, FROM THE FIRST INVASION BY THE ROMANS TO 878.** With Conversations at the end of each Chapter. 100 Woodcuts. 3s. 6d.

**HISTORY OF FRANCE, FROM THE CONQUEST OF GAUL BY JULIUS CÆSAR TO 878.** Conversations at the end of each Chapter. 70 Woodcuts. 3s. 6d.

**HISTORY OF GERMANY, FROM ITS INVASION BY MARCUS TO 880.** 30 Woodcuts. 3s. 6d.

## LITTLE ARTHUR'S HISTORIES.

**HISTORY OF ENGLAND** By LADY CALLCOTT. From the Roman Invasion down to 1878. With 35 Woodcuts. 18mo. 1s. 6d.  
I never met with a history so well adapted to the capacities of children or their entertainment, so philosophical, and written with such simplicity. —*Mrs. MARCET*.

**HISTORY OF FRANCE** FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE FALL OF THE SECOND EMPEROR. With Map and Illustrations. 18mo. 2s. 6d.

The faded schoolboy, enriched with tales and the ever-pressure arising from long attention to lives and adventures, will, towards the latter part of his holiday turn with some relief to this book, and begin laughing again. Those who knew what Little Arthur's England did to popularise the subject among little folks, will know what to expect of this France. The book is capably illustrated, and very wisely the compiler does not reject the striking and legendary parts of the subject. —*Schweizer*.

**HISTORY OF GREECE** By A. S. WALPOLE, M.A. With Map, Plans and Illustrations. Fcap 8vo. 2s. 6d.



## SIR WM. SMITH'S BIBLICAL DICTIONARIES.

**DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE** COMPRISING ITS ANTIQUITIES, BIOGRAPHY, GEOGRAPHY, AND NATURAL HISTORY By Various Writers With Illustrations 3 vols Enlarged and revised Edition Medium 8vo £4 4s

*Complete sets of the above work may be purchased through any Book-seller at reduced rates*

**CONCISE DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE** Condensed from the larger Work For Families and Students With Maps and 300 Illustrations Medium 8vo 21s

A Dictionary of the Bible in some form or another is indispensable for every family To students in the Universities, and in the Upper Forms at Schools to private families and to that numerous class of persons who desire to arrive at results simply this CONCISE DICTIONARY will it is believed supply all that is necessary for the elucidation and explanation of the Bible.

**SMALLER DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE** Abridged from the larger Work For Schools and Young Persons With Maps and Illustrations Crown 8vo 7s 6d

'An invaluable service has been rendered to students in the condensation of Dr Wm. Smith's Bible Dictionary The work has been done as only a careful and intelligent scholar could do it which preserves to us the essential scholarship and value of each article. —*British Quarterly Review*

*The two following Works are intended to furnish a complete account of the leading Personages, the Institutions, Art, Social Life, Writings, and Controversies of the Christian Church from the time of the Apostles to the Age of Charlemagne They commence at the period at which the "Dictionary of the Bible" leaves off, and form a continuation of it*

**DICTIONARY OF CHRISTIAN ANTIQUITIES** The History, Institutions, and Antiquities of the Christian Church Edited by SIR WM SMITH, D C L, and ARCHDEACON CHEETHAM, D D With Illustrations 2 Vols Medium 8vo £3 13s 6d

'The work before us is unusually well done. A more acceptable present for a candidate for holy orders or a more valuable book for any library, than the 'Dictionary of Christian Antiquities' could not easily be found. —*Saturday Review*

**DICTIONARY OF CHRISTIAN BIOGRAPHY, LITERATURE, SECTS, AND DOCTRINES** Edited by SIR WM SMITH, D C L, and HENRY WACE, D D 4 Vols Medium 8vo £6 16s 6d

"The value of the work arises in the first place, from the fact that the contributors to these volumes have diligently eschewed mere compilation. In these volumes we welcome the most important addition that has been made for a century to the historical library of the English theological student. —*Times*

## SIR WM SMITH'S CLASSICAL DICTIONARIES

"I am extremely glad of the opportunity of expressing to you the strong sense of obligation which I, in common with all teachers and lovers of classical literature, feel to you for your admirable Dictionaries."—Rev Dr HAWTREY 1st Head Master of Eton College.

**DICTIONARY OF GREEK AND ROMAN ANTIQUITIES.** INCLUDING THE LAWS, INSTITUTIONS, DOMESTIC LIFE, &c. &c. SCULPTURE, MUSIC, THE DRAMA, &c. Edited by S. W. SMITH LL.D. Hon. D.C.L. Oxford, Hon. Ph.D. Leipzig. WILLIAM WAYTE M.A. 1st Fellow of King's College Cambridge. G. E. MARINDIN M.A. 1st Fellow of King's College Cambridge. Third Revised and Enlarged Edition. With 200 Illustrations. 2 Vols. Medium 8vo 3 6d. cloth.

**CONCISE DICTIONARY OF GREEK AND ROMAN ANTIQUITIES.** Based on Sir Wm Smith's larger Dictionary and incorporating the Results of Modern Research. Edited by F. WARRE CORNISH M.A. Vice-Provost of Eton College. With over 100 Illustrations taken from the best samples of Ancient Art. Medium 8vo 1

**SMALLER DICTIONARY OF ANTIQUITIES.** Abridged from Sir Wm. Smith's larger Dictionary. With 200 Woodcuts. Crown 8vo 7s. 6d.

**DICTIONARY OF GREEK AND ROMAN BIOGRAPHY AND MYTHOLOGY.** By Various Writers. Edited by Sir WILLIAM SMITH D.C.L., LL.D. Illustrated by 354 Engravings on Wood. In 3 Vols. Medium 8vo 84s.

**CLASSICAL DICTIONARY OF MYTHOLOGY BIOGRAPHY AND GEOGRAPHY** compiled from Sir Wm Smith's larger Dictionaries. 1 great part re-written by G. E. MARINDIN M.A., late Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, some time Assistant Master at Eton College. With over 800 Woodcuts. Thoroughly Revised Edition. 8vo. 18s.

**SMALLER CLASSICAL DICTIONARY** Abridged from the above Work. With 200 Woodcuts. In great part re-written by G. E. MARINDIN M.A., some time Assistant Master at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

**DICTIONARY OF GREEK AND ROMAN GEOGRAPHY** Illustrated by 334 Engravings on Wood. 1 Vol. Medium 8vo. 35s.

## SIR WM. SMITH'S LATIN DICTIONARIES.

"I consider Dr Wm Smith's Dictionaries to have conferred a great and lasting service on the cause of classical learning in this country"—Dean LIDDELL

"I have found Dr Wm Smith's Latin Dictionary a great convenience to me I think that he has been very judicious in what he has omitted, as well as what he has inserted"—Dr SCOTT

**COMPLETE LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY** BASED ON THE WORKS OF FORCELLINI AND FREUND With Tables of the Roman Calendar, Measures, Weights, Money, and a DICTIONARY OF PROPER NAMES By SIR WM SMITH, DCL, LL D Medium 8vo 22nd Edition 16s

This work aims at performing the same service for the Latin language as Liddell and Scott's Lexicon has done for the Greek Great attention has been paid to Etymology, in which department especially this work is admitted to maintain a superiority over all existing Latin Dictionaries

**SMALLER LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY** WITH A SEPARATE DICTIONARY OF PROPER NAMES, TABLES OF ROMAN MONEYS, &c Thoroughly revised and in great part re-written Edited by SIR WM SMITH and T D HALL, MA The Etymological portion by JOHN K INGRAM, LL D Square 12mo 7s 6d

This edition of Dr Smith's Smaller Latin English Dictionary is to a great extent a new and original Work. Every article has been carefully revised

**COPIOUS AND CRITICAL ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY** Compiled from Original Sources By SIR WM SMITH, DCL, and T D HALL, MA Medium 8vo 16s

It has been the object of the Authors of this Work to produce a more complete and more perfect ENGLISH LATIN DICTIONARY than yet exists, and every article has been the result of original and independent research.

Each meaning is illustrated by examples from the classical writers and those phrases are as a general rule given in both English and Latin

**SMALLER ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY** Abridged from the above Work, by SIR WM SMITH and T D HALL, MA, for the use of Junior Classes Square 12mo 7s 6d

"An English Latin Dictionary worthy of the scholarship of our age and country It will take absolutely the first rank, and be the standard English Latin Dictionary as long as either tongue endures. Even a general examination of the pages will serve to reveal the minute pains taken to ensure its fulness and philological value, and the work is to a large extent a dictionary of the English language, as well as an English Latin Dictionary —*English Churchman*

## MR. MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS.

Languages.

**FRENCH STUMBLING BLOCKS AND ENGLISH STEPPING STONES.** By FRANCIS TARVER, M.A., late Senior French Master at Eton College. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

M. Francis Tarver's skill as teacher of French to Englishmen is well known. His thorough knowledge of both languages, and his thirty years' experience as master at Eton, have afforded him exceptional opportunities of judging what are the difficulties, pitfalls, and stumbling blocks which beset the path of an Englishman in his study of French.

**THE TECHNICAL SCHOOL FRENCH GRAMMAR.** By DR. W. KRISCH, sometime Teacher of Latin and Greek at the Birmingham Midland Institute, Examiner in Modern Languages to the Midland Counties' Union of Educational Institutions. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

**A CHILD'S FIRST LATIN BOOK.** COMPRISES NOUNS, PRO OUNS, NO ADJ CTIVES, WITH THE VERBS. With simple and varied Practice of the easiest kind. Both old and new order of Cases given. By T. D. HALL, M.A. Enlarged Edition, including the Passive Verb. 18000 2s.

**TRANSLATION AT SIGHT** 2. AIDS TO FACILITY IN THE TRANSLATION OF LATIN. Passages of Graduated Difficulty carefully selected from Latin Authors, with Explanations, Notes, &c. By PROFESSOR T. D. HALL, M.A. Crown 8vo. 2s.

**GREEK GRAMMAR ACCIDENCE AND SYNTAX FOR SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES.** By JOHN THOMPSON M.A., late Scholar of Christ's College, Cambridge. Senior Classical Master High School Dublin. Crown 8vo. 6s.

One of the chief objects of this book is to bring within the reach of the younger generation of students and scholars some of the results of the linguistic discoveries of the present day. It is therefore written in accordance with the philological views of the *Grammatis der Vorgeschichtlichen Grammatik* of Professor Brugmann and Debrück, of *F. Götts' Manual of Comparative Philology*, of G. Meyer's *Grammatische Grammatik* and of other scholars. Use has also been made of the Third Edition, revised by Dr. Elze and Gerth, of Kuhn's *Alte Griechische Grammatik der Griechischen Sprache*, and of an excellent school Greek Grammar in use in Germany.

The Grammar consists of two parts in one volume, Part I containing the Accidence, and Part II the Syntax. The forms and spelling in use in Attic Greek are given according to the latest authorities, and there are special notes on Homeric peculiarities. There are also tables of Greek verbs arranged on a new plan, including (a) list of the chief types of verbs, (b) list of common Attic verbs regular according to the type in (a), and (c) list of the irregular verbs with the irregular forms printed in special type. This arrangement intended to remove many misapprehensions about Greek verbs. Brief notes on syntax, &c., are given with each verb stating the ordinary constructions and any special uses. There will also be Appendices on (1) Greek Weights, Measures, and Dates, (2) Accents, and (3) Sound Changes. Particular attention has been given to the type, so that the essential part of Greek Grammar may be made specially clear, and that the beginner may have no difficulty in distinguishing the more important sections.

MR MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—*continued*Languages—continued

**PRINCIPIA GRÆCA.** An Introduction to the Study of Greek, comprehending Grammar Delectus, and Exercise Book with Vocabularies By H E HUTTON, M A Balliol College, Oxford For the use of the Lower Forms in Public and Private Schools Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**ELUCIDATIONS OF THE STUDENT'S GREEK GRAMMAR** By PROF CURTIUS From the German, with the Author's sanction By EVELYN ABBOTT, M A 2nd Edition Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**A SHORT PRACTICAL HEBREW GRAMMAR, WITH AN APPENDIX** Containing the Hebrew Text of Genesis I—VI, and Psalms I—VI Grammatical Analysis Vocabulary By the REV STANLEY LEATHES, D D Crown 8vo 7s 6d

**PRACTICAL SPANISH. A GRAMMAR OF THE SPANISH LANGUAGE** With Exercises Vocabularies, and Materials for Conversation By DON FERNANDO DE ARTEAGA, Taylorian Teacher of Spanish in the University of Oxford 2 Parts Crown 8vo 7s 6d

'We may as well say at once that we heartily recommend this book to those who wish to obtain a practical knowledge of Spanish It is really, what it sets out to be. It is real Spanish—Spanish as she is spoke not fantastic illustrations of technical grammar A grammar in two volumes seems a portentous undertaking But, when one considers that this Grammar is really a practical introduction to the whole language, and that mastery of it (the grammar) really implies a pretty thorough grip of Spanish written and spoken the two volumes seem less formidable, and no student will repent purchasing them We unreservedly recommend Señor de Arteaga's book'—*Schoolmaster*

This volume, conjointly with 'Spanish Principia' will be found to cover the requirements of examinations of a degree of difficulty up to and including that of the advanced grade of the Society of Arts.

Gradus

**AN ENGLISH-LATIN GRADUS, OR VERSE DICTIONARY,** for Schools By A C AINGER, Trinity Coll, Cambridge, Assistant-Master at Eton College, and the late H G WINTLE, M A, Christ Church, Oxford This Gradus is on a new plan, intended to simplify the Composition of Latin Verses by Classical Meanings, selected Epithets and Synonyms, etc Crown 8vo 9s

## MR. MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—continued

Geography**PREPARATORY GEOGRAPHY for IRISH SCHOOLS.**

With numerous Coloured Maps, Relief Maps, Plans, and Views of well-known Places in Illustration of Geographical Terms. By JOHN COOKE, M.A. Lecturer in Geography Church of Ireland Training College; and Examiner to the Board of Intermediate Education. Small Crown 8vo. 1s. 6d.

Mr. Cooke's recent services to the literature of education have seldom been better illustrated than in this Geography for Irish Schools. Mr. Cooke claims that his Geography is suggestive rather than exhaustive. His mightiness have gone very far, and claimed the high merit of claims of attractiveness. With such wealth of apt illustration drawn from our own country no child could fail to comprehend what he sees and hears.

—*The Irish Times*.

History and Literature.**THE GROWTH OF THE EMPIRE.** By A. W. JOSE.

With many Coloured and other Maps and Diagrams. Cr 8vo. 6s  
 an extremely useful book      as serviceable as it is readable  
 It is systematic in method and accurate in statement. —*The Globe*.

**HALLAM'S CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF ENGLAND,** Chapters I. to IX. Bound together in

Volume for the special use of candidates for the London University Examinations. Crown 8vo. 3s

**EUROPE IN THE MIDDLE AGES.** By OLIVER J. THATCHER, Ph.D. and FERDINAND SCHWILL, Ph.D.

Large Crown 8vo. 9s.

This work has been written by men who have had long experience in teaching to supply the want of a comprehensive History of Medieval Europe, from the middle of the Fourth to the close of the Fifteenth Century, which has been long felt in the universities and schools. A distinguished Professor of Modern History in one of our leading universities, to whom a copy has been sent, writes

The book covers ground on which has always been hard to get suitable books for educational purposes, and, so far as I can judge—I have as yet only examined the German History of the 16th Century—it is thoroughly sound and clear.

**A GENERAL HISTORY OF EUROPE, 850–1900.**

By OLIVER J. THATCHER and FERDINAND SCHWILL, Authors of *Europe in the Middle Ages*. Revised and adapted to the requirements of English Colleges and Schools, by ARTHUR HARRALL, M.A. Christ Church, Oxford. With Bibliographies at the end of each section. With Maps, Genealogical Tables. Crown 8vo. 9s.

model of condensation, stating no essential facts. The volume is greatly enhanced by a wealth of maps and chronological and genealogical tables. Among general histories this will take leading place.

—*Dundee Advertiser*.

## MR MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—continued

History and Literature—continued

**A POPULAR HISTORY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE PRESENT DAY** By the RT REV WILLIAM BOYD CARPENTER The Lord Bishop of Ripon Illustrated Crown 8vo 6s

The title is perhaps hardly wide enough for the contents one would almost call the book a history of Christianity in England. He has the true judicial spirit and is passionately eager to be entirely fair to every one. His history is impartial to the last degree. His book should have a very wide circulation and can do nothing but good wherever it is read.—*Morning Post*

**THE PUBLIC SCHOOL SPEAKER** Compiled by F WARRE CORNISH, M.A., Vice Provost of Eton College Large 8vo 7s 6d

This work, as its name implies is a collection of pieces suitable for recitation at school speeches. The Editor has made his selection in the widest manner and from various languages—Greek Latin English German French and Italian. He has included drama general poetry orations and other prose pieces ancient and modern. The Editor is in hopes that no serious omission can be found, unless it be those intentional ones from classics that are at every one's command which he has left out to make room for those more difficult of access.

It will be noticed that he has in many cases given an extract longer than is sufficient for a single recitation—he has done this advisedly with a view to afford ing greater scope for individual requirements and individual taste.

The publisher is of opinion that the Speaker will be found the most complete extant.

No such comprehensive work has hitherto been issued and in our opinion The Public School Speaker has leaped at a single bound into the very foremost rank, and has become the classic of its kind.—*The Bookseller*

## ELEMENTARY TEACHERS' CERTIFICATE EDITION

**STANLEY'S LIFE OF ARNOLD** With a Preface by Sir JOSHUA FITCH, LL.D., formerly H.M. Chief Inspector of Training Colleges Large type, 800 pages, in 1 volume With Photogravure Portrait and 16 half tone Illustrations Crown 8vo 6s

Stanley's Life of Arnold has been selected by the Board of Education as a subject of examination for intending teachers, so that this edition will be heartily welcomed.—*Educational Times*

**ÆSOP'S FABLES A NEW VERSION** Chiefly from the Original Sources By REV THOMAS JAMES With 100 Woodcuts Illustrations by JOHN TENNIEL Crown 8vo 2s 6d

This work is remarkable for the clearness and conciseness with which each tale is narrated and the book has been relieved of those tedious and unprofitable appendages called morals, which used to obscure and disfigure the ancient editions of the work.—*The Examiner*

## MR. MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—continued

Classical Study

**CHAPTERS FROM ARISTOTLE'S ETHICS.** By J. H. MUIRHEAD M.A., Professor of Mental and Moral Philosophy Mason University College Birmingham. Author of "The Elements of Ethics." Large crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

We cannot commend these chapters too highly not only to teachers, but to all students of Aristotle or of moral philosophy. They feel that the problems of the Old Greek are in any way vital in these busy days, or their solutions out of date. —*Pilot*

**HERODOTUS. THE TEE OF C. OR RAWLINSON'S TRANSLATION** With the Notes abridged for the use of Students. By A. J. GRANT M.A., of King's College Cambridge Professor of History Yorkshire College, Leeds. Author of "Greece in the Age of Pericles." With Map and Plans. Vols. Crown 8vo. 5s.

The beautiful pages of the old Greek have hitherto been so admirably presented by Canon Rawlinson, that he needs no rival as far as his circle runs Herodotus. There is no better introduction to Greek history and literature than Herodotus, and the English reader gets him here under the best possible conditions. —*Lovers' Home*

**THE STORY OF THE PERSIAN WARS AS TOLD BY HERODOTUS** In English. Selected arranged and edited, so as to form a History Reading Book for School. By the REV. C. C. TAPCOCK, D.D. Head Master of Tonbridge School. With Illustrations, Map and Plans. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Biblical Study

**GREEK TESTAMENT READER.** For Use in Schools, comprising consecutive Extracts from the Synoptic Gospel and Passages from the Epistles of St Paul. By THEOPHILUS D. HALL, M.A. Crown 8vo. 5s. 6d.

**THE SUNRISE OF REVELATION** New Testament Teachings for Secondary Schools. A Sequel to "The Dawn of Revelation." By Miss M. BRAMSTON Author of "The Dawn of Revelation," "Judaea Her Rulers, etc." Crown 8vo. 3s. net.

We do not think that any good judge will get far in the book without discovering that it is one of rare merit and exceptionally well suited to the class to whom it is addressed. We do not know of any book likely to be more useful to the teachers of secondary schools in the preparation of their Scripture lessons than this. It is clear, accurate, and full of instruction and suggestion. Miss Bramston shows competent knowledge of the present position of criticism as to the Gospels and Acts, but she wisely keeps her learning in the background, and only brings it forth by an occasional sentence or epithet. It is a great deal to say of any book dealing with the Scripture history that it is scholarly without being dry, and perfect without any trace of pedantry. Yet Miss Bramston has succeeded in all this and more. We do not often praise books so unreservedly, but we shall be surprised if she does not attract a circle of readers far larger than that to which she has addressed herself in the first instance. —

*The Guardian.*



MR MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—*continued*Biblical Study—continued**THE STUDENTS' COMMENTARY ON THE BIBLE**

Abridged from the 'Speaker's Commentary' Edited by JOHN M. FULLER, M.A. Vicar of Bexley and formerly Fellow of St John's College Cambridge Crown 8vo 7s 6d each

OLD TESTAMENT —I of I—Genesis to Deuteronomy I of II—Joshua to Esther I of III—Job to Song of Solomon I of IV—Isaiah to Malachi

NEW TESTAMENT —I of I—Gospel to Acts I of II—Epistles to Revelation

**THE BIBLE IN THE HOLY LAND** Extracted from

Dean Stanley's work on Sinai and Palestine With Woodcuts Crown 8vo 3s 6d

Science (Elementary & General)**STUDENTS' ELEMENTS OF GEOLOGY** By SIR

CHARLES LYELL Thoroughly revised by PROF J W JUDD Crown 8vo With 600 Woodcuts 9s

**ELEMENTS OF AGRICULTURE** A Text Book Prepared

under the authority of the Royal Agricultural Society of England By W FREAM, LL.D. New Edition (Seventh) Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**A HANDY BOOK OF HORTICULTURE** AN INTRODUCTION

TO THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF GARDENING With Illustrations and Diagrams By F C HAYES, M.A. Rector of Raheny, Lecturer in Practical Horticulture in Alexandra College Dublin Crown 8vo 2s 6d net

Just the book to place in the hands of young amateurs and Students and should find a place on the shelves of every Village and County Council Library.—*The Field*

**THE INVISIBLE POWERS OF NATURE, SOME ELEMEN-**

TARY LESSONS IN PHYSICAL SCIENCE, HEAT, LIGHT, SOUND, GRAVITATION, SOLIDS, FLUIDS, ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, ETC By E M CAILLARD Post 8vo 6s

'We have rarely met with a work of the kind in which so much information is so clearly and so accurately set forth'—*Gardener's Chronicle*

**COLOUR IN NATURE** A STUDY IN BIOLOGY By MARION

NEWBIGIN Crown 8vo 7s 6d

'All who seek for well sifted results and not merely superficial information, will thank her for this book and congratulate her on a very timely contribution to biological literature.'—*Journal of Education*

## MR. MURRAY'S CLASS BOOKS—continued

Science—continued

**EARLY CHAPTERS IN SCIENCE.** A FIRST BOOK OF KNOWLEDGE OF NATURE. HISTORY BOTANY PHYSIOLOGY PHYSICS AND CHEMISTRY FOR YOUNG PEOPLE. By Mrs. W. A. WARD (Wife of the Bishop of South Tokyo, Japan). Edited by W. F. BASSITT, F.R.S., Professor of Experimental Physics in the Royal College of Science for Ireland. With nearly 300 illustrations. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Deserves a wide welcome from all teachers of the young. The illustrations are mostly of local, natural, and unobtrusive work. — *Academy*.  
It is to be emphatically recommended to the young as a sound and pleasantly written introduction to science. — *Manchester Guardian*.

**ELECTRICITY.** THE SCIENCE OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY. A Sketch for General Readers. By E. M. CAILLARD. With illustrations. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

**THE FIVE WINDOWS OF THE SOUL.** A POPULAR ACCOUNT OF THE HUMAN SENSES. By EDWARD HAMILTON AITKEN, author of *The Tribes on my Frontier*, *Behind the Bangalow*, *A Naturalist on the Prowl*. Crown 8vo. 6s.

There is probably nothing so recent in literature which is so purely laid to direct, further, and more technical knowledge than the author's treatment of these difficult subjects. It is admirably written and cannot fail to give pleasure. It is so well laid out that such really good work as this is published that the hope may be expressed that it will meet with the success it deserves, and find place in every good public and private library. — *Manchester Guardian*.

Jurisprudence.

**AN ANALYSIS OF AUSTIN'S JURISPRUDENCE.** By GORDON CAMPBELL. Crown 8vo. 6s.

**STUDENT'S EDITION OF AUSTIN'S JURISPRUDENCE.** Compiled from the larger work. By ROBERT CAMPBELL. Crown 8vo. 12s.

# SIR WM SMITH'S

## EDUCATIONAL SERIES.

### English Course

**PRIMARY ENGLISH GRAMMAR** for Elementary Schools  
With 134 Exercises and carefully graduated passing lessons By  
T D HALL, M A 16mo 1s

**SCHOOL MANUAL OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR** With  
Historical Introduction and copious Exercises By SIR WM  
SMITH, D C L, and T D HALL, M A With Appendices  
Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**MANUAL OF ENGLISH COMPOSITION** With Copious  
Illustrations and Practical Exercises Suited equally for Schools  
and for Private Students of English By T D HALL, M A  
Crown 8vo 3s 6d

---

### French Course

**FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part I** A FIRST FRENCH COURSE  
containing Grammar, Delectus and Exercises, with Vocabularies  
and Materials for French Conversation Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**APPENDIX TO FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part I.** Containing  
Additional Exercises and Examination Papers Cr 8vo 2s 6d

**FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part II.** A READING BOOK Containing  
Fables, Stories, and Anecdotes, Natural History, and Scenes from  
the History of France With Grammatical Questions, Notes, and  
copious Etymological Dictionary Crown 8vo 4s 6d

**FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part III.** PROSE COMPOSITION Con-  
taining a Systematic Course of Exercises on the Syntax, with the  
Principal Rules of Syntax Crown 8vo 4s 6d

**THE STUDENT'S FRENCH GRAMMAR** PRACTICAL AND  
HISTORICAL. FOR THE HIGHER FORMS By C HERON-WALL  
with INTRODUCTION by M LITTRÉ Crown 8vo 6s

**A SMALLER FRENCH GRAMMAR** FOR THE MIDDLE AND  
LOWER FORMS Abridged from the above Work. Crown 8vo  
3s 6d

## SIR WM. SMITH'S EDUCATIONAL SERIES

—continued

German Course.

**GERMAN PRINCIPIA, Part I. A FIRST GERMAN COURSE.** Containing Grammar, Dialects, Exercises, Vocabularies and materials for German Conversation. Cr 8vo. 3s 6d

**GERMAN PRINCIPIA, Part II. A READER BOOK.** Containing Fables, Stories, and Anecdotes, Natural History and Scenes from the History of Germany. With Grammatical Questions, Notes and Dictionary. Cr 8vo 3s 6d

Italian Course

**ITALIAN PRINCIPIA, Part I. A FIRST ITALIAN COURSE.** Containing a Grammar, Dialects, Exercise Book, with Vocabularies, &c. By LUIGI RICCI Professor of King's College, University of London. Thoroughly revised and in part re-written by C. F. COSCIA, Professor of Italian in the University of Oxford. Crown 8vo. 3s 6d.

**ITALIAN PRINCIPIA, Part II. A FIRST ITALIAN READER-BOOK.** Containing Fables, Anecdotes, History and Passages from the best Italian Authors, with Questions, Notes, and an Etymological Dictionary. Crown 8vo. 3s 6d

Spanish Course.

**SPANISH PRINCIPIA, Part I. A FIRST SPANISH COURSE.** Containing Grammar, Dialects and Exercise Book, with Vocabularies on the Plan of Sir Wm. Smith's Principia Latina. By H. J. WRINTZ. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

This volume, conjointly with Practical Spanish will be found to cover the requirements of examinations of degree of difficulty up to and including that of the advanced grade of the Society of Arts.

Latin Course.

## THE YOUNG BEGINNER'S COURSE.

**I. FIRST LATIN BOOK.**—Grammar, Easy Questions, Exercises and Vocabularies. Fcap 8vo 2s.

**II. SECOND LATIN BOOK.**—An easy Latin Reading Book with Analysis of Sentences. Fcap 8vo. 2s.

**III. THIRD LATIN BOOK.**—Exercises on the Syntax, with Vocabularies. Fcap 8vo. 2s

**IV. FOURTH LATIN BOOK.**—A Latin Vocabulary for Beginners, arranged according to Subjects and Etymologies. Fcap 8vo. 2s.

**PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part I. FIRST LATIN COURSE.** Grammar, Dialects, Exercises, and Vocabularies. 36th Edition, Thoroughly revised so as to meet the requirements of Modern Teachers and Scholars. Crown 8vo. 3s 6d.

**APPENDIX TO PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part I.** Containing Additional Exercises, with Examination Papers. Crown 8vo. 2s 6d

## SIR WM SMITH'S EDUCATIONAL SERIES

—continued

Latin Course—continued

**PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part II** READING BOOK. An Introduction to Ancient Mythology, Geography, Roman Antiquities and History. With Notes and a Dictionary. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part III** POETRY. 1 Easy Hexameters, and Pentameters. 2 Decasyllabic Ovidian Verse. 3 Prosody and Metre. 4 First Latin Verse Book. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part IV** PROSE COMPOSITION. Rules of Syntax with Examples. Explanation of Synonyms, and Exercises on the Syntax. Crown 8vo 2s 6d

**PRINCIPIA LATINA, Part V** SHORT TALES AND ANECDOTES FROM ANCIENT HISTORY FOR TRANSLATION INTO LATIN PROSE. With an English Latin Vocabulary. By SIR WM SMITH LL D. Revised and considerably enlarged. By T D HALL M A. 3s 6d

**THE STUDENT'S LATIN GRAMMAR** FOR THE USE OF COLLEGES AND THE HIGHER FORMS IN SCHOOLS. By SIR WM SMITH, LL D, and T D HALL. Crown 8vo 6s

**SMALLER LATIN GRAMMAR** FOR THE MIDDLE AND LOWER FORMS. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

Greek Course

**INITIA GRÆCA, Part I** A FIRST GREEK COURSE, containing Grammar, Delectus Exercise Book and Vocabularies. 26th Edition. Edited and carefully revised by FRANCIS BROOKS M A, Professor of Classics at University College, Bristol, and formerly Classical Scholar of Balliol College, Oxford. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**APPENDIX TO INITIA GRÆCA, Part I** Containing Additional Exercises, with Examination Papers and Easy Reading Lessons with the Sentences Analysed, serving as an Introduction to INITIA GRÆCA, Part II. Crown 8vo 2s 6d

**INITIA GRÆCA, Part II** A READING BOOK. Containing short Tales, Anecdotes, Fables, Mythology and Grecian History. With a Lexicon. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**INITIA GRÆCA, Part III** PROSE COMPOSITION. Containing the Rules of Syntax, with copious Examples and Exercises. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**THE STUDENT'S GREEK GRAMMAR** FOR THE HIGHER FORMS. By PROFESSOR CURTIUS. Edited by SIR WM SMITH, DCL. Crown 8vo 6s

**A SMALLER GREEK GRAMMAR** FOR THE MIDDLE AND LOWER FORMS. Abridged from the above Work. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

## ETON COLLEGE BOOKS

**ETON LATIN GRAMMAR.** For use in the Higher Forms. By FRANCIS HAY RAWLINS, M.A., Fellow of King's Coll., Cambridge, and Assistant Master at Eton College, and Rev W. R. INGE, M.A. Fellow of Hertford Coll. Oxford. Revised Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The Syntax has the merit of compressing a great deal of matter into short space, and of avoiding much of the technical terminology. It affects some of the positions of the Public School Grammar. It is also lucid in arrangement, and clear in its presentation of facts. —Prof NETTLEMAN in the *Classical Review*

**ETON ELEMENTARY LATIN GRAMMAR.** For use in the Lower Forms. Compiled with the sanction of the Head Master by A. C. AINGER, M.A. Trinity College, Cambridge, and H. G. WINTLE, M.A. Christ Church, Oxford. Assistant Masters at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

**PREPARATORY ETON LATIN GRAMMAR.** Containing the Accidence and the Syntax Rules with the sanction of the Head Master. By A. C. AINGER, M.A. Trinity College, Cambridge, and H. G. WINTLE, M.A. Christ Church, Oxford. Assistant Masters at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 3s.

**ETON LATIN SYNTAX AND EXERCISE BOOK.** Consisting of pages 97-157 and 323-366 from the Eton Elementary Latin Grammar together with the First Latin Exercise Book. Compiled with sanction of the Head Master by A. C. AINGER, M.A. Trinity College, Cambridge, and H. G. WINTLE, M.A. Christ Church, Oxford. Assistant Masters at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 3s.

**A FIRST LATIN EXERCISE BOOK.** Adapted to the Eton Latin Grammar. By A. C. AINGER, M.A. and H. G. WINTLE, M.A. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

**OVID LESSONS** being Easy Passages selected from the Elegiac Poems of Ovid, with Explanatory Notes by A. C. AINGER, M.A., and H. F. W. TATHAM, M.A., of Trinity College, Cambridge. Assistant Masters at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

**ETON HORACE.** The Odes, Epodes, and Carmen Saeculare. With Notes. By F. W. CORNISH, M.A. In Two Parts. With Maps. Crown 8vo. 6s.

As it is considered desirable that the notes should be used only in the preparation of the lesson, and not in the class, they are bound up separate from the text.

One good feature is that the notes are printed entirely separate from the text in separate volumes. They are just those that are suited to boys at this stage. —Scholasticism.

**ETON EXERCISES IN ALGEBRA.** By E. P. ROUSE and A. COCKSHOTT Assistant Masters at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 3s.

**ETON EXERCISES IN ARITHMETIC.** By Rev T. DALTON, M.A., Assistant Master at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 3s.

# UNIVERSITY MANUALS

Edited by PROFESSOR KNIGHT of St. Andrews University

**A HISTORY OF ASTRONOMY** By ARTHUR BERRY, M.A.  
Fellow of King's College, Cambridge With over 100 Illustrations  
Crown 8vo 6s

Primitive Astronomy—Greek Astronomy—The Middle Ages—  
Copernicus—The Reception of the Copernican Theory and the  
Progress of Observation—Galileo—Kepler—From Galileo to Newton  
—Universal Gravitation—Observational Astronomy in the 18th  
Century—Gravitational Astronomy in the 19th Century—Herschel—  
The 19th Century—List of Authorities and of Books for Students—  
Index

**THE STUDY OF ANIMAL LIFE** By J. ARTHUR  
THOMSON, Regius Professor of Natural Science in the University  
of Aberdeen, Joint Author of the "Evolution of Sex", Author of  
"Outlines of Zoology" With many Illustrations Crown 8vo 5s

*Part I*—The Everyday Life of Animals *Part II*—The Powers  
of Life *Part III*—The Forms of Animal Life *Part IV*—The  
Evolution of Animal Life

**THE ELEMENTS OF ETHICS** By JOHN R. MUIRHEAD  
Balliol College, Oxford, Lecturer on Moral Science, Royal  
Holloway College, Examiner in Philosophy to the University of  
Glasgow Crown 8vo 3s

*Book I*—The Science of Ethics *Book II*—Moral Judgement  
*Book III*—Theories of the End *Book IV*—The Ideal is Good  
*Book V*—Moral Progress

**THE REALM OF NATURE** A MANUAL OF PHYSIOGRAPHY  
By DR. HUGH ROBERT MILL, Director of British Rainfall  
Organization With 19 Coloured Maps and 68 Illustrations Crown  
8vo 5s

The Study of Nature—The Substance of Nature—Energy, the  
Power of Nature—The Earth a Spinning Ball—The Earth a Planet  
—The Solar System—Universe—The Atmosphere—Atmospheric  
Phenomena—Climates of the World—The Hydrosphere—The Bed  
of the Oceans—The Crust of the Earth—Action of Water on the  
Land—The Record of the Rocks—The Continental Area—Life and  
Living Creatures—Man in Nature

## UNIVERSITY MANUALS—continued.

**ENGLISH COLONIZATION AND EMPIRE.** By A. CALDECOTT Fellow and Dean of St. John College, Cambridge and Professor of Philosophy King's College London. Coloured Maps and Plans. Crown 8vo. 3 6d.

Introduction—Pioneer Period—International Struggle—Development and Separation of America—The English in India—Reconstruction and Fresh Development—Government of the Empire—Trade and Trade Policy—Supply of Labour—Native Races—Education and Religion—General Reflections—Appendix Books of Reference.

**FRENCH LITERATURE.** By H. G. KEBNE, Wadham College, Oxford, Fellow of the University of Calcutta. Crown 8vo. 3s.

The Age of Infancy (a. Birth)—The Age of Infancy (b. Growth)—The Age of Adolescence (XVIII Cent.)—The Age of Glory (Poetry)—The Age of Glory (Prose)—The Age of Reason—The Age of Nature—Source of Modern French Literary Art—Poetry—Sources of Prose Fiction.

**THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE BEAUTIFUL, Part I.** By PROFESSOR KNIGHT University of St. Andrew's. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Introductory—Prehistoric Origins—Oriental Art and Speculation—The Philosophy of Greece—The Neoplatonists—The Graeco-Roman Period—Medievalism—The Philosophy of Germany—The Philosophy of France—The Philosophy of Italy—The Philosophy of Holland—The Philosophy of Britain—The Philosophy of America.

**THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE BEAUTIFUL, Part II.** By PROFESSOR KNIGHT University of St. Andrew's. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Prolegomena—The Nature of Beauty—The Ideal and the Real—Inadequate or Partial Theories—Suggestions towards more complete Theory of Beauty—Art, its Nature and Functions—The Correlation of the Arts—Poetry—Music—Architecture—Sculpture—Painting—Dancing—Appendix.



UNIVERSITY MANUALS—*continued*

**THE USE AND ABUSE OF MONEY** By W CUNNINGHAM, D D, Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, Professor of Economic Science, King's College, London Crown 8vo 3s

*Part I*—Social Problems *Part II*—Practical Questions *Part III*—Personal Duty

**THE JACOBAN POETS** By EDMUND GOSSE Crown 8vo 3s 6d

Preface, The Last Elizabethans, Ben Jonson—Chapman, John Dorne, Beaumont and Fletcher, Campion—Drayton—Sir John Beaumont, Heywood—Middleton—Powley, Giles and Phineas Fletcher—Browne, Tourneur—Webster—Day—Darorne, Wither—Quarles—Lord Brooke, Philip Massingen, Index

**THE RISE OF THE BRITISH DOMINION IN INDIA, FROM THE EARLY DAYS OF THE EAST INDIA COMPANY TO THE CONQUEST OF THE PANJAB** By SIR ALFRED LYALL, K C B With Coloured Maps Crown 8vo 4s 6d

No student should be without this excellent instructor into the technicalities of the many phases through which our Empire has passed "*Daily Telegraph*"

**THE ENGLISH NOVEL, FROM ITS ORIGIN TO SIR W SCOTT** By WALTER RALEIGH, Professor of English Literature in Glasgow University Crown 8vo Cheap Edition 2s

The Romance and the Novel—The Elizabethan Age Euphues Sydney and Nash—The Romances of the 17th Century—The Beginnings of the Modern Novel—Richardson and Fielding—The Novels of the 18th Century—The Revival of Romance—The Novel of Domestic Satire Miss Burney, Miss Austen, Miss Edgeworth—Sir Walter Scott

**THE FRENCH REVOLUTION** By C E MALLET, Balliol College, Oxford Crown 8vo 3s 6d

The Condition of France in the Eighteenth Century—The Last Years of the Ancien Régime—The Early Days of the Revolution—The Labours of the Constituent Assembly—Parties and Politicians under the Constituent Assembly—The Rise of the Jacobin Party—The Influence of the War upon the Revolution—The Fall of the Gironde—The Jacobins in Power—The Struggles of Parties and the Ascendancy of Robespierre—The Reaction

## UNIVERSITY MANUALS—continued

**AN INTRODUCTION TO MODERN GEOLOGY** By R. D. ROBERTS sometime Fellow of Clare College, Cambridge Fellow of University College, London Secretary to the Cambridge and London University Extension Syndicate. With Coloured Maps and Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 5s.

*Part I*—PROGRESS OF GEOLOGICAL THOUGHT

*Part II*—DESTRUCTION OF LAND

*Part III*—CONSTRUCTION OF LAND

*Part IV*—EVOLUTION OF LAND AREAS.

**OUTLINES OF ENGLISH LITERATURE.** By WILLIAM RENTON With Illustrative Diagrams. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

*First Period.*—The Old English Metric Chronicle, 600-1150—The Renaissance, 1150-1500—The Reformation, 1500-1600—The Romantic Drama, 1550-1650.

*Second Period.*—The Serious Age, 600-1700—The Age of Gaiety 1650-1750—The Sententious Age, 1700-1800—The Sympathetic Age, 1800-1900—The Literature of America.

**THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE SENSES** By JOHN McKENDRICK, Professor of Physiology in the University of Glasgow and DR. SNODGRASS, Physiological Laboratory Glasgow With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Touch, Taste, and Smell—e. The Sense of Sight—g. Sound and Hearing

**CHAPTERS IN MODERN BOTANY** By PATRICK GEDDES, Professor of Botany University College, Dundee. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Pitcher Plants—Other Insectivorous Plants. Difficulties and Criticisms—Movement and Nervous Action in Plants—The Web of Life—Relations between Plants and Animals—Spring and its Studies; Geographical Distribution and World-Landscapes; Seedling and Bud—Leaves—Suggestions for Further Study

**GREECE IN THE AGE OF PERICLES.** By A. J. GRANT King's College, Cambridge, and Staff Lecturer in History to the University of Cambridge With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

The Essentials of Greek Civilization—The Religion of the Greeks—Sparta, Argos, Corinth, Thebes—The Earlier History of Athens—The Rivalry of Athens and Sparta—Civil Wars in Greece—The Athenian Democracy—Pericles his Policy and his Friends—Society in Greece—From the Outbreak of the Peloponnesian to the Death of Pericles—The Peloponnesian War

## UNIVERSITY MANUALS—continued

**LOGIC, INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE** By WILLIAM MINTO, late Professor of Logic and Literature, University of Aberdeen With Diagrams Crown 8vo 4s 6d

Introduction—The Logic Con istins,, Syllogism and Definition—The Element of Proposition—Definition—The Interpretation of Propositions—The Interdependance of Proposition—Inductive Logic or the Logic of Science

**HISTORY OF RELIGION A SKETCH OF PRIMITIVE BELIEFS AND PRACTICES, AND OF THE ORIGIN AND CHARACTER OF THE GREAT SYSTEMS** By ALLAN MENZIES, D.D., Professor of Biblical Criticism in the University of St Andrew's Crown 8vo 5s

The Religion of the Early World—Isolated National Religions—The Semitic Group—The Aryan Group—Universal Religion

**LATIN LITERATURE** By J. W. MACKAIL, Balliol College, Oxford Crown 8vo 3s 6d

The Republic—The Augustan Age—The Empire—Index of Authors

**ELEMENTS OF PHILOSOPHY** By GEORGE CROOM ROBERTSON, late Grote Professor, University College London Edited from Notes of Lectures delivered at the College, 1870—1892, by (Mrs) C. A. FOLEY RHYS DAVIDS, M.A. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**ELEMENTS OF PSYCHOLOGY.** By GEORGE CROOM ROBERTSON, late Grote Professor, University College, London Edited from Notes of Lectures delivered at the College, 1870—1892, by (Mrs) C. A. FOLEY RHYS DAVIDS, M.A. Crown 8vo 3s 6d

**SHAKSPERE AND HIS PREDECESSORS IN THE ENGLISH DRAMA** By F. S. BOAS, Professor of English Literature, Queen's College, Belfast Crown 8vo 6s Library Edition, on larger paper 7s 6d

\* It is impossible to part with this work without a word of cordial congratulation to the author on the vigour of his style the originality of some of his views and theories and the painstaking appreciation he has brought to bear on his subject—*Morning Post*

\* \* \* The Volumes in this Series may be obtained in the ordinary Red binding or in Library binding with uncut edges

# WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS

## Art.

**THE FINE ARTS. THE ORIGIN AIMS AND CONDITION OF ARTISTIC WORK AS APPLIED TO PAINTING SCULPTURE AND ARCHITECTURE.** By G. BALDWIN BROWN M.A., Professor of Fine Art in the University of Edinburgh Formerly Fellow of Brasenose College, Oxford. New Edition. With many new Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 6s. net.

It is a work that ought to be in the library of all thoughtful students of Art.  
—*Literary World.*

## Banking

**THE COUNTRY BANKER. HIS CLIENTS, CARES, AND WORK.** From an Experience of Forty Years. By GEORGE RAE, Author of "Ballion's Letters to a Bank Manager" With Portrait of the Author. A Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d. net.

## Education

**NATIONAL EDUCATION. ESSAYS TOWARDS CONSTRUCTIVE POLICY.** By the Rev. BERNARD REYNOLDS, M.A. FRANCIS STONE B.A.; Sir JOSEPH G. FITCH, LL.D. Prof. H. E. AR. STRONG, LL.D. Ph.D. F.R.S.; A. D. PROBYER, M.D., formerly M.P. for Glasgow; T. A. O'BRIEN B.A., LL.C.C.; Prof. W. A. S. HEWINS, Director of the London School of Economics and Political Science. JOHN C. MERRI, M.A. H. W. EYK, formerly Headmaster of University College School. Edited, with an Introductory Chapter and Bibliography by LAURIE MAGNUS, M.A., Magdalen College, Oxford. 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

Professor ARMSTRONG writes vigorously on the need for drastic reform in the schools in which our governing classes are educated, as the most important feature in the reorganisation of national educational programme. —*Times.*

**EDUCATION AND EMPIRE. ADDRESS ON TOPICS OF THE DAY.** By the Right Hon. R. B. HALDANE, K.C., M.P. LL.D. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

**THE SCHOOLMASTER. A COMMENTARY FOR THE AID AND METHOD OF AN ASSISTANT MASTER IN A PUBLIC SCHOOL.** By A. C. BENSON Eton College. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—*continued*Geography

**A HISTORY OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY AMONG THE GREEKS AND ROMANS FROM THE EARLIEST AGES TILL THE FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE** By SIR E H BUNBURY With Twenty Illustrative Maps 2 Vols 8vo 21s

**THE DAWN OF MODERN GEOGRAPHY** By C RAYMOND BEAZLEY, M A, FRGS, Fellow of Merton College, Oxford A HISTORY OF EXPLORATION AND GEOGRAPHICAL SCIENCE

VOL I—From the Conversion of the Roman Empire to A D 900, with an account of the achievements and writings of the early Christian, Arab and Chinese Travellers and Students With Reproductions of the Principal Maps of the Time 8vo 18s

Mr Beazley is only at the threshold of his great subject, and the manner in which he has dealt with the obscurest part of his theme causes us to look forward with pleasant anticipations to its continuation. It is gratifying to think that the best extant account of the dawn of Geography should emanate from an English man. —*Athenaeum*.

VOL II—From the Opening of the Tenth to the Middle of the Thirteenth Century (A D 900—1260) With Maps and Illustrations Demy 8vo 18s

Marked by the same admirable qualities which characterised the first volume—scholarship immense research and exhaustiveness on the method he has adopted he has rendered an immense service to geography of the middle ages, which is accessible in no other single work.—*The Times*

Greek*A—Texts, Commentaries, etc*

**PRINCIPLES OF GREEK ETYMOLOGY** By Professor G CURTIUS of the University of Leipzig Translated into English, with the Author's sanction, by A S WILKINS, Litt D, LL D, and E B ENGLAND, M A, Owens College, Manchester Fifth Edition, thoroughly revised 2 vols 8vo 28s

**SOPHOCLES** The Seven Plays in English Verse By LEWIS CAMPBELL, M A, LL D, Emeritus Professor of Greek in the University of St Andrews Demy 8vo 10s 6d

**THE GREEK VERB, ITS STRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT** By Professor CURTIUS Translated by A S WILKINS, M A, and E B ENGLAND, M A 8vo 12s

**THE GREEK THINKERS** A HISTORY OF ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY By Professor THEODOR GOMPERZ, of Vienna University Hon LL D, Dublin Ph D Königsberg, &c

VOL I—Translated by LAURIE MAGNUS, M A, Magdalen College, Oxford Demy 8vo 14s net

We are glad to welcome the first instalment of the authorised translation of Professor Gomperz's great history of ancient philosophy The translation is excellently done and the translator has had the benefit of untiring help from the author Such an excellent reproduction of so important a foreign work on one of the greatest of themes is an event in its way We shall look forward with great pleasure to the appearance of the next volume.—*Spectator*

VOL II—SOCRATES, THE SOCRATICS AND PLATO Translated by G G BERRY, M A, Balliol College, Oxford [*In the Press*]

## WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—continued

Greek—continued*A.—Texts Commentaries etc*

**PLATO AND THE OTHER COMPANIONS OF SOCRATES.** By GEORGE GROTE, Author of *The History of Greece*. 4 vols. Crown 8vo. 5s. each.

**SELECT PASSAGES FROM THE INTRODUCTIONS TO PLATO** By BENJAMIN JOWETT M.A. Edited by Lewis CAMPBELL, M.A., LL.D. With Portrait. Fcap 8vo. 2s. 6d. net

**THE ILLAD OF HOMER**, rendered into English Blank Verse By the EARL OF DERBY. Portrait. 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 6s.

**THE ODYSSEY OF HOMER.** Books I—VIII Translated into English Verse by J. W. MACKAIL, Formerly Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford. Crown 8vo. 5s. net.

**CONCISE DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH AND THE MODERN GREEK LANGUAGES**, AS ACTUALLY WRITTEN AND SPOKEN. Being Concise Vocabulary of all Words and Expressions Current in Ordinary Reading and in Everyday Talk, for the Guidance of Students and Travellers through Greece and the East. Compiled by Prof. A. N. JANNARIS, Ph.D. St. Andrew's University. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

*B.—History*

**A HISTORY OF GREECE**, from the Earliest Period to the close of Alexander the G. 6th. By GEORGE GROTE. With Portrait, Maps, and Plans. 10 vols. 5s. each

**THE GREAT PERSIAN WAR and its Preliminaries.** A STUDY IN EVIDENCE, LITERARY AND TOPOGRAPHICAL. By G. B. GRUNDY M.A. Lecturer at Birmingham College, and University Lecturer in Classical Geography. With Maps and Illustrations. Demy 8vo. 81s. net

I is but seldom that we have the privilege of reviewing so excellent a work in Greek history. This book on the great war which freed Greece from the attacks of Persia will long remain the standard work on the subject. — *The Athenian*.

**THE TOPOGRAPHY OF THE BATTLE OF PLATÆA** THE CITY OF PLATÆA, THE FIELD OF LEUCTRA. By G. B. GRUNDY M.A. With Maps and Plans. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6d.; sewed 5s.

**PHILIP AND ALEXANDER OF MACEDON** Two ESSAYS IN BIOGRAPHY. With Maps and Illustrations. By D. G. HOGARTH, M.A., Fellow of Magdalen College, Oxford. 14s.

WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—*continued*History.*Church and Scripture*

**THE HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH FROM THE APOSTOLIC AGE TO THE REFORMATION, A.D. 84—1517** By JAMES C ROBERTSON, M.A., Canon of Canterbury, Professor of Ecclesiastical History in King's College, London 8 vols Crown 8vo 6s each

**THE HISTORY OF CHRISTIANITY FROM THE BIRTH OF CHRIST TO THE ABOLITION OF PAGANISM IN THE ROMAN EMPIRE** By HENRY HART MILMAN 3 vols Post 8vo 4s each

**THE HISTORY OF LATIN CHRISTIANITY INCLUDING THAT OF THE POPES TO THE PONTIFICATE OF NICHOLAS V** By HENRY HART MILMAN 9 vols Post 8vo 4s each

**THE HISTORY OF THE JEWS FROM THE EARLIEST PERIOD DOWN TO MODERN TIMES** By HENRY HART MILMAN, D.D., late Dean of St Paul's 3 vols Post 8vo 4s each

**LECTURES ON THE HISTORY OF THE JEWISH CHURCH FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE CHRISTIAN ERA.** By the Late DEAN STANLEY With Portrait and Maps 3 vols Crown 8vo 6s each

**THE EVOLUTION OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE** BEING AN HISTORICAL SKETCH OF THE SUCCESSIVE VERSIONS FROM 1382—1885 By H W HOARE, late of Balliol College Oxford, now an Assistant Secretary to the Board of Education, Whitehall With Portraits and Specimen-pages from Old Bibles Second and Cheaper Edition, Revised Crown 8vo 7s 6d net

**THE REFORMATION** A RELIGIOUS AND HISTORICAL SKETCH By the REV J A BABINGTON, M.A., Assistant Master at Tonbridge School, formerly Scholar of New College, Oxford Demy 8vo 12s net

This masterly essay gives evidence on every page of wide reading and of a remarkable power of condensation. It is a notable piece of work, one that deserves to be widely read"—*Daily Chronicle*

**AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT**, and an Investigation into Modern Biblical Criticism, based on the most Recent Sources of Information By the Rev GEO SALMON, D.D., D.C.L., etc., Provost of Trinity College, Dublin Crown 8vo 9s

WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—*continued*History—continued*Egypt*

**AN ACCOUNT OF THE MANNERS AND CUSTOMS OF THE MODERN EGYPTIANS.** Written in Egypt during the Years, 1833-34 and 35. Partly from Notes made during a former visit to that country in the Years 1823-26-27 and 28. By EDWARD WILLIAM LANE. Fifth Edition, with numerous additions and improvements from a copy annotated by the Author. Edited by his Nephew EDWARD S. ANLEY POOLE. 2 Vols. Crown 8vo. 12s.

**EGYPT UNDER THE PHARAOHS.** By HEINRICH BRUGSCH BEY. Condensed and Revised by M. BRODRICK. With Maps, Plans and Illustrations. Demy 8vo. 18s.

**OUTLINES OF ANCIENT EGYPTIAN HISTORY.** By AUGUSTE MARIETTE. Translated and Edited with Notes by MARY BRO NICE. Second Edition. With Maps. Crown 8vo. 5s.

**POPULAR ACCOUNT OF THE ANCIENT EGYPTIANS.** By SIR J. GARDNER WILKINSON F.R.S. Revised and abridged from his larger work. Illustrated with 61 hundred Woodcuts. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 12s.

*England*

**THE CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF ENGLAND FROM THE ACCESSION OF HENRY VII. TO THE DEATH OF GEORGE II.** By HENRY HALLAM LL.D. Cabinet Edition. 3 vols. Post 8vo. 12s.

**HISTORY OF ENGLAND COMPRISING THE REIGN OF QUEEN ANNE TO THE PEACE OF VERSAILLES, 170 — 763.** By LORD MAHON (Earl Stanhope). Fifth Edition, Revised. Post 8vo. 9 vols. 5s. each.

*Europe*

**HISTORY OF EUROPE DURING THE MIDDLE AGES.** By HENRY HALLAM LL.D. Cabinet Edition. 3 vols. Post 8vo. 12s.

**LITERARY HISTORY OF EUROPE DURING THE 15th, 16th and 17th CENTURIES.** By HENRY HALLAM LL.D. Cabinet Edition. 4 vols. Post 8vo. 16s.



WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—*continued*History—continued*France*

**THE STATE OF SOCIETY IN FRANCE BEFORE THE REVOLUTION OF 1789 AND THE CAUSES WHICH LED TO THAT EVENT** By ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE, Member of the French Academy Translated by HENRY REEVE, D C L. Third Edition Demy 8vo 12s

*India and the East*

**THE HISTORY OF INDIA. THE HINDU AND MAHOMETAN PERIODS** By the Hon MOUNTSTUART ELPHINSTONE Seventh Edition With Notes and Additions by E B COWELL, M A, late Principal of Sanskrit College, Calcutta With Map Demy 8vo 18s

**THE RISE OF THE BRITISH POWER IN THE EAST** By the late Hon MOUNTSTUART ELPHINSTONE Being a Continuation of his "History of India" Edited by SIR EDWARD COLEBROOKE, Bart With Maps Medium 8vo 16s

**THE RISE AND EXPANSION OF THE BRITISH DOMINION IN INDIA.** By SIR ALFRED LYALL, K C B, D C L Third and Enlarged Edition With Maps 8vo 12s *net*

**CHINA HER HISTORY, DIPLOMACY AND COMMERCE, FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE PRESENT DAY** By E H PARKER, Professor of Chinese at the Owens College, Acting Consul General in Corea, Nov, 1886—Jan, 1887, Consul in Hainan, 1891-2, 1893 4, and in 1892-3, Adviser in Chinese Affairs to the Burma Government With 19 Maps, &c Large Crown 8vo 8s *net*

*Netherlands*

**HISTORY OF THE UNITED NETHERLANDS FROM THE DEATH OF WILLIAM THE GREAT TO THE TWELVE YEARS TRUCE, 1609** By JOHN LOTHORP MOTLEY, D C L, LL D, With Portraits 4 Vols Post 8vo 6s each

*Vol I* — 1584-86 *Vol II* — 1586-89 *Vol III* — 1590-1600  
*Vol IV* — 1600-1609

**LIFE AND DEATH OF JOHN OF BARNEVELD (Advocate of Holland) WITH A VIEW OF THE PRIMARY CAUSES AND MOVEMENTS OF THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR** By JOHN LOTHORP MOTLEY, D C L, LL D With Illustrations 2 Vols Post 8vo 6s each

## WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—continued

History—continued*Rome*

**THE HISTORY OF THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE.** By EDWARD GIBBON With Notes by DEAN MILMAN, M. GUILLOT and SIR WILLIAMS SMITH With Portrait and Maps. Demy 8vo. 2 vols. 60s

Complete sets of the above work may be put aside through any Bookseller at reduced rates

Latin

**THE ANNALS OF TACITUS IN ENGLISH READER'S** Books I—VI An English Translation with Introduction and Notes. By GEORGE O. RAMSAY LL.D. LL.D. Professor of Humanity in the University of Glasgow; Editor of Selections from Tibullus and Propertius, Latin Prose Composition etc. With Maps. Demy 8vo

The aim of the translator is to produce a version, which, while being exact in such respects and destined to be original, shall be such as may be read with pleasure and profit by those who are unacquainted with Latin. The notes will be mainly historical, and designed to put the English reader in possession of all information essential to the understanding of the text, and to enable him to compare the narrative and the judgements of Tacitus with those of other authorities for the period.

**VIRGIL IN English Verse.** Eclogues and *Æneid*, Books I—VI By the Rt Hon Sir CHARLES DOWEN 8vo 2s.

**THE ODES OF HORACE AND CARMEN SECVLARE.** Translated into English by the late Right Hon W E GLADSTONE. Crown 8vo. 6s. Cheap Edition 3s. 6d

Law and Politics

**LECTURES ON JURISPRUDENCE OR THE PHILOSOPHY OF POSITIVE LAW** By the late JOHN AUSTIN Fifth Edition, Revised and Edited by ROBERT CAMPBELL, Advocate (Scotch Bar) and of Lincoln Inn, Barrister-at Law 2 vols. 8vo. 55s.

**FIRST PRINCIPLES IN POLITICS.** By WILLIAM SAMUEL LILLY Demy 8vo. 12s.

**LAW AND POLITICS IN THE MIDDLE AGES.** By EDWARD JENKS, Reader in English Law in the University of Oxford. Demy 8vo. 12s.

By far the most important and original book relating to jurisprudence published for some years in England is Mr Jenks's *Law and Politics in the Middle Ages*. —*Times*.

## WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—continued

Law and Politics—continued*Works by Sir Henry S. Maine, K C S I*

**ANCIENT LAW**, its Connection with the Early History of Society, and its Relation to Modern Ideas Sixteenth Edition 8vo 9s

**LECTURES ON THE EARLY HISTORY OF INSTITUTIONS**, in continuation of the above work 8vo 9s

**VILLAGE - COMMUNITIES IN THE EAST AND WEST**, with other Lectures, Addresses, and Essays Seventh Edition 8vo 9s

**DISSERTATIONS ON EARLY LAW AND CUSTOM** 8vo 9s

**POPULAR GOVERNMENT** Four Essays I Prospects of Popular Government—II Nature of Democracy—III Age of Progress—IV Constitution of the United States Fifth Edition 8vo 7s 6d

**INTERNATIONAL LAW** The Whewell Lectures delivered at Cambridge in 1887 8vo 7s 6d

Medical

**HANDBOOK OF PHYSIOLOGY** By W D HALLIBURTON, M D, F R S, Professor of Physiology, King's College, London Fifth Edition, being the Eighteenth of Kirkes' (see Note below) Again thoroughly revised With upwards of Six Hundred Illustrations, including some Coloured Plates Large Crown 8vo 14s

## EXTRACT FROM PUBLISHER'S NOTE TO THIS EDITION

Four completely revised editions of KIRKES HANDBOOK have now been published since the editorship was first undertaken by Professor W D Halliburton in 1896. So extensive have been the changes made in these years, that but little remains of the original work, and the manual has now obtained a higher reputation and a wider popularity than at any time before.

In these circumstances it has been suggested by several professional men and other readers of the book that it would be well to drop the time honoured name of 'Kirkes' and to substitute for it that of the real author of the present volume—Professor Halliburton. Whatever prestige attached to the old title has now been rightly transferred to the new and we have accordingly decided to adopt this suggestion, and to call the book in future 'HALLIBURTON'S PHYSIOLOGY'.

WORKS FOR ADVANCED STUDENTS—*continued*Medical—continued

**MANUAL OF PATHOLOGY** A HANDBOOK FOR STUDENTS.  
By SIDNEY MARTIN B.Sc., M.D. M.R.C.S. Professor of  
Pathology University College. With numerous Woodcuts from  
Micro-Photographs. Medium 8vo.

**A TREATISE ON MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE**  
Based on Lectures delivered at University College, London. By  
G. VIVIAN POORE, M.D. With Illustrations. 8vo. 32s. net.

Admirable and interesting treatise the reader can almost hear  
Dr. Poore's gentle and witty voice as he turns these instructive pages. They are  
marked by a kind of golden common sense, which is the most valuable lesson  
that any medical or legal student can try to learn. — *The Spectator*  
a ideal handbook of

**SIGNS OF LIFE** A Series of Lectures on Physiology delivered  
and published under the Authority of the University of London.  
By AUGUSTUS D. WALLER, M.D. F.R.S. of the Physiological  
Laboratory University of London. With numerous Illustrations.  
Demy 8vo.

Sociology

**THE BASIS OF SOCIAL RELATIONS** A STUDY IN  
EVOLUTIVE PSYCHOLOGY By the late DANIEL G. BRINTON  
A.M. M.D., LL.D. D.Sc., Author of A History of Primitive  
Religions, Races and Peoples, &c. Edited by LIVINGSTON  
FARRAND 8vo. 8s. net.

This is one of the work upon which Professor Brinton was engaged at the  
time of his death.

Tides

**THE TIDES AND KINDRED PHENOMENA IN THE  
SOLAR SYSTEM** The substance of Lectures delivered at the  
Lowell Institute, Boston Massachusetts, in 1897 By GEORGE  
HOWARD DARWIN Fyrmann Professor and Fellow of Trinity  
College, in the University of Cambridge. With Illustrations.  
Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Professor Darwin has succeeded in giving very clear and lucid account of  
the matters of which he treats. — *Times*.

## CHARLES DARWIN'S WORKS.

---

**THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION** Library Edition 2 vols 12s — Popular Edition 6s — Cheaper Edition With a Photogravure Portrait of the Author Large crown 8vo 2s 6d net — Also in Paper Covers 1s net

Mr Murray desires to inform the public that the edition which has just lost copyright is the imperfect edition which was subsequently thoroughly revised by Mr Darwin. This imperfect edition has been reprinted by other publishers without the consent or authority of Mr Darwin's representatives.

The only authorised and complete editions are those published by Mr Murray and these do not lose copyright for several years to come.

**DESCENT OF MAN, AND SELECTION IN RELATION TO SEX** Woodcuts Library Edition 2 vols 15s — 1 Vol Popular Edition 7s 6d — Cheaper Edition With Illustrations Large crown 8vo 2s 6d net

**VARIATION OF ANIMALS AND PLANTS UNDER DOMESTICATION** Woodcuts 2 vols 15s

**EXPRESSION OF THE EMOTIONS IN MAN AND ANIMALS** With Illustrations 12s

**VARIOUS CONTRIVANCES BY WHICH ORCHIDS ARE FERTILIZED BY INSECTS** Woodcuts 7s 6d

**MOVEMENTS AND HABITS OF CLIMBING PLANTS** Woodcuts 6s

**INSECTIVOROUS PLANTS.** Woodcuts 9s

**CROSS AND SELF-FERTILIZATION IN THE VEGETABLE KINGDOM.** 9s

**DIFFERENT FORMS OF FLOWERS ON PLANTS OF THE SAME SPECIES** 7s 6d

**FORMATION OF VEGETABLE MOULD THROUGH THE ACTION OF WORMS** Illustrations 6s

**JOURNAL OF A NATURALIST DURING A VOYAGE ROUND THE WORLD IN H.M.S. "BEAGLE"** With 100 Illustrations Medium 8vo 21s — Popular Edition With Portrait 3s 6d — Cheaper Edition With 16 full-page Plates Large crown 8vo 2s 6d net

# MR. MURRAY'S MUSICAL SERIES

Crown 8vo 5s net each

**SONGS AND SONG WRITERS.** By HENRY T FINCK,  
Author of *Wagner and his Works*, *Chopin and other Musical*  
*Essays*, etc., etc. With 8 Portraits.

**THE ORCHESTRA AND ORCHESTRAL MUSIC.**  
By W J HENDERSON Author of *What is Good Music*,  
etc etc. With 8 Portraits and other Illustrations.

**THE OPERA, PAST AND PRESENT** An Historical  
Sketch. By WILLIAM FOSTER APTHORP Author of  
*Musicians and Music Lovers*, etc, With Portraits.

**CHOIRS AND CHORAL MUSIC.** By ARTHUR MEES.  
With Portraits.

**MUSIC** How It Came to be What It is. By HANNAH  
SMITH. With Illustrations.

**HOW MUSIC DEVELOPED.** By W J HENDERSON  
Author of *What is Good Music*.

**HOW TO LISTEN TO MUSIC** HINTS AND 8 QUESTIONS  
TO UNTAUGHT LOVERS THE ART By HENRY EDWARD  
KREHBIEL, Author of *Stadion in the Wagnerian Drama*,  
etc. etc. With 11 Portraits.

**WHAT IS GOOD MUSIC?** SUGGESTIONS TO PERSON  
DESIRING TO CULTIVATE TASTE IN MUSICAL ART By W J  
HENDERSON

## A BOOK OF BRITISH SONG

FOR HOME AND SCHOOL

Edited by CECIL J SHARP Principal of the Hampstead Conserva-  
toirs. With Pianoforte Score and Words. Large 4to. 7s. 6d net.  
Also Small Crown 8vo. Edition with Words and Airs only Cloth, 2s. 1  
paper 1s. 6d.

## LIST OF KEYS.

*These Keys are not sold to the Public, and care is taken that they do not get into the hands of boys at school. They can only be obtained by authenticated Teachers on written application to the Publisher, and their prices are strictly net, post free.*

### ETON COLLEGE SERIES

First Latin Exercise Book	<sup>s</sup> <sup>d</sup> 2 1	Eton Exercises in Arithmetic (Answers only)	<sup>s</sup> <sup>d</sup> 2 1
Eton Exercises in Algebra (Answers only)	2 1		

HALL, Professor T. D

Translation at Sight	1 1
----------------------	-----

### HOME AND SCHOOL LIBRARY.

Algebra, Part I (Answers only)	1 1
Algebra, Part II	1 1

### SECONDARY EDUCATION TEXT-BOOKS

Commercial German, Part I	5 3
---------------------------	-----

### SIR WM SMITH'S EDUCATIONAL SERIES

ENGLISH			
School Manual of English Grammar	2 7	Primary English Grammar	0 7
FRENCH			
French Principia, Part I	1 1	French Principia, Part II	1 7
Appendix to French Principia, Part I.	1 1	French Principia, Part III	1 7
GERMAN			
German Principia, Part I	1 1	German Principia, Part II	2 7
ITALIAN			
Italian Principia, Part I	1 1	Italian Principia, Part II	2 7
SPANISH			
Spanish Principia, Part I			1 1
LATIN			
Principia Latina, Part I	0 7	Principia Latina, Part IV	0 7
Appendix to Principia Latina, Part I	0 7	Principia Latina, Part V	1 1
Principia Latina, Part II	2 7	Young Beginner's Latin Course, Part III	0 7
Principia Latina, Part III	1 7		
GREE			
Initia Græca, Part I For use with the 26th or any later Editions keys to all previous Editions are quite out of print	1 1	Appendix to Initia Græca, Part I	1 1
		Initia Græca, Part II	1 1
		Initia Græca, Part III	1 1

LONDON JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET, W



